UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

VANDERBILT MORTGAGE		CASE NO:	CA-C-09-312
AND FINANCE, INC., ET AL.,)		
)	CI	VIL
Plaintiffs,			
)	Corpus Ch	risti, Texas
vs.			
)	Friday, Nov	ember 12, 2010
)		
CESAR FLORES, ET AL.,)	(8:25 a.m.	to 12:10 p.m.)
)	(1:31 p.m.	to 5:03 p.m.)
Defendants.)		

JURY TRIAL - DAY 3

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JANIS GRAHAM JACK,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Appearances: See Next Page

Court Recorder: Velma Gano; FTR

Courtroom Clerk: Sondra Scotch

Transcriber: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

2

APPEARANCES FOR:

Plaintiffs: JORGE C. RANGEL, ESQ.

615 Upper N. Broadway

Suite 2020

Corpus Christi, Texas 78403-2683

CRISTINA ESPINOZA RODRIGUEZ, ESQ.

Baker Botts 910 Louisiana Suite 3624

Houston, Texas 77002-4995

PATTON G. LOCHRIDGE, ESQ. CARLOS R. SOLTERO, ESQ. McGinnis Lochridge, et al.

600 Congress Ave.

Suite 2100

Austin, Texas 78701

EDWARD S. SLEDGE, IV., ESQ. THOMAS W. THAGARD, III, ESQ.

1901 Sixth Ave. North

Suite 2400

Birmingham, AL 35203-2618

Defendants: BALDEMAR F. GUTIERREZ, ESQ.

J. JAVIER GUTIERREZ, ESQ.

700 E. Third St. Alice, Texas 78332

Intervenor Plaintiffs: DAVID L. RUMLEY, ESQ.

Wiginton Rumley Dunn, LLP. 800 N. Shoreline Blvd.

14th Fl., South Tower

Corpus Christi, Texas 78401

				3
1		INDEX		
2	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES DI	RECT CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	PAUL NICHOLS			
4	BY MR. RANGEL 1	8	147	
5	BY MR. RUMLEY	52/98		
6	BY MR. B. GUTIERREZ	136		156
7	MARIA TREVINO			
8	BY MR. RANGEL 17	0		
9	DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES BY VI	DEO DEPOSITION	DIRECT	CROSS
10	BENJAMIN JOSEPH FRAZIER		197	245
11	BRUCE ROBIN MOORE		250	298
12	DAVID BARTON		301	
13	HUGH STATUM		309	322
14	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS			RECEIVED
15	206			66
16	197			117
17	199			117
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

```
5
 1
              THE COURT: Okay.
 2
              MR. RUMLEY: -- but you limited his opinions, your
    Honor, to Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 --
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Right.
 5
              MR. RUMLEY: -- and so if he's going to talk about
 6
    this opinion, if it's not helpful, there's no need for expert
 7
    testimony. It's already an admitted fact. The second opinion
    that was not excluded is the seller of the home was CMH.
 8
    Again, the same thing as a factual statement, certainly nothing
10
    under 702 requires him to talk about that. The third one, the
    total sales price and that they didn't make the payments.
11
12
    Again, under 702, there's no need for an expert. That's
13
    already an admitted fact.
14
              And then the remaining paragraphs that were not
15
    excluded relate to the documents that we talked about
16
    yesterday, the warranty deed and that sort of thing. Again,
17
    all of this testimony -- none of it is under 702 that requires
18
    any specialized or expertise knowledge. It's simply that they
19
    want him to get up and identify these documents that we talked
20
    about and they've excluded yesterday for no other reason other
21
    than, I guess, bless them with what's --
22
              THE COURT: Well, 19, I excluded those -- the
23
    documents --
24
              MR. RUMLEY: Correct.
25
                           -- because they just talked --
```

by it of course but in the Court's order, the Court notes that some of Mr. Stone's proposed testimony is of the custom and practice type of testimony and we intend to limit him to exactly that, as to not the effect of a legal instrument but simply the custom of practice for filing leases with the deed records of the county, whether or not people send releases to owners of property --

THE COURT: But doesn't everybody already know that?

I mean, what -- that's not an area for expertise, is it?

MR. LOCHRIDGE: Well, I think it's -- I think there's an area of some dispute here in that they're making a point that we didn't send the builder's mechanic's lien release immediately after the document -- the retail installment contract was assigned to Vanderbilt. They're making a point of that. They're making a point of the fact we didn't send the release to the landowners. That's their custom and practice that lenders don't do that and so we want to be able to put on that evidence to rebut the arguments that they are making, that what we did was completely consistent with the custom and practice of lenders.

THE COURT: You know, I don't see on earth how that could be custom and practice that you don't send the release of the lien to the people that you say are indebted when they never paid a penny. The Floreses never -- the Trevinos never paid a penny --

```
10
 1
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: This is where he's talking about the
 2
    customs --
 3
              THE COURT: No, no, no. I excluded that paragraph.
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: All right. On Page 9, your Honor, he
 4
 5
    talks about the custom and practice of filing a deed of trust
    in the deed records of the county where the property is
 6
 7
    located.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. He can testify about that.
 9
              MR. RUMLEY: That was excluded.
10
              THE COURT: Oh, was that 9 --
11
              MR. RUMLEY: Paragraph 9 --
12
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Your Honor --
13
              THE COURT: -- Paragraph 9. It was 3 through 9 -- 3
14
    through 11 that I excluded.
15
              MR. RUMLEY: Yes.
16
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: I don't want to mislead the Court.
17
    He did not -- there's nothing in the paragraphs that you did
18
    not exclude when he talks about custom and practices.
19
              THE COURT: So it's --
20
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: I'm not trying to pull a fast one on
21
    the Court.
22
              THE COURT: I know you wouldn't, Mr. Lochridge, but
23
    tell me in the nonexcludable paragraphs what it is you want him
24
    to testify to.
25
              MR. LOCHRIDGE:
                              That he can testify as to the
```

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, again our position is

Paragraphs 1 through 3 were not excluded. Those are admitted

facts. They made the same argument about custom and practice

and those paragraphs were excluded. To come up with any new

testimony, it's going to be excluded under Rule 26 and as the

Court noted, the last paragraph should specifically be

cumulative, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is cumulative but tell -- I understand what you're saying, Mr. Lochridge. What custom and practice do you want him to testify to?

MR. LOCHRIDGE: The custom and practice would be that upon the -- a holder of a builder's mechanic's lien when paid off doesn't -- customarily oftentimes doesn't immediately file the release of the lien. That's one. The second is --

THE COURT: That's when they're paid off. What if they don't get paid off?

MR. LOCHRIDGE: I think that what he'll say is that traditionally you don't -- the holders of the mechanic's and materialmen's lien oftentimes will not file that release until years later. When -- in a typical situation, a homebuilder builds a home, files a mechanic's and materialmen's lien to protect --

THE COURT: But we don't have that here.

MR. LOCHRIDGE: Well, we do in a sense because here

1 | mobile homes?

MR. LOCHRIDGE: Yes, he testified and it's in his report that he does have expertise in mobile homes. I'm not sure what's in his report but he does --

THE COURT: I didn't see that in the report.

MR. LOCHRIDGE: I misspoke. I don't think it's in his report. I think maybe it's --

MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, then we object that he didn't -- it's not disclosed and the other thing is we talked about cumulative. They brought -- they've brought now four corporate reps and they're putting on another corporate rep to talk about the custom and practice and so to have some expert come up who doesn't -- hasn't even read the depositions, number one -- at least he didn't at the time of his deposition -- to come up and talk about some custom and practice of a title company in Corpus Christi, that's why -- that's the exact same argument they made in response to my Daubert motion and the Court said, yes, there is custom and practice but you're going too far and excluded them.

And it's my position, your Honor, that your order is your order and we reviewed the order and we should be able to rely on it and now they're going to -- they're bringing in this expert on some surprise deal trying to revisit paragraphs that had been excluded and in addition, the testimony has already come in and for him to come up and talk about custom and

- 1 practice of four others --
- 2 THE COURT: With a title company and I didn't see in
- 3 | the report that he had expertise in custom and practice on
- 4 | mobile homes. They don't go through a title company
- 5 apparently.
- 6 MR. RUMLEY: In their --
- 7 THE COURT: The testimony we have today, they don't
- 8 go through a title company. So custom and practice with a
- 9 title company probably has no bearing on this case.
- 10 MR. LOCHRIDGE: Your Honor, oftentimes they do
- 11 because in many mobile home transactions, the customer will
- 12 finance the mobile home and the real estate at the same time.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Well, that didn't happen here.
- 14 MR. LOCHRIDGE: That's -- well, that's not what
- 15 | happened here but you still have situation that can end up with
- 16 | a builder's mechanic's lien and customarily those things aren't
- 17 | released --
- 18 **THE COURT:** I don't see any relationship between this
- 19 case and what he would have to testify to and especially if you
- 20 didn't disclose that he was an expert in builder's and mechanic
- 21 liens on mobile homes. Was that disclosed?
- 22 MR. LOCHRIDGE: I don't --
- 23 MR. RUMLEY: Land in lieu or --
- 24 MR. LOCHRIDGE: Hold on a minute. I can answer the
- 25 question. That is not set out specifically in his report.

```
16
1
    His -- I'd have to look at his CV to see what was in the CV
    that was submitted.
              THE COURT: You want to call another witness while
 3
 4
    you do that?
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: We're not calling him first. He'll
 5
 6
    be later this morning --
 7
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: -- and I'll see what we have in the
 8
 9
    way of -- on his CV, your Honor.
10
              MR. RANGEL: One other point, your Honor.
              THE COURT: We excluded -- my law clerk just reminded
11
12
    -- Paragraphs 4 through 18 which is pretty inclusive.
13
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: I'm sorry. I missed the first part
14
    of what you said.
15
              THE COURT: I -- we excluded Paragraphs 4 through 18.
16
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Yes, your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: And 1, 2 and 3 don't have any value
18
    because we don't need an expert for that. So after 18, what is
19
    there?
20
              MR. RUMLEY: That's the --
21
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: It's the transfer and conveyances of
22
    the land.
              MR. RUMLEY: Which is cumulative.
23
24
              THE COURT: But that is cumulative. So there's
25
    nothing -- so let's move on.
                                  I'll revisit it at the first
```

```
17
1
    break, okay?
 2
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Okay. Thank you very much, your
 3
    Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: So we can all get organized in our
 5
    thoughts again, okay?
 6
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Yes, your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury, please.
 8
    they've walked out on us. I started out -- we started out
 9
    really cold in here because of all the bodies. So if it
10
    continues throughout the morning this cold and anybody objects,
11
    let me know.
              THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
12
13
         (Jurors entered the courtroom at 8:43 a.m.)
14
              THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated. Would you
15
    call your first witness, please?
16
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, Plaintiff Vanderbilt calls
17
    Mr. Paul Nichols.
18
              THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
19
                PAUL NICHOLS, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, SWORN
20
              THE CLERK: Thank you, please be seated.
21
              MR. RANGEL: May I proceed, your Honor?
22
              THE COURT: Please.
23
    //
24
    //
25
    //
```

	Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 18
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. RANGEL:
3	Q Good morning, Mr. Nichols.
4	A Good morning.
5	Q Will you please state your full name?
6	A Paul Wayne Nichols.
7	Q And where do you live, Mr. Nichols?
8	A In Knoxville, Tennessee.
9	Q And what do you do in Knoxville, Tennessee?
10	A I'm the president of Vanderbilt Mortgage.
11	Q Can you provide the jury with a brief biographical
12	background?
13	A I was born in Tennessee and grew up in Florida. I lived
14	in Dallas a couple times in the early 80s. I went to
15	undergraduate school in Florida and graduate school in
16	Tennessee, married 33 years and a 23-year-old daughter.
17	Q Tell us a little bit about your educational background.
18	What degrees did you get?
19	A I have a Bachelor's degree from the University of Central
20	Florida in finance and management and an MBA from the
21	University of Tennessee.
22	Q And tell us tell the jury a little bit about your
23	employment history.
24	A Well, I don't know how far you want to go back. I got out
25	of college and went to work for the Treasury Department

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 19 1 auditing banks. I was in the real estate -- ran a real estate 2 business for about five years in Florida. I moved to Dallas, Texas and went to work for GE Capital in the early 80s in the 3 manufactured housing business. Did that and lived there a 4 5 couple different times and they moved me around pretty much 6 every year to Oklahoma, Kansas, Alabama. I eventually left GE 7 Capital and went to work for a company called Homeowners Funding in south Georgia which does manufactured home lending 8 9 and did that for about four years and then went to work for 10 Vanderbilt Mortgage in 1992. 11 What did you start doing for Vanderbilt? 12 I was in charge of the loan originations and credit 13 functions when I first went to Vanderbilt Mortgage. 14 And at some point, did you become president of Vanderbilt? 15 In June of 2003, yes, sir. 16 And today, are you the president of Vanderbilt? 17 Yes, sir, I am. 18 Mr. Nichols, you were here yesterday when Mr. Rumley asked 19 Ms. Russell about your deposition testimony in January 2005? 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 And the question came up as to whether you had told the 22 truth about Vanderbilt recording account representatives' calls 23 for training purposes. 24 Yes, sir. 25 Do you recall that?

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                 20
 1
         Yes, sir, I do.
 2
         And did you tell the truth?
         Yes, sir, I did.
 3
    Α
         Will explain it?
 4
 5
         In 2005, the collections supervisors had the ability to
    plug in and listen to live calls that account reps were doing
 6
 7
    for training purposes so they could hear how their people were
 8
    doing. We didn't purchase the recording equipment until late
    2005 and it went into place in early 2006. So it kind of --
10
    there was a timeframe there when they could listen live to
11
    calls before it went to the actual recording of the calls.
12
         And so when you testified in January of 2005 under oath,
13
    were you telling the truth?
14
         Yes, sir.
15
         Okay.
16
              MR. RANGEL: Call up DOT Release CP, X12. CP-12.
17
    BY MR. RANGEL:
18
         Mr. Nichols, does the body of the release mention the name
19
    Cesar Flores or Alvin King?
20
         No, sir, it does not.
21
         At the top, left-hand corner, is there a reference to a
22
    number and to Cesar Flores?
23
    Α
         Yes, sir.
24
         What is that?
25
         It's for account filing to make sure the documents get in
```

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                 21
 1
    the right file.
 2
         What do you mean by that?
         The documents associated with the loan have an account
 3
    number so that they can stay together.
 4
 5
         Does it have any meaning beyond internal filing purposes?
 6
         It does not, no, sir.
 7
         Okay. By including the reference number up there and the
    name of Cesar Flores, did that in any way indicate that the
 9
    amount was in any way releasing the indebtedness?
10
         It does not. This document releases the lien on the land.
         Okay. You also heard some testimony regarding the
11
12
    interest rate that was approved for Mr. Flores and Mr. King,
13
    did you?
14
         Yes, sir.
15
         There was a suggestion that the interest rate that was
16
    approved -- that was finally charged was higher than the one
17
    that was approved; is that correct?
18
                           Your Honor, objection, leading.
              MR. RUMLEY:
19
              THE WITNESS:
                             It -- oh, excuse me.
20
              THE COURT: Just a moment. Excuse me. Did you want
21
    to rephrase that?
22
              MR. RANGEL: Sure.
23
              THE COURT: Thank you.
    //
24
25
    //
```

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 22 1 BY MR. RANGEL: 2 Was the interest rate that was charged to Mr. King and 3 Mr. Flores higher than the interest rate that was approved? No, sir, it was not. 4 5 Can you explain that to the jury? 6 Yes, sir. The document that we saw was what was 7 submitted from the sales center and the terms that they requested the loan at, 240 months and 9.99. 8 9 MR. RANGEL: Let's call up CP8 at 58. 10 Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Nichols? 11 Yes, sir. It's the conditional approval for the loan with 12 -- if all the stipulations are met. 13 What do you mean by "conditional approval"? 14 We had -- below that is a list of stipulations that the 15 customer will have to furnish certain information, verification 16 of income, verification of employment, deeds of trust, 17 et cetera. 18 And the 9.9 reference, what was that? 19 That was what the sales center sent in and requested, 240 20 months at 9.99 percent. They wanted the longest term they 21 could get, of course, and after the credit manager reviewed the 22 file and the documents, he approved it at a hundred and -- I 23 can't -- if you scroll down on this, I think you can see how it 24 was approved at a hundred and 44 months at 10.99 percent. 25 Is that the approved rate?

```
23
                    Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
 1
         It is, yes, sir.
         And is that different from the 9.9 that had been
 2
 3
    requested?
         That's what the sales center requested. That's what they
 4
 5
    were trying to get.
         But the final approved rate was 1099?
 6
 7
         Yes, sir, it was.
 8
         Okay -- 10.99.
 9
              MR. RANGEL: Let's call up CP1, the Retail
10
    Installment Contract.
11
         And what is the approved rate?
12
         10.99.
         And is that consistent with the previous exhibit that was
13
14
    shown to the jury?
15
         Yes, sir, it is.
         So the approved rate was what was actually approved and it
16
17
    was not higher than this?
         It was not. It was -- what they wanted is not what they
18
19
    got.
20
    0
         Okay.
              MR. RANGEL: Let's show CP8 at 88.
21
22
         Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Nichols?
23
         Yes, sir. It's a printout from the application processing
    system of the information that was submitted from the home
24
25
    center to Vanderbilt.
```

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                24
 1
         And is this the document that Mr. Gutierrez showed
 2
    Mr. Flores?
 3
         It is, yes, sir.
              MR. RANGEL: And if you'll highlight the term and
 4
 5
    rate at the bottom, right-hand.
 6
         Was that the approved rate, 9.99?
 7
         It was not. It was the requested.
         In terms of chronology, when is this document created in
 8
 9
    relationship to when the actual rate that was used is approved?
10
         This document was printed out when the loan package was
    being put together after the approval and it records the -- how
11
12
    it was originally requested.
13
         So what is the difference between the 9.9 rate that was
14
    requested and the one that was finally approved, which is 10.9?
15
         It -- 1 percent. I mean, it was approved at 10.99 which
    was on the retail installment contract.
16
17
         And the rate that was approved was the rate that was in
18
    the contract?
19
         Yes, sir.
20
         Okay. There were also some questions about activity that
21
    occurred after Mr. Flores and Mr. King signed the retail
    installment contract on January 5th, 2002. Do you recall that?
22
         Yes, sir.
23
    Α
24
         Generally, can you explain to the jury the process that
25
    happens after the retail installment contract is signed and
```

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 25 1 what actually happens before Vanderbilt actually accepts the 2 assignment and the contract? Once the sales center has the approval, they can -- with 3 the stipulations on it, they have the customer sign the 4 contract so that he is obligated to go through the deal, I 5 believe is how I would view it but it's a process. It's like a 6 7 little mini construction process. They've still got to deliver the house and install it and hook up utilities and whatnot. 8 9 And so they go through that process and then while that process 10 is happening, they're also collecting the stipulations, the 11 deed of trust, the verification of income, all the things that 12 the credit manager required for the approval of the loan and so 13 those things are happening simultaneously. Appraisals have 14 been ordered. Different things are going on and then once everything all comes together with all the different 15 16 information, then you have a completed loan package. 17 And here the contract was signed on January 5th, 2002 and 18 ultimately Vanderbilt accepted the assignment on January 16th, 19 2002; is that correct? 20 I believe that's the date we saw, yes, sir, January 16th. 21 MR. RANGEL: Can we call up CP8 at 172? 22 First, what is VOE and VOI? 23 Verification of employment and verification of income. 24 And this -- is this something that is happening between 25 the time that the contract is signed and the time that

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                26
1
    Vanderbilt accepts the assignment?
 2
         Yes, sir. The home center is working with the customers
    to obtain the proof of income and --
 3
         And what is this document which is highlighted at the top,
 4
 5
    please?
         It shows where Mr. Flores works and his income.
 6
 7
         And this was a document that was received in the process
    of -- between January 5th, 2002 and January 16th, 2002?
         Yes, sir, it was.
              MR. RANGEL: Let's look at CP8 at 173.
10
11
         And what is this document?
12
         It's a form filled out by Mr. King's employer. It appears
13
    -- showing his income and salary.
14
         And is this part of the verification -- of income
15
    verification of employment process that's continuing between
16
    January 5th, 2002 and January 16th, 2002 when Vanderbilt
17
    accepted the assignment?
18
         Yes.
19
              MR. RANGEL: Call up CP8 at 174. Let's go back.
20
         Again, what is VOE and VOI?
21
         Verification of income and verification of employment.
22
         Okay. And we'll go to the top. There's a fax
23
    transmittal. What's the date on the fax transmittal?
24
         January the 11th, 2002.
25
         And is Mr. King's name on there?
```

```
27
                    Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
 1
         It appears to be a paycheck stub, yes, sir.
 2
         And right under the fax, the -- I believe the word
    "international" is in there?
 3
         Yes, sir. It looks like it's cut off but it looks like
 4
 5
    it says "international."
 6
         Is that consistent with the previous document that the
 7
    jury just saw --
 8
         Yes, sir.
 9
         -- from -- asking for verification of employment?
10
         I believe it is. I don't remember the exact wording on
11
    the previous page.
12
         And this -- does this appear to be income verification for
13
    Mr. King?
14
         It does, yes, sir.
15
         And the date, again is that between January 5th, 2002 and
16
    January 16th, 2002, that process that you described to the
17
    jury?
18
         This one looks like it was sent on the -- January 11th.
19
         Okay. Mr. Nichols, were you here in the courtroom
20
    yesterday when Mr. Shelton testified regarding the execution of
    the builder's and mechanic's lien release?
21
22
         Yes, sir.
23
         And can you explain to the jury why CMH was the proper
24
    party to sign the BML release?
25
         Because the original mechanic's lien contract was in the
```

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                28
    name of CMH.
 1
 2
         And did the assignment from CMH to Vanderbilt in any way
    affect that?
 3
         The assignment of the retail installment contract did not
 4
 5
    affect the BML, no, sir.
         Can you explain to the jury what Mr. Shelton was talking
 6
 7
    about regarding the assignment and the execution of the
 8
    builder's and mechanic's lien?
 9
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, we would object to his
10
    interpretation of evidence that the jury has already heard.
11
              MR. RANGEL:
                           Judge --
                           It's an inappropriate question for him
12
              MR. RUMLEY:
13
    to comment on the testimony of another witness.
14
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, I've made that objection many
15
    times with respect to commenting on depositions.
16
              THE COURT: Overruled.
17
              THE WITNESS: Could you say it one more time?
                                                              I'm
18
    sorry.
19
    BY MR. RANGEL:
20
         Sure. Can you explain to the jury what Mr. Shelton was
21
    talking about in relationship to CMH Homes still being able to
22
    sign the mechanic's lien release?
23
         CMH Homes could still sign the release because the
24
    original mechanic's lien contract was in CMH's name.
25
         And was there a different situation that Mr. Shelton was
```

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 29 1 talking about? 2 There was. There was a builder's mechanic lien we saw that Vanderbilt had signed and that's because the builder's and 3 mechanic's lien contract that was recorded evolved over time to 4 5 include a version that had in the contract itself an assignment of Vanderbilt and that's why Vanderbilt could assign that 6 7 particular builder's and mechanic's lien. And is that the situation that we have with these 8 documents here with respect to the assignment to Vanderbilt 10 requiring Vanderbilt to sign? 11 I'm sorry. 12 Okay. I mean, the situation you described to the jury, is 13 that different from the situation that we have here? 14 Yes, sir. This builder's and mechanic's lien was in the 15 name of CMH Homes. 16 Okay. Can you provide to the jury a little background about Vanderbilt in terms of what it does? 17 18 Vanderbilt finances manufactured homes for CMH Homes, Inc. 19 We -- they -- we have one customer. They are it. We finance 20 about 60 percent of the homes they sell with a variety of loan 21 programs. It's our only business that we're in. 22 And does CMH Homes finance all of its transactions through Vanderbilt? 23 24 It does not, no, sir. 25 Okay. Are you a bank?

30 Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 We are not a bank, no, sir. We are a mortgage lender. 2 And you saw a document -- you see a document up there with a reference to the bank. What was that? 3 I suspect it was CMH retail literature trying to kind of 4 pump up the sales forces that they had in connection with the 5 bank but they really meant Vanderbilt Mortgage, the lender. 6 7 Okay. And Vanderbilt is not a bank? We are not a bank, no, sir. 9 Could you explain to the jury how a typical financing 10 situation works with Vanderbilt and CMH Homes? Typically it all starts with the credit application at the 11 12 home center. The home center -- the customer finds a house he 13 likes. The home center submits the credit application to 14 Vanderbilt. Vanderbilt looks at the credit application, the 15 customer's credits and debts, income, job time and makes a 16 decision on how they would finance the home, tells the sales 17 center what they would do. The sale center starts gathering 18 that information kind of like we saw before, has the customer 19 sign the documents, sends the documents into Vanderbilt. 20 everything is there, we pay retail for the contract. Retail 21 assigns the contract to Vanderbilt. 22 Typically the purchasers make a cash down payment? 23 Generally they make a cash down payment, not always. 24 And are there alternatives to a cash down payment? 25 Yes, there is. As we've talked about here today, the land

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 31 1 in lieu of a cash down payment program. 2 And does the customer have the option to finance or pay cash for the mobile home? 3 4 He always has the opportunity to pay cash, yes, sir. Okay. And what are your primary responsibilities as 5 president of Vanderbilt? 6 7 Through a group of direct reports to me, I am responsible for all the functions that occur in the mortgage company, loan 9 servicing, the loan origination, the underwriting, accounting, 10 customer service, insurance tracking groups. There's a variety 11 of things, as you can imagine, that go on in a mortgage company 12 and so I'm ultimately accountable for those people. 13 Generally what happens when a customer does not make the 14 payments on his or her loan? 15 Of course we're going to go through the things that Ms. Russell talked about and try to work out anything we can 16 17 generally to try to come up with a payment plan arrangement to 18 help keep the customer in a house. We do not want the house 19 back, absolutely do not. 20 As you're aware, Mr. Nichols, Vanderbilt filed this action 21 to repossess the mobile home against Mr. King and Mr. Flores. 22 Yes, sir, we did. 23 Is that something that Vanderbilt likes to do? We do not like to get the houses back, no, sir. 24 25 What does Vanderbilt prefer to do?

Case 2:09-cv-00312 Document 272 Filed in TXSD on 02/10/11 Page 32 of 330 Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 32 1 We prefer to work out a payment arrangement and help the 2 customer stay in the house and collect payments. 3 And when that does not happen, what choice does Vanderbilt have? 4 5 Well, as a last resort, we would file for a writ of 6 possession. 7 And is that what happened here? Yes, sir, it is. 8 9 Okay. Let's talk a little bit about the relationship 10 between Vanderbilt and CMH Homes and see -- what is that 11 relationship -- are those different companies? 12 They are different companies. I am president of 13 Vanderbilt Mortgage and Mr. Booth is president of CMH Homes. 14 It's two separate corporations. And are you -- are -- is Vanderbilt and CMH Homes indirect 15 16 subsidiaries of CHI? 17 Yes, sir, we are. 18 Okay. And CHI is the Parent? 19 The ultimate for us, yes. 20 Okay. Mr. Nichols, the jury has heard a lot of testimony 21 about land-in-lieu transactions. Can you explain to the jury 22 generally what that is? 23 Generally -- and it's -- the majority of land-in-lieu

transactions are first-party, land-in-lieu transactions meaning

the customer buying the house is also the person that owned the

24

25

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                33
 1
    land that they're pledging in lieu of giving a cash down
 2
    payment but in this transaction it was a -- just to be clear,
 3
    it was a third-party landowner.
         Would you explain that to the jury, please?
 4
 5
         That -- a third-party landowner -- as a father, I might
 6
    put up a acre for my daughter to get a house if I didn't have
 7
    the cash down payment -- a third-party transaction. If I owned
    the land myself and was buying the house, I'd put it up and I'd
 9
    also be responsible on the retail installment contract whereas
10
    the third party is not responsible for the debt on the retail
11
    installment contract but they are at risk of losing the land.
12
    So a third-party landowner might be -- or a -- he might be
13
    willing to lose the land but not want to obligate themselves
    for the total amount of the debt.
14
         In that situation, is the third-party landowner a signer
15
    on the retail installment contract?
16
17
    Α
         They are not.
18
         And is the third-party landowner obligated for the debt
19
    under the retail installment contract?
20
         They're not obligated at -- for the debt but they are at
21
    risk of losing the land if the customers don't pay.
         In a land-in-lieu transaction, how does the fact that land
22
23
    is pledged as additional collateral affect Vanderbilt's
24
    decision whether or not to accept the assignment of the real
25
    estate contract?
```

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 34

- 1 A Well, it's just -- it's the land is in lieu of a cash down
- 2 payment which binds -- it makes you think that the person
- 3 buying the house is committed and willing to make the payments.
- 4 So it's additional collateral for the loan.
- 5 Q And why does Vanderbilt require some form of collateral in
- 6 | financing its transactions?
- 7 A Well, the -- you want security for the loan and it's
- 8 usually the house in a -- but if it has a -- if it's a land-in-
- 9 lieu deal, it will be the house and the land.
- 10 Q And here with the retail installment contract that was
- 11 signed by Mr. King and Mr. Flores, did you have two types of
- 12 | collateral?
- 13 A Yes, sir, we did.
- 14 Q What were those two types?
- 15 A We had the home which I believe was a Skyline mobile home,
- 16 | if I recall some of the documents we saw and then we had the
- 17 land that went with the deed of trust.
- 18 Q And how does Vanderbilt protect the priority of the land
- 19 | that is pledged on the -- in the contract?
- 20 A That would be the deed of trust that the sales center has
- 21 | signed and files in the local county courthouse records.
- MR. RANGEL: We'll call up CP3 -- CP210.
- 23 Q And is this the deed of trust that you were talking about
- 24 | in this particular transaction?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 35 1 Okay. And in this deed of trust, you have the names of 2 Maria Trevino and Arturo Trevino. Do you? Yes, sir. 3 Α Okay. And looking at the additional collateral in the 4 middle, is that the Skyline mobile home that you were talking 5 about? 6 7 Yes, sir. And what happens to this deed of trust after it's signed? 8 9 It's filed in the local county courthouse public records. 10 Now, to be clear, what company is -- between Vanderbilt 11 and CMH Homes is handling the original execution of the 12 contract at the time that the customer purchases the mobile 13 home? 14 I'm sorry. I didn't --15 Is that being -- is that handled by CMH Homes? CMH Homes is preparing the documents and recording the 16 17 documents, yes, sir. 18 Okay. How and when does Vanderbilt get involved in the 19 CMH home sale? 20 After the -- of course initially was the credit approval 21 process and then eventually all the documents will come 22 together and the sales processing group will review them to see 23 that all of them are there and that the stipulations that the 24 credit manager wanted are met, the VOEs and VOIs that we saw 25 and then if there was -- I think in this transaction there was

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 36 1 an appraisal that eventually came in. So once all the 2 documents were there and they met, everything the credit manager wanted and they've appeared completely in order from 3 the -- for the sales processor that looks at the documents, 4 5 they would fund the transaction. And what factors does Vanderbilt consider in deciding 6 7 whether or not to provide the financing for a mobile home that's being sold by CMH Homes? 9 Some of the things we talked about earlier, of course, 10 reviewing the credit of the customer and verifying that they 11 have jobs and income and can budget and pay for the home. 12 And once Vanderbilt accepts the assignment of retail 13 installment contract and approves the funding, does Vanderbilt 14 have an interest in helping the customer stay in the home? 15 We always have an interest in the customer staying in the 16 home, yes, sir. 17 All right. Does Vanderbilt make money when it seeks to 18 repossess a home? 19 We do not, no. 20 So is it in Vanderbilt's interest to help the customer 21 stay in the home? 22 I think Ms. Russell helped substantiate that in trying to 23 help the customer stay in the house, yes, sir. 24 Okay. Well, let's talk about this particular repossession

Did Vanderbilt sue

action involving Mr. King and Mr. Flores.

25

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 37 1 Mr. King and Mr. Flores? Yes, sir, we did. 2 To repossess the home? 3 4 Yes, sir. 5 Did Vanderbilt sue Maria Trevino or Arturo Trevino? We did not. 6 7 Were Maria Trevino and Arturo Trevino signers to the retail installment contract? 9 They were not. 10 Were they obligated for the debt in the retail installment 11 contract? 12 They were not. 13 From the beginning with respect to this transaction, Mr. Nichols, what kind of transaction insofar as collateral did 14 15 Vanderbilt consider this to be? 16 We considered this to be a land-in-lieu-of-down-payment 17 transaction with the lots as collateral for the down payment. 18 Is there documentation in the file to reflect that this is a land-in-lieu transaction? 19 20 Yes, there are the warranty deeds and the -- or excuse me -- the deed of trust and the builder's and mechanic's liens. 21 And are there other internal references to the land in 22 23 lieu? 24 The credit application, the approval and the appraisal 25 requirements and those type of things.

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                 38
 1
         Is there documentation in those files that this was a
 2
    third-party land-in-lieu transaction?
         Yes, sir. That would be the deed of trust was in someone
 3
    else's name other than the people's name that was on the retail
 4
 5
    installment sales contract.
         And the jury has seen this exhibit many times, CP1, the
 6
 7
    retail installment contract?
 8
         Yes, sir.
 9
         And is this the contract that identifies Mr. Flores and
10
    Mr. King as the purchasers of the mobile home?
11
         It is, yes, sir.
12
         And is this the document that identifies the fact that
13
    Mr. King and Mr. Flores were going to finance the purchase of
14
    the mobile home?
15
         Yes, sir.
16
         Okay. And does this document on Page 2 reflect that there
17
    was no down payment? At the very top.
18
         Yes, sir.
19
         The second line.
20
         Yes, sir.
21
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, objection to leading.
22
    document speaks for itself.
23
              THE COURT: Overruled.
    //
24
25
    //
```

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 39 1 BY MR. RANGEL: 2 What does the second line show in terms of cash down 3 payment? 4 It just shows that there was no cash down payment. Does this contract also contain the payment terms? 5 6 Α It does. 7 And does this contract contain the interest rate that was approved? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Okay. So generally what are the different roles in the 11 transaction -- this particular -- what were the different roles 12 between CMH Homes and Vanderbilt? 13 CMH Homes was the seller of the home and installer of the 14 home and utilities and whatnot. They recorded the various documents and sent the package to Vanderbilt in conjunction 15 16 with the credit approval and met the stipulations and then once 17 everything was completed, we paid CMH Homes and took assignment 18 of the contract. 19 And the jury has seen blank assignment language in the 20 contract many times. I'm not going to go through it again but 21 how -- did Vanderbilt at some point accept the assignment of 22 this contract? 23 Yes, there when we paid the loan amount to the CMH Homes. 24 And is there documentation to reflect that? 25 Yes, sir. I believe the installment sales contract says

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                 40
 1
    -- has the assignment language in it, yes, sir.
         Is there documentation in Vanderbilt's file showing that
 2
    Vanderbilt paid CMH Homes for the assignment?
 3
         There is, yes, sir.
 4
 5
              MR. RANGEL: We'll pull up CP46.
         It's hard to read, Mr. Nichols, but can you explain to the
 6
 7
    jury what that shows?
         Those are the accounting entries on the Vanderbilt side
 8
    and moving the money to CMH Homes.
10
         And the amount that is shown there, is that the amount
11
    that is also shown on the retail installment contract?
12
         Yes, sir, I believe it is.
13
         And is this -- this transaction, is this the transaction
14
    that occurred on January 16th, 2002 when Vanderbilt accepted
15
    the assignment?
16
         Yes, sir, it is.
17
         Was, in fact, CMH Homes paid in full for that assignment
18
    by Vanderbilt?
19
         Yes, sir, they were.
20
              MR. RANGEL: And we'll pull up CP45. The very
21
    bottom.
22
         Looking at the last line, what does that say?
23
         It's the total, 40,815.19.
24
         What does "Intercompany VMF auto entries" mean?
25
         It's the accountant's way of moving money from Vanderbilt
```

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 41 1 to CMH Homes, Inc. It's an intercompany transfer. 2 And is the amount of \$40,815.19, the same amount that is 3 in the retail installment contract that was signed by Mr. King and Mr. Flores? 4 5 Yes, sir, I believe it is. When Vanderbilt accepted the assignment of the contract, 6 7 did Vanderbilt become the owner of the debt? Yes, sir, we did. 8 9 And was Vanderbilt the owner of the debt when it filed 10 this lawsuit last year? 11 Yes, sir, we were. 12 And is Vanderbilt the owner of the debt today? 13 Yes, sir, we are. 14 And did that occur when Vanderbilt accepted the assignment 15 from CMH Homes? 16 We became the owner of the debt when we accepted the 17 assignment, yes, sir. 18 The jury, Mr. Nichols, has heard about Sales Center 214 19 and you've been here during the testimony. 20 Yes, sir. Α 21 And did you, in fact, at some point have a discussion with 22 Mr. Booth about the problems that surfaced at Sales Center 214? 23 Yes, sir, we did. Α 24 And what was your understanding of the problems that had 25 surfaced or had been identified at Sales Center 214?

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                 42
 1
         The notary practices at the sales center were deplorable.
 2
    The -- in -- the notary practices were deplorable at the sales
 3
    center, the -- as regards the land documents.
 4
         Were you happy about what you found out about those notary
 5
    practices?
 6
         No, sir.
 7
         Were they acceptable?
         They were not acceptable, no, sir.
 9
         Did it give you some concern?
10
         It gave us a lot of concern, yes, sir.
11
         And in talking with Mr. Booth, Mr. Booth of course the
12
    president of CMH Homes, what did you-all decide to do to
13
    address those deplorable notary practices at Sales Center 214?
14
         We thought the thing to do was release the lien on the
    land -- on all the land-in-lieu transactions and that's what we
15
    did about it.
16
17
         And why was it, Mr. Nichols, that you and Mr. Booth
18
    decided to do that, to release the liens on the land in those
19
    transactions?
20
         There was no way to determine which notary was correct on
21
    the land documents.
22
         And was the nature of the problem with respect to the
    notary practices focused on the land-in-lieu transactions or
23
    transactions that involved land?
24
25
          They were focused on the land-in-lieu transactions, yes,
```

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 43 1 sir. And so to you and Mr. Booth, it didn't make sense to focus 2 the solution on the land? 3 Yes, sir, we believed that was the best thing to do. 4 5 Why do you -- and of course you heard Mr. Booth testify -believe that that was the right and fair thing to do? 6 7 Because you couldn't determine which notary -- which notarized land-in-lieu document was accurate and which was not. 9 In deciding to release the liens on the land in those 10 transactions, did you in any way intend to release the debt or the indebtedness in the real estate contract involved? 11 12 No, sir, we did not. 13 In filing the deed of trust release here and the 14 mechanic's lien release here with respect to the contract signed by Mr. King and Mr. Flores, did you in any way intend to 15 16 release them from that debt or that indebtedness? 17 We did not, no, sir. 18 What was your intention in filing the deed of trust release and the builder's and mechanic's lien release? 19 20 Just release the liens on the land. 21 In making that decision and filing the deed of trust 22 release and builder's and mechanic's lien release, is that 23 something that could negatively impact Vanderbilt financially? 24 Certainly both us and CMH Homes are giving up collateral 25 that --

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                44
 1
              THE COURT: Could you speak up, please, sir?
 2
              THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Yes, your Honor.
 3
              We -- normally you would have cash down payments.
                                                                  So
    if you didn't have cash, then basically it's kind of giving up
 4
 5
    the equity you would have had from the customer.
    BY MR. RANGEL:
 6
 7
         And was the effect of filing those releases just to
    release the liens on the land on those transactions?
 9
         It was, yes, sir.
10
         And in particular with the transaction involving Mr. King
11
    and Mr. Flores, was that the intent to release the lien on the
12
    land?
13
         Yes, sir, it was.
14
         Okay. In the transaction involving Mr. King and
15
    Mr. Flores, the land collateral, was that just -- was that
    separate from the collateral in the mobile home?
16
17
         Yes, sir. Just from my perspective, the installment
18
    contract and title is how you secure your interest in the house
19
    and the deeds of trust and the builder's and mechanic's liens
20
    are how you secure your interest in the land.
21
         And in filing the deed of trust release and builder's and
22
    mechanic's release, did that in any way release the lien or
    security interest in the mobile home?
23
24
         No, sir.
25
         Was the debt that Mr. King and Mr. Flores incurred
```

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                 45
 1
    separate from the collateral on the land that had been pledged
    as additional collateral?
 2
         Yes, sir. They -- their debt was for that, yes, sir.
 3
              MR. RANGEL: Let's pull up CP12, the deed of trust.
 4
 5
          This is the deed of trust release that was filed by
    Vanderbilt; is that true?
 6
 7
         Yes, sir.
    Α
         And anywhere in that deed of trust release, is there any
 8
    reference to "paid in full"?
10
         Not that I see, no, sir.
                And the jury has seen the builder's and mechanic's
11
12
    lien release that had language "paid in full"?
13
    Α
         Correct.
14
         The builder's and mechanic's lien release was filed by CMH
15
    Homes?
16
         Yes, sir.
17
         And the paid-in-full reference in there, was that
18
    referring to the money that Vanderbilt paid CMH Homes when it
19
    accepted the assignment?
20
         Yes, sir, it was.
21
         Did it refer to the debt by Mr. King and Mr. Flores?
22
         No, sir, it did not.
23
         To this day, have Mr. King and Mr. Flores paid Vanderbilt
24
    in full for the debt that they agreed to pay when they signed
25
    that retail installment contract?
```

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 46 1 They have not, no, sir. 2 And it's your understanding that they agreed to pay a hundred forty-four payments and they have not made those 3 hundred and four payments --4 5 That is correct. -- hundred and forty-four payments, correct? 6 7 Correct. During the time that you and Mr. Booth were discussing the decision to file these releases, did you-all at any time have 10 any discussion that you wanted to keep the filing of these 11 releases secret? 12 We did not, no, sir. We made the decision to release the 13 lien, issued the orders and it fell -- went through the 14 process. 15 And is it your understanding that the builder's and mechanic's lien release and the deed of trust release were 16 17 filed in the public records at the courthouse? 18 Yes, sir, they are. 19 And in this particular transaction in the public records 20 of the Jim Wells County courthouse? 21 Yes, sir. 22 If you had intended to keep the filing of these releases secret, would you have filed it in the public records? 23 24 No, sir, we would not. 25 Now, there's also been an issue as to notifying the

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 47 1 landowners of the filing of the release. The record shows that 2 the landowners, the Trevinos were not notified. That's correct. 3 Α And what was the thinking behind that? 4 5 I'm not sure there was a lot of thinking behind that. 6 went through the process and the process as we saw yesterday on 7 a partial release is that the landowner was not notified. 8 And did you and Mr. Booth make a -- have a specific discussion saying we are not going to notify the landowners? 10 No, sir, we did not. Α 11 Okay. What was the focus of the discussion that you and 12 Mr. Booth had? Release the liens on the land and that's the instructions 13 14 we gave and that's what happened. Are you aware of any requirement that the landowners be 15 notified when you have a partial release like this? 16 17 I'm not aware of any specific requirement. 18 And, Mr. Nichols, what's your understanding as to the 19 number of parcels of land that were released back in the fall 20 -- October of 2005 as a result of discussions that you and 21 Mr. Booth had? 22 Just under 400 pieces of dirt, yeah -- 400 pieces of real 23 estate. 24 And those 400 pieces of real estate, were those out of 25 Sales Center 214?

```
Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                 48
 1
         They were all from 214.
 2
         All right. And that's where you had identified the
    deplorable notary practices?
 3
         Yes, sir, it was.
 4
 5
         Related to the land?
         Yes, sir.
 6
    Α
 7
         Are there indications in VMF's files that confirm that it
 8
    was not VMF's intent to release the debt?
         Yes, sir, there are.
10
         For example, what is the Texas Department of Housing and
11
    Community Affairs?
12
         That's the Texas state department that handles mobile home
13
    titles from our --
14
         All right.
15
              MR. RANGEL: Pull up CP6.
16
         Can you identify this document for the jury, Mr. Nichols?
         It's the Certificate of Title on a manufactured home -- I
17
18
    believe this one that we're talking about.
19
         And is this sent to the Texas Department of Housing and
20
    Community Affairs by Vanderbilt?
21
         I think Texas -- they actually create this from the
22
    documents we sent them for titling and then send it back to us
23
    0
         Okay.
24
              MR. RANGEL: And we'll get the full screen up.
25
         Is there a first lien there?
```

```
49
                    Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
 1
         Yes, sir, there is.
 2
              MR. RANGEL: And we'll highlight the first lien up --
    right there. Highlight. There we go.
 3
         And what -- does that show that Vanderbilt Mortgage and
 4
 5
    Finance has the first lien on the mobile home?
         It does show that we're the lienholder on the manufactured
 6
 7
    home, yes, sir.
 8
         To your knowledge, has Vanderbilt ever released that title
 9
    lien with the Texas Department of Housing and Community
10
    Affairs?
11
         It has not, no, sir.
         If Vanderbilt had intended to release the debt or the
12
13
    indebtedness of Mr. King and Mr. Flores, would it have released
14
    that lien?
15
         Yes, sir.
16
         And the fact of the matter is you never released that
17
    lien?
18
         We did not, no, sir.
19
        And why not?
20
         Because they still owed the debt on the retail installment
21
    contract.
22
         And the intent was to release what?
23
         Only the land.
24
         Okay. There have been some references to discharging of
25
    the debt, the charge-off debt and to consider it paid off.
```

Case 2:09-cv-00312 Document 272 Filed in TXSD on 02/10/11 Page 50 of 330 Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 50 1 Vanderbilt had done this in this case, what would it have done in addition to making that decision? What filings would it 2 have made? 3 If we had discharged this debt? 4 5 Yes. Well, we would have released our lien on this title. We 6 7 would have stamped the installment sales contract paid, sent those things to the customer. 8 And would you have notified the IRS about anything? 10 At the end of the year, we would have sent the customer a 11 1099 and we would have sent a 1099 to the IRS. 12 Why? 13 It's an IRS rule that we're required -- if we discharge a 14 debt, we send a 1099 to the IRS. 15 What's a 1099? 16 I believe it's a miscellaneous income form from the IRS 17 perspective. 18 If Vanderbilt had discharged the debt owed by Mr. King and 19 Mr. Flores, would that have been a form of income to Mr. King and Mr. Flores? 20 21 I'm not a tax expert but the IRS requires us to send them 22 the form and then they would file their taxes. 23 Did Vanderbilt send the IRS a 1099 with respect to this 24 indebtedness by Mr. King and Mr. Flores?

We did not, no, sir.

25

Nichols - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 51 1 If Vanderbilt had discharged the debt by Mr. King and 2 Mr. Flores, would you have stopped collection efforts? Yes, sir, we would have. 3 Α In this case, did you stop collection efforts? 4 5 We did not, no, sir. And why did you not stop collection efforts? 6 7 Because they still owed for the home they were living in. Okay. And did Vanderbilt stamp the retail installment 8 9 contract "paid" after he filed these releases? 10 Α No, sir. 11 Why not? 12 Because we only released the lien on the lands. 13 There's been some reference and Mr. Jordan testified here 14 yesterday that he signed the release for both CMH Homes and for 15 Vanderbilt. Was he authorized to sign for both -- separate 16 companies? 17 Yes, sir. He's an assistant secretary of both 18 corporations. 19 Okay. And the jury has seen that the builder's and 20 mechanic's lien release that has the language "paid in full" was signed by Mr. Jordan on behalf of CMH Homes; is that 21 22 correct? 23 Yes, sir. Α 24 All right. At the time that that release was signed in 25 October 2005, had the debt already been assigned to Vanderbilt

```
52
                   Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
 1
    and accepted by Vanderbilt?
 2
         Yes, sir. We considered the debt assigned to us back when
    we funded it in 2002.
 3
         So if the debt was assigned to Vanderbilt, could CMH Homes
 4
 5
    release the debt that had been previously assigned to
    Vanderbilt?
 6
 7
         No, sir.
         Would you tell the jury, Mr. Nichols, what your intent --
    and you were involved in the decision and Vanderbilt's intent
10
    was in connection with the filing of the deed of trust release
11
    and builder's and mechanic's lien?
12
         Our intent was to release the lien on the approximately
13
    400 pieces of real estate off of Sales Center 214.
14
         Was it ever Vanderbilt's intent to release Mr. King and
15
    Mr. Flores from the debt and the indebtedness that they had
    voluntarily accepted when they purchased the mobile home?
16
17
         There was no intent to do that, no, sir.
18
              MR. RANGEL: Pass the witness, your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Rumley?
20
                            CROSS EXAMINATION
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
21
22
         Good morning, Mr. Nichols.
23
         Good morning.
    Α
24
         How are you, sir?
25
         I'm fine, sir.
                          Thank you.
```

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 53 1 There was some mention earlier about you gave a 2 deposition, right? 3 Yes, sir. Α And I took your deposition and the date of your deposition 4 5 was January 18th, 2005? 6 That sounds correct, yes, sir. 7 And it is true that during your deposition you testified that you did not report any of the calls with the customers, 8 correct? 10 That's correct. And so when Ms. Russell was testifying about these calls 11 12 in 2003-2004, they would not have been recorded, would they? 13 They were not recorded. They were documented, as she 14 explained, in the system. 15 But there was no recording, correct? 16 There was none, no, sir. 17 All right. But your testimony today is that at some time 18 late 2005, that's when you began recording these calls? 19 We purchased the equipment late 2005 and I think they 20 started recording in early 2006. 21 All right. And I'm right that you don't have any of those 22 recordings to share with us today that relate anything to this 23 case, true? 24 We do not, no, sir. 25 All right. You mentioned -- and I wrote this down -- the

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 54 1 notary practices in Store 214 was deplorable. 2 Yes, sir. What do you mean by "deplorable"? 3 Evidently they were passing the notary stamp around and 4 5 other people were using it and signing the notary's name. Do you know whether or not, sir, it is legal for someone 6 7 to impersonate a notary user stamp and sign their name? I don't know if it's -- it doesn't sound legal. It does 9 not -- I'm not a lawyer but it doesn't sound right. 10 Well, you understand the difference between my wife calls 11 me up and I'm here in trial and she calls and says, hey, can I 12 sign your name to this and I give her permission to sign my 13 name -- that's different than if you are a public official, a 14 notary of the state of Texas? You understand that, right? 15 That would -- I would think so, yes, sir. And one of the things that -- one of the jobs of 16 17 Vanderbilt is to review the credit application, review the 18 documents that make up the credit application which would be 19 the various documents signed by the customer and notarized, 20 correct? 21 Yes, sir. 22 It would also include a deed of trust, mechanic's lien, 23 those types of documents, correct? 24 Yes, sir. 25 And it is the job and responsibility of that person within

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 55 1 Vanderbilt to review those documents and make sure that they're 2 signed, right? 3 Α Correct. And that they're properly notarized, correct? 4 5 They're going to look at the notary signature and see that 6 it looks proper from their perspective, yes. 7 Right. I mean, obviously you're the lender, correct? 0 Yes, sir. 9 And you're not going to want to lend money on a 10 transaction that could be fraudulent, right? 11 We would not, no, sir. 12 And so one of the things that you do as a lender to make 13 sure that you're not going to loan money for a fraudulent 14 transaction is to make darn sure that the documents are properly notarized, true? 15 16 The loan processor is going to review the documents and 17 see that they're all notarized and signed, yes, sir, but the 18 question of whether they're going to know that the notary that 19 stamped it and signed it was legitimate, they would not know 20 that, no, sir. They're going to go on the face value of what 21 they see. 22 And let's talk about that. The deplorable notary 23 practices that occurred in Store 214, they relate to a notary 24 named Benjamin Frazier, correct? 25 Yes, sir.

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 56 And isn't it true, sir, that Benjamin Frazier was fired in 1 2 2002 for this notary practices, correct? 3 That is not my understanding, no, sir. Α What isn't your understanding? 4 5 I think he was fired because he violated a rule that --6 Texas had a law change and I don't remember the exact thing but 7 you had to put the house -- when you put a house on a piece of land that the customer owned, you had to do certain things with 8 9 the title and I don't believe he did that. So I think that was 10 why -- I'm not perfectly sure. Mr. Booth would know 11 specifically but I believe he was fired for that -- a different 12 violation. 13 There's no other notary that's involved in these 14 practices, correct? 15 I don't think so, no, sir. 16 Everything you've seen and everything the jury is going to 17 see when they hear from Mr. Frazier is it's his notary that was 18 being used? 19 Yes, sir. 20 It was his notary that was this -- these deplorable practices, correct? 21 22 Yes, sir. 23 And you do recognize that Mr. Frazier was fired in 2002, 24 right? 25 He was fired in 2002, yes, sir.

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 57 1 And you know that Kevin Clayton, the CEO of this company, 2 sent out a voice mail in 2004, right? 3 He did, yes, sir. And in that voice mail, he told everybody in the company 4 5 that the allegations -- the problems with Store 214 relate to 6 the notary practices of an employer who was fired a year ago, 7 correct? I believe he said that, yes, sir. 9 What other employee was fired at Store 214 other than 10 Mr. Frazier? 11 I don't know but I don't -- I think he kind of combined 12 two stories -- I mean, or two different events, the notary 13 practice and the simultaneously the sales person was fired. 14 Well, can you tell the jury -- identify one other person at Store 214 that was fired? 15 16 I cannot, no, sir. I'm not that familiar with that on a 17 store level. 18 Now, if we look at the timeline, you gave your deposition 19 in January of 2005, correct? 20 Yes, sir. Α 21 And when you gave your deposition and we went through 22 this, you were aware of the notary practices that were 23 occurring in Store 214, correct? 24 We were aware of the allegations and it continued to grow, 25 yes.

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 58 1 And they continued to grow. What do you mean by that? 2 Well, I guess we continued to firm up some of the allegations in that perspective. 3 Well, we'll get to this in a little bit but you actually 4 5 testified in your deposition that if there was an allegation that the signature was forged and there was a fraudulent 6 7 transaction, one of the things you would do is call the customer, right? 8 9 I may have said that, yes, sir. 10 Well, did you say it? 11 I don't recall, sir. 12 MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, can I show this just to the 13 witness to refresh his memory? Page 57, Line 23. 14 BY MR. RUMLEY: 15 Mr. Nichols, I want you to read this to yourself and ask 16 you if this refreshes your memory as to whether or not -- and I 17 can't see. So if I'm --18 I'm not sure what line I'm looking for and what page. 19 Right here. 20 Α Oh. 21 Is my finger on the screen? Let me know when to turn the 22 page. 23 Yes, sir, I see. That's what I said. 24 Okay. And so back -- and obviously when you gave your 25 deposition, you were under oath, right?

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                59
 1
         Yes, sir.
 2
         And what you told me in 2005 that if you learned that
    these allegations that a signature had been forged, you would
 3
    contact the customer?
 4
 5
         I think I said, "without a doubt" in the deposition there,
    that without a doubt --
 6
 7
         What you said, sir, is you would talk to the customer and
    if the allegation was without a doubt -- if you thought
    something was inappropriate without a doubt, what would you do?
10
         We'd call the customer is what I said.
11
         No, you would release the lien for the customer; am I
12
    right?
13
         I didn't read that far down. I'm sorry.
14
         Let's look at what you said.
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, can this go to the jury now?
15
    He denied it. So I'm going -- I think we can go to the jury
16
17
    now on it and impeach him with his own testimony.
18
              THE COURT: Any objection?
19
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, he just said he had not read that
20
    far down. If he could read it, then he can respond to it
21
    but --
22
              THE COURT: Show it to him and let him read it.
23
              THE WITNESS: We would talk to the customer and if it
24
    was without a doubt we thought something was inappropriate, we
25
    would release the lien for the customer.
```

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 60 1 BY MR. RUMLEY: 2 For the customer. 3 Α Yes. Right, the customer isn't the landowner. Your customer is 4 5 the one that signed the contract, right? Generally they're one in the same. Most land-in-lieu 6 7 transactions, the landowner and the customer are the same person. What you told me in 2005 before you filed these hundreds 10 of releases is that if there was an allegation, what you'd do 11 is you would call the customer, you would talk to them and you 12 would investigate whether or not the allegations were true, 13 false, whatever and then if it was without a doubt true, then 14 what you would do is you would release the lien for the 15 customer, right? 16 That's what I said, yes, sir. 17 And the other thing that you said that if you determined 18 that the deed of trust was not valid for any reason -- and you 19 agree with me that deed of trust and mechanic's lien contract, 20 those documents have to be notarized, right? They have to be notarized. I don't know if they have to 21 22 be notarized to be valid but --Do they have to be notarized for Vanderbilt? 23 24 Yes, sir, they do. 25 In order for them to be -- for you to consider them, they

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                 61
1
    have to be notarized, right?
 2
         Yes, sir.
         So if they're not notarized, then they're not valid,
 3
    right?
 4
 5
         From Vanderbilt's perspective but in the legal world, I'm
    not sure what that means.
 6
 7
         And I understand you're not a lawyer, right?
         I am not. No, sir, I am not a lawyer.
 8
         And --
10
         Let's make that clear.
11
         -- but you're the president of Vanderbilt, this big
12
    mortgage company, right?
13
         Yes, sir, I am.
         And just so we're clear, in order for a deed of trust or
14
15
    mechanic's lien contract to be valid to Vanderbilt, they must
16
    be properly notarized, right?
17
         That's correct, yes, sir.
18
         And one of the things -- you told me that if you
19
    determined that the deed of trust was not valid for any reason,
20
    what would you do?
         We'd release the lien.
21
22
         Would you take care of the customer?
23
         I would release the lien on the -- that was associated
24
    with that deed of trust.
25
         Do you remember what you told me in 2005 before you filed
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                 62
    all these releases?
1
 2
         Not specifically, no, sir.
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, this is for the witness
 3
 4
    only.
 5
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
 6
              MR. RUMLEY: I'm sorry, Page 40, 21.
 7
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
         Now, sir, start right there at Page 40, Line 21 and read
 8
    the question and your answer.
10
         Yes, sir, I see it.
11
         All right. And does that refresh your memory as to what
12
    you testified to in 2005?
13
         Yes, sir.
14
         And what you testified to is that if you found that a deed
    of trust is not valid for whatever reasons, what steps would
15
16
    you take and you said, we're going to make every effort to
17
    satisfy and take care of our customer --
18
         That's correct.
19
        -- right?
20
         And -- may I explain something?
21
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, that was not the complete answer
22
    that he gave.
23
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
24
          You can read the whole thing.
25
              MR. RUMLEY:
                            I have no objection, your Honor, reading
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                 63
 1
    the whole --
 2
              THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
 3
              MR. RUMLEY: Do you want me to show it to the jury or
    do you want him just to read it?
 4
 5
              THE COURT: You can read it I that's what he wants.
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
 6
 7
         Sir, let me just read the question to you, right. "And
              assume with me you've determined that the deed of
 9
              trust is not valid for whatever reason, what types of
10
              steps would Vanderbilt take?" And what was your
11
    answer?
12
         "Depending on the circumstances, we're going to
              investigate it and find out what the situation is on
13
14
              the thing and, I mean, take the appropriate action to
15
              resolve it for the customer and I'm not sure what
16
              action would be because I'm not sure what the
17
              circumstances might be."
18
         And then continuing on.
19
         "We're going to make every effort to satisfy and take care
20
    of our customer."
21
         The customer, right?
22
         Yes, sir, and -- can I qualify it, your Honor?
23
    customer in most land-in-lieu transactions are one in the same.
24
    Third-party land-in-lieu transactions are a little different
25
    when there's a third party involved.
                                           They're not our customer.
```

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 64 1 Did I ask you in your deposition whether or not you 2 notified the landowner too? No, sir, you didn't. 3 Would you notify the landowner? 4 5 I don't know, sir. I'm -- from what perspective in --That you were filing these releases. Would you notify the 6 7 landowner that you're filing these releases? No, I don't think that was required. I mean, we've talked 9 about that. I mean, we released the liens on the land. 10 sorry. I'm tracking with you. 11 Well, we'll get to the release in a minute. Clearly, 12 Mr. Nichols, you were aware back in 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004 that 13 notaries within the sales center were notarizing documents, 14 correct? There was allegations that the notary practice was not 15 16 correct, yes, sir. 17 I'm not sure that was my question. My question was, you 18 were aware that employees of CMH were notarizing documents, 19 right? 20 In general, yes. That's part of what they do, yes. 21 And you were aware because once it gets back into the 22 funding system that the sales associates were actually receiving commissions, correct? 23 24 Yes, sir. 25 And you were aware that none of these transactions were

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                65
 1
    being closed at a title company, correct?
 2
         That's correct, yes, sir.
 3
         And you were aware that the documents were being notarized
    by individuals that had a financial interest in the
 4
 5
    transaction, correct?
         Yes, sir.
 6
 7
         Now, one of the things that you -- and by "you," I mean
 8
    Vanderbilt. One of the things that you do before you start --
 9
    before you sue someone, one of the things is you're supposed to
10
    go through the file and look for red flags, true?
11
         They would go through and make sure that all of the
12
    documents were right and the names were correct.
              MR. RUMLEY: We would offer Defendants' Exhibit 206.
13
14
              MR. RANGEL: No objection, your Honor.
15
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
16
         Mr. Nichols, you-all have a operations manual, right?
17
         Yes, sir.
18
         And I assume as president of the company, you've seen it
19
    before?
20
         We have lots of manuals and I've seen some of all of them
21
    but I would not say I know every detail of every manual, no,
22
    sir.
23
         All right. Well, let me show it to you then.
24
              THE COURT: I'm sorry --
25
              MR. RUMLEY:
                            Your Honor, we'd offer Exhibit 206.
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                66
 1
              THE COURT: Was that objected to?
 2
              MR. RANGEL: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: 206 is admitted. Sorry.
 3
         (Defendants' Exhibit Number 206 was received in evidence)
 4
 5
              MR. RUMLEY:
                           Thank you.
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
 6
 7
         All right, Mr. Nichols, let me come down here to the
    bottom. Do you see where it says, "Vanderbilt Mortgage
 8
    Operations Manual"?
10
         Yes, sir, I do.
11
         Okay. And we'd come up here and -- are you familiar with
12
    that manual?
13
         I'm not intimately familiar with that manual, no, sir.
14
         But it is a manual that you're aware that's in place
15
    within the company?
16
         It certainly appears so, yes, sir.
17
         And it certainly appears a manual in place in the company,
18
    someone should be following it, right?
19
         I would think so, yes.
20
         You would think someone within the company would be
21
    charged with the responsibility to follow it, true?
22
         It appears that the legal reps in that group, yes, sir.
23
         Okay. And one of the things that they do -- you read --
24
    it says, "A legal representative's primary duty when reviewing
25
              a delinquent account is to uncover problems that
```

```
67
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
 1
              could solve legal action. This is referred to as
 2
               'looking for red flags.'" Do you see that?
         Yes, sir.
 3
    Α
         And you're -- are you familiar with that procedure?
 4
 5
         I'm familiar with the word "red flags," yes, sir.
         Were you familiar with whether or not someone within your
 6
 7
    company needs to review the file before you bring legal action?
         I would assume that someone in the legal department
 8
 9
    reviewed the filed before it went.
10
         And you agree with me that that would a good thing for a
    company to do, right?
11
12
         Yes, sir.
13
         Make sure your ducks are in a row before you sue someone?
14
         That's correct.
15
         And we come down to one of the things they're supposed to
    look for is misrepresentation within the transaction --
16
17
    Α
         Yes, sir.
18
         -- right? And then if they discover any problems, they're
19
    supposed to summarize it and put it in a report?
20
         That's correct.
21
         And then together they must decide either to propose --
22
    either to proceed with legal action or return the file to
23
    legal, correct?
24
         Yes, sir.
25
         All right.
                     Now, it is true -- well, you-all filed the
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                 68
 1
    suit in 2009?
 2
         I believe it was 2009, yes, sir.
 3
         As of 2009, there were policies already in place within
    this company that prevented individuals from notarizing a
 4
 5
    document in which they have a financial interest, correct?
 6
         Yes, sir.
    Α
 7
         And so we're clear, there was no policy in place in 2002
    that prevented someone from notarizing a document in which they
 9
    had a financial interest, correct?
         No, sir.
10
    Α
         But after this -- deplorable notary practices were
11
12
    discovered in Corpus, companywide policy came out that said you
13
    cannot -- no longer notarize a document if you have a financial
    interest, right?
14
15
         That's correct, yes, sir.
16
         We know, do we not, sir, that Benjamin Frazier's notary
17
    stamp at least appears throughout not only the deed of trust
18
    and mechanic's lien but throughout the transaction file, right?
19
         I assume there's some other documents notarized in the
20
    file, yes, sir.
21
         Well, I mean, you knew you were coming here to testify,
22
    right?
23
         Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
24
         And you were coming here on behalf of Vanderbilt?
25
         I am, yes, sir.
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                 69
 1
         And you've looked at the loan file, right?
 2
    Α
         I --
         Haven't you looked at the loan file?
 3
         I've looked at pieces of the loan file, yes, sir, I have.
 4
 5
         If -- you agree with me that there are documents in the
 6
    loan file, in the customer's file that are notarized, correct?
 7
         There are, yes, sir.
    Α
         And if this legal representative is reviewing the file
 9
    looking for red flags, they would see Benjamin Frazier's notary
10
    appearing throughout the transaction file, right?
11
         They would, sir.
12
         And clearly by 2009, you would know about the allegations
13
    about Benjamin Frazier, right?
14
         Yes, sir.
15
         In fact, when I took your deposition in 2005, Benjamin
    Frazier had already been deposed not once but twice, correct?
16
17
         I believe that's correct, yes, sir.
18
         And you knew from reading those depositions that he had
19
    already testified that his signature had been forged as a
20
    notary on numbers of documents, right?
21
         Yes, sir.
22
         Well, when you decided to sue Flores and King, why didn't
23
    anybody say, wait a minute, we can't do that because it
24
    violates our policy and procedure?
25
              MR. RANGEL:
                            I would object to the extent he's
```

70 Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 1 getting into any attorney-client communication or privilege. 2 BY MR. RUMLEY: I'm limiting it, sir, to this red-flags policy and 3 procedure of reviewing the documents, not what your lawyers 4 5 told you or anything. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. RUMLEY: Just so we're clear. THE COURT: Thank you. 8 9 BY MR. RUMLEY: 10 Do you understand, Mr. Nichols? I'm not asking you what 11 your lawyers have told you or anything. I'm asking you as the 12 president of Vanderbilt who has a policy in place to review 13 files for red flags before you turn around and sue someone, okay. My question to you is, you were aware that someone 14 15 within the company was aware that Benjamin Frazier's notary 16 appears throughout the transaction documents and he was also 17 receiving a financial interest in this transaction, true? 18 That is correct, yes, sir. 19 Then how is that it happened? Isn't that a red flag? 20 I don't think the installment sales contract is notarized, 21 sir. But there's a number of documents within the transaction 22 23 file that must be signed and must be notarized or you would 24 have never approved the loan, true? 25 That's correct, primarily all associated with the real

```
71
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
1
    estate.
 2
         Then let's identify them. There's two power of attorneys
 3
    or three?
         Yes, sir.
 4
 5
         Two?
         Usually two, I think.
 6
 7
         Two?
         Yes, sir.
 8
 9
         Okay. Two power of attorneys, those must be signed, must
10
    be properly notarized or you would never fund the loan, true?
11
         That's correct.
12
         All right. And you know those notaries -- those power of
13
    attorneys have Benjamin Frazier's notary stamp on them, right?
14
         I would assume so, yes, sir.
15
         We don't know if he signed them but they appear on it,
16
    right?
17
         They do, yes, sir.
18
         And we know that Benjamin Frazier has a financial interest
19
    in this transaction, true?
20
         Yes, sir.
    Α
21
         Isn't that a red flag?
22
         I would say from the account rep's perspective, no, but --
23
         Well, shouldn't someone up the chain that gets this
24
    report?
25
         I would say no, it was the standard practice. It was a
```

```
72
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
 1
    home-only loan. It went through the normal channels of
 2
    being --
         Sir, would you -- this -- would Vanderbilt -- would this
 3
    company really foreclose and take someone's home if you
 4
 5
    discovered the documents were fraudulently notarized? Would
    you do that?
 6
 7
         I don't think the documents impacted the retail
    installment contract that he promised to pay for the home he
 8
 9
    was living in, sir.
10
         That's not my question, okay? The jury understands that.
11
    I think everybody understands. We've seen the retail
12
    installment contract at least a dozen times, okay. We
    understand that that document is not notarized but you and I
13
14
    agree that if they just signed the retail installment contract,
15
    you're not going to fund the loan and you're not going to
16
    approve the loan, true?
17
         That's correct.
18
         You're not going to fund the loan. You're not going to
19
    approve the loan unless there's a number of other documents
20
    that are required to be notarized and are signed, properly
21
    notarized and sent to you, right?
22
         That's correct, yes, sir.
23
         And before you do that, that's one of the jobs that
24
    Vanderbilt is to review all those and make sure they're
25
    properly notarized, correct?
```

```
73
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
 1
         Yes, sir.
 2
         All right. And going back, if you were aware -- and by
    "you," sir, I mean Vanderbilt Mortgage. If Vanderbilt Mortgage
 3
    is aware that a document in a transaction file is fraudulently
 4
 5
    notarized, would you continue to try to take their home? Would
    you do that?
 6
 7
         I think we would proceed under the retail installment
    contract, yes, sir.
 9
         So you would continue to sue him?
    Α
10
       Yes, sir.
11
         Even if you knew and you were aware that a document was
12
    fraudulently notarized by one of your employees?
13
         I don't know that it was fraudulently notarized, sir.
14
         You understand Ben Frazier has testified his signature is
15
    forged on these documents, right?
         I -- yes, sir, I do know that, yes, sir.
16
17
         Okay. So knowing Benjamin Frazier testified -- and only
18
    he can say it's my signature or it's not, right?
19
         Correct.
20
         Knowing Benjamin Frazier has testified that the very
    documents in this case that you're suing over are fraudulently
21
22
    notarized, you think it is appropriate to sue people to take
23
    their home? Yes or no?
24
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, I would object because
25
    Mr. Nichols is saying that they're suing on the real estate
```

```
74
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
    contract and Mr. Rumley --
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Overruled.
              THE WITNESS: I think under the terms of this
 3
 4
    contract, it was appropriate, yes, sir.
 5
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
 6
         You are bound by the Berkshire Hathaway ethics policy, are
 7
    you not?
         Yes, sir.
 8
 9
         And contained within the Berkshire Hathaway ethics policy
10
    is Warren Buffet's rule of thumb, correct?
11
         It is, yes, sir.
12
         And can you explain what Warren Buffet's rule of thumb is?
13
         Would not want something -- not want an event that you
14
    would not want publicized in the newspaper by an informed
15
    reporter.
         We're looking for it but isn't it -- in his rule of thumb,
16
17
    in essence -- and it makes sense. Before you act -- when
18
    you're making a conscious decision to do something or not do
19
    something, what he says is think about whether or not that act
20
    is going to be on the front page of your newspaper for your
21
    family, your friends, your loved ones, for all to read with a
22
    reporter of critical acclaim or something like that, right?
23
         I believe that's correct, yes, sir.
24
         All right. Using his rule of thumb -- and you agree that
25
    you're held to that ethical standard, right?
```

```
75
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
 1
         Yes, sir, we are.
 2
         And using that rule of thumb, would you, Mr. Nichols, on
    behalf of Vanderbilt want this to be on the front page of your
 3
    paper before your friends and your family and some -- Diane
 4
 5
    Sawyer interviewing you? Would you do the act knowing that to
    be true?
 6
 7
         I believe we're proceeding appropriately from what we know
 8
    about the transaction.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. I want you to listen carefully --
10
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
              THE COURT: -- to what he said and answer the
11
12
    question.
13
              THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
14
              MR. RUMLEY: Go ahead.
15
              THE COURT: Answer the question.
16
              THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you repeat it one
17
    more time?
18
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
19
         Sure. If, Mr. Nichols, were you aware, okay, of the
20
    decision. Here's the act, okay. The act is deciding to sue
21
    someone to take their home. Okay, that's the act. That's what
22
    you're deciding in your own mind, the act to sue someone to
23
    take their home. When you're aware the documents are
24
    fraudulently notarized in the transaction, if that act was on
25
    the front page of the newspaper for your loved ones, for your
```

```
76
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
1
    family and for your friends -- for the world to see and you
 2
    have an acclaimed reporter, critical reporter Diane Sawyer
 3
    interviewing you, would you make the decision to continue to
    sue these people and take their home?
 4
 5
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, I would object. It assumes facts
    not in evidence to be -- if you're suing under the retail
 6
 7
    installment contract, that is not --
 8
              THE COURT: Overruled.
 9
              THE WITNESS: I would not want a story like that, no,
10
    sir.
11
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
12
         Has -- have you, sir -- from January 2005 when you were
13
    deposed, have you made any reports of any of the ethical
14
    conduct that's taken place pursuant to that policy?
15
         No, sir, other than our corporate management.
16
         Ms. Russell, obviously you knew her or you know her?
17
         I know her better now through deposition, yes, sir -- or
18
    not her -- but her testimony.
19
         You know her after her coming in and testifying?
20
         I mean, I knew she was an account rep before but I didn't
21
    know her that -- personally. I did not know her personally,
22
    no, sir.
23
         One of the things that I think she said over and over is
    the number one goal of an account rep, the collection account
24
25
    rep is to keep the people in the home?
```

```
77
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
 1
         Yes, sir, it is.
 2
         Do you remember her telling the jury that?
 3
         I do, yes, sir.
         And do you believe that that's true?
 4
 5
         I believe it is true and all the efforts and she went with
    with these customers kind of substantiate that, sir.
 6
 7
         All right. And certainly if what she told the jury is
    true and is what you as the president of this company is
 8
 9
    telling the jury is true, then certainly your manuals like
10
    collection techniques should also hold true, right?
11
         That's correct.
12
         I mean, it would inappropriate for you, sir, as president
13
    of this company to come in and tell this jury, our main goal is
14
    to keep people in these homes when your manual, the manual
15
    that's used to train your collections says something otherwise,
16
    right?
17
         Yes, sir.
18
         That wouldn't be appropriate for you to tell the jury
19
    that, would it?
20
         It would not, no, sir.
21
         Have you seen this manual before?
22
         I believe it's the one that was up the other day?
23
         Had you seen it before this trial?
24
         I've seen lots of manuals, sir.
25
         Well, this is Clayton Party's Exhibit 80 and if we look --
```

Case 2:09-cv-00312 Document 272 Filed in TXSD on 02/10/11 Page 78 of 330 78 Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 1 just to refresh your memory, Mr. Nichols, this is -- it says, 2 "Vanderbilt Mortgage and Finance" up there at the top, true? 3 Yes, sir. Α And we come down and it says, "Collection Techniques, A 4 5 Guide to the Collection Call"? 6 Yes, sir, I see it. And this is a manual that is put into place for the 7 account reps like Ms. Russell, correct? 9 Yes, sir. 10 And one of the things that we saw earlier is the -- is 11 what they're doing when they make these calls is they're 12 panning for gold, right? 13 I believe that was an acronym for -- if you read what PAN 14 stood for, as I saw it the other day, right. It's an acronym for getting the money, for collecting 15 16 money, right? 17 It -- and that's what collectors are charged with, yes, 18 sir. 19 And that's their goal, not to keep them in the house but 20 to get money, right? 21 It's a combination, sir, and it's a difficult 22 balancing act for an account rep. 23 All right. Let's see what you train your reps on. Do you Q

see right here? Am I reading that right? Does it say "goals"?

24

25

Goals.

```
79
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
 1
         And it says, "Professional collectors know the value of
 2
              goals. Goals help you know where you're going and
              place you in a better position to judge whether or
 3
              not you're getting there." Did I read that right?
 4
 5
    Α
         Yes, sir.
 6
         Have you seen this before?
 7
         I have not seen this, no, sir.
         If we look the number one goal, what does that say?
 8
 9
         "I will collect more dollars."
10
         One of the most common goals set by collectors improve on
11
    the last month's collections for it to collect a projected
12
    number of accounts. That's the number one goal, right?
13
         That's part of his goal, yes, sir.
14
         His or her goal, right?
15
         Yes, sir.
16
         And, in fact, you pay them based on achieving that goal?
17
         They have an incentive bonus for achieving goals, yes,
18
    sir.
19
         Right. If they're not out there collecting on these, then
20
    it's going to affect them financially, true?
21
         Yes, sir, it will.
         And then the second one, "I will increase my customer
22
23
    contacts," that's the second goal, right?
24
         You need to talk to the delinquent customers, yes, sir.
25
         Well, actually it says, "increase my number of contacts."
```

Case 2:09-cv-00312 Document 272 Filed in TXSD on 02/10/11 Page 80 of 330 Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 80 1 So it's increase the number of people you're calling, right, 2 and that's the second goal, correct? 3 Well, I have to call more customers to collect more dollars, yes, sir. 4 5 Third one, "I will reduce the time spent with each 6 customer." That's the third goal. 7 An account rep is required to manage their time and make lots of calls every day, sir. So that means they can't spend 25 minutes on each call. 10 They shouldn't be on the phone talking about their customer's rabbits or something like that. They need to be 11 12 collecting money, correct? 13 They are going to have some calls with customers and learn 14 customers have issues and our guys try to work with them. 15 And what you train them as a third goal is to limit the 16 time, right? 17 We do train -- you've got to manage your time, yes, sir. 18 And the number one focus of their call when they call 19 these people is money, correct? 20 Their number one goal is to work out acceptable payment 21 arrangements, yes, sir. 22 Focus on the money and show me the money. That's the 23 number one goal of these account reps that call these people, 24 correct?

If you're in the collection business, yes, sir, you're

25

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                81
 1
    interested in the payments.
 2
          And it is a policy within this company -- or at least it
    was because I believe it's changed but they can call neighbors,
 3
    right?
 4
 5
         Yes, sir, they can.
         They can call family members, right?
 6
 7
         Yes, sir.
         And you even -- they even have a program in place where
    they can run an Accurint search on neighbors, correct?
10
         They are permitted to do that to locate the customer if
11
    they can't get a hold of them.
12
         And an Accurint search is like a background check on
13
    someone, correct?
14
         I think an Accurint search shows addresses and telephone
15
    numbers for customers.
16
         It shows a lot more too. Doesn't it show your employers?
17
    It shows a bunch of information about them, criminal record, a
18
    run -- it's a lot of information on a person?
19
         I don't think it shows all that, sir, but I'm not that
20
    familiar with it but I don't think we would pay for criminal
21
    background checks.
22
         You run Accurint checks, correct?
23
         We run Accurint checks and I believe it gives a limited
24
    amount of information on where we might contact the customer.
25
         But they're authorized to run these Accurint checks on
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                 82
 1
    someone's neighbor, right?
         I do not know, sir.
 2
         They can go to the spouse's employer and call there,
 3
    right?
 4
 5
         If the spouse is on the contract, yes, sir.
         They can call -- well, they can call neighbors, correct?
 6
 7
         Yes, sir.
         Obviously a neighbor is not going to be on the contract
    but they can call them.
10
    Α
         They --
11
         That's one of the techniques, true?
12
         They can call the neighbor to see if the neighbor to see
13
    if the customer is still in the house.
14
         They can call spouse's employer. They can call the
15
    customer's employer, correct?
16
         Yes, sir, I believe all that's permitted to call and find
17
    the customer.
18
         And then if all those things don't work, you can -- they
19
    can institute what's called a "field visit" and they actually
20
    send someone to go knock on their door, right?
21
         Yes, sir, we do.
22
         And if for some reason they're not home, they can go knock
    on their neighbor's door?
23
24
         They can knock on a neighbor's door and ask them if the
25
    customer still lives in the house.
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                83
 1
         And that is perfectly 100-percent appropriate in your mind
 2
    as president of this company, correct?
         Yes, sir, it is.
 3
    Α
         Do you know any other bank or any other thing that sends
 4
    people and come knocking on your doors?
 5
         All manufactured housing servicers do that, sir, to my
 6
 7
    knowledge. I didn't -- I believe that they do, yes, sir. I
    don't know about banks or --
 8
 9
         Which other ones go knocking on people's doors?
10
         Well, I would think --
11
         You would think. Do you understand think and know --
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, may he -- or may --
12
13
              THE COURT: Don't argue, please.
14
              MR. RANGEL: Objection, allow the witness --
15
              THE COURT: Don't interrupt. Let him complete his
16
    answer, please, sir.
17
              MR. RUMLEY: Go ahead.
18
              THE WITNESS: I think there are other loan companies
19
    that do send out field collectors even in the site-built
20
    mortgage world, sir. I think that is a practice that's
21
    certainly in place today.
22
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
23
         Sir, do you understand there's a different between think
24
    and know?
25
         Yes, I do.
                     I can't absolutely know but I believe it is a
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                 84
 1
    common practice when you can't get a hold of customers.
 2
         And you can't tell me and this jury one other -- one other
    mortgage company that actually calls the number of people that
 3
    you-all do or actually goes and knocks on their door
 4
 5
    (indiscernible)?
 6
         I cannot swear to another mortgage company, no, sir.
 7
         We talked about this land-in-lieu program and we all agree
    that the landowner doesn't have to sign off on the retail
 8
    installment contract, correct?
         Yes, sir.
10
    Α
11
         And -- except they do today?
12
         They do today, yes, sir.
13
         You-all changed that policy, right?
14
         Yes, sir, we did.
15
         And you told me back in 2005 the reason why you didn't
16
    require a third-party landowner to sign off on the retail
17
    installment contract is because they don't agree to pay for the
18
    home, right?
19
         That's correct.
20
         You never intended in this land-in-lieu program to make
21
    the landowner pay or be obligated for the loan, right?
22
         If the landowner and the homeowner are one in the same,
23
    yes. They would both be obligated I believe.
24
         And I'm talking about a situation like we have in this
25
    case, sir, a third-party land-in-lieu.
                                             You never, never
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                 85
    intended that this third party land-in-lieu person, someone
 1
 2
    putting this land up would be obligated to pay the loan, right?
         We did not intend for them to pay the loan. They're at
 3
    risk of losing the land should the customers not pay on the
 4
 5
    retail installment contract.
         You have looked at the deed of trust and the mechanic lien
 6
 7
    contract, right?
 8
         Yes, sir.
 9
         And there's no doubt in your mind that these documents
10
    were filed by someone within CMH?
11
         They were.
12
         And they were filed in order to record Vanderbilt's
13
    interest in the real property, correct?
14
         Yes, sir.
15
         And they were filed with the intent to record CMH's
16
    interest in the real property, correct?
         Yes, sir.
17
    Α
18
         No doubt in your mind that you-all filed these and you
19
    intended --
20
              THE COURT: You need to stand by the microphone and
    now the jurors want a break. So we'll take a 20-minute morning
21
22
    break.
            Thank you.
23
              THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
         (Jurors exited the courtroom at 10:14 a.m.)
24
25
               THE WITNESS:
                             Your Honor, may I bring water to the --
```

After Mr. Nichols, we're going to call

MR. RANGEL:

25

```
89
1
    Maria Trevino, Judge, and I would --
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Who?
 3
              MR. RANGEL: Maria Trevino.
 4
              MR. RUMLEY: My client.
 5
              THE COURT: Oh, okay, the other lady.
                           Right. And when I was going through
 6
              MR. RANGEL:
 7
    everything last night, Judge, I realized that the warranty deed
 8
    to the brother Gilbert and warrant deed of Hudson, already have
 9
           They're in evidence. And I'm just going to show them
10
    and that's all.
11
              THE COURT: Good thing you found that out.
              MR. RUMLEY: We would still object under 403.
12
13
    Yesterday I tried to show --
14
                         I thought they were in evidence.
              THE COURT:
15
              MR. RANGEL: They're in evidence.
16
                           Yesterday I tried to show the witness
              MR. RUMLEY:
17
    the securitization documents that were already in evidence and
18
    an objection on appropriate was sustained. It's the same --
19
    just because of the method --
2.0
              THE COURT: Well, I shouldn't have done that.
21
              MR. RUMLEY: Huh?
22
              THE COURT: I shouldn't have done that.
23
              MR. RANGEL: And I'm not going to prolong it, Judge.
24
    I'm just going to show it, confirm the date and all that but
25
    they're in evidence.
                           I didn't realize that they were in
```

```
90
1
    evidence.
 2
              MR. RUMLEY: But so were the securitization
 3
    documents. I --
              MR. RANGEL: That's a different issue and the Court
 4
 5
    -- when Mr. Trevino -- Ms. Trevino gets up, I just did not want
 6
    to --
 7
              THE COURT: Well, why are you doing it though?
              MR. RANGEL: Just to confirm -- so the jury can
 8
 9
    confirm the date and the property descriptions.
10
              THE COURT: Well, why don't you just ask her?
11
              MR. RANGEL: Well, Judge, I did that with Mr. Trevino
12
    and he said, well, sometime and sometimes it's --
              THE COURT: But it was before the lien was
13
14
    released --
15
              MR. RANGEL: Right.
16
              THE COURT: -- and that's the significant point,
17
    isn't it?
18
              MR. RANGEL: Yeah. But -- I mean, I -- Judge, I
19
    mean, they're entitled to ask the questions.
20
              THE COURT: Oh, right. You're just so pushy,
21
    Mr. Rangel.
22
              MR. RANGEL: Thank you, your Honor.
23
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: And then the other issue --
24
              THE COURT: Isn't that a compliment?
25
              MR. RANGEL:
                           Any --
```

- 1 | in here and talk about custom and practice, your Honor, and not
- 2 | legal interpretation of documents as a custom of practice.
- 3 They have made a big deal about two issues of intent. There
- 4 are two issues of intent that are important in this case and
- 5 one is the intent of the assignment and the other is some sort
- 6 of intent to hide things from Mrs. Trevino and Mr. Trevino.
- 7 They make -- let me take the second one first.
- 8 They're making a big deal about the fact that we didn't send a
- 9 copy of the release to the Trevinos, the owners of the property
- 10 and that's some sort of intent to defraud them. That's part of
- 11 | their case. That is a custom and practice across the board of
- 12 people -- of lenders who -- they routinely do not send --
- 13 | routinely do not send releases of instruments that are filed
- 14 | with the county clerk. That's just the way it is. That's what
- 15 he'll say is the custom and practice.
- 16 That's the way it is here. There's no legal
- 17 | requirement to do it and that's what he'll testify to. That's
- 18 | the custom and practice and there's nothing sinister about not
- 19 having sent a copy of a release to a landowner after you
- 20 release the record in the public records. That's very
- 21 | important to us on this question --
- 22 **THE COURT:** Mr. Rumley?
- 23 MR. RUMLEY: If that was his opinion and if it was so
- 24 | important to them, then why didn't he put it in the report?
- 25 **THE COURT:** Is it in the report?

```
93
1
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: It's -- in the report he refers to
 2
    the practices of the filing of a mechanic's --
 3
              THE COURT: No, I -- okay. Now, that --
 4
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: The custom and practices, your Honor.
 5
    Now, he goes on --
 6
              THE COURT: I excluded all that --
 7
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Okay. He goes on --
                         -- 3 through 18, I think.
 8
              THE COURT:
 9
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Your Honor, he --
10
              THE COURT: Show me in the paragraphs that I did not
11
    exclude what he wants to testify to.
12
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Okay. The -- and what the Court --
13
    as I read the Court's order, excluded legal conclusion,
14
    interpreting documents and so forth but he says -- in Paragraph
15
    10, he talks about it's a custom and practice --
16
              THE COURT: Okay, 10 is gone. Three through 18 are
17
    gone.
18
              MR. RUMLEY: Four through 18.
19
              THE COURT: I'm sorry, 4 through 18 are gone.
20
    first three, there's no point in testifying to but 4 through 18
21
    are gone.
22
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Your Honor, the point I'm trying to
23
    make and I'm just not articulate enough to make it is that
24
    within the paragraphs that you excluded --
25
              THE COURT:
                          I didn't -- I did not allow him to
```

testify to anything in 4 through 18.

are talking about.

- 2 MR. LOCHRIDGE: That's fine.
- THE COURT: I thought if there was something else

 identified in his report on customs and practices, he can talk

 about it.
- 6 MR. LOCHRIDGE: All right. And there are things -7 THE COURT: But, I mean, that's what all your people
- 9 MR. LOCHRIDGE: But -- and they're saying that they
 10 are not truth tellers and so I think it's important that we
 11 come in here with someone who is objective and deals with this
 12 every day.
 - THE COURT: Okay. I have excluded that testimony. So where else in the report outside of 4 through 18 does he want to testify?
 - MR. LOCHRIDGE: I'm not trying to be -- in the Court's order, you gave -- you indicated that there are things where he talks about custom and practice and that that is useful for the jury and that's all that we would like him to testify about is custom and practice. The Court did exclude 4 through 18 which was filled with, in addition to custom and practice, interpreting the effective legal documents. That's what I understood the Court's order to be. I'm not going to do that but the custom and practice would be all right and that's what we're trying to do.

MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor --

MR. LOCHRIDGE: I'll -- but I will the Court, because I don't want to mislead the Court, that in the -- his report, that custom and practice opinion is included in those Paragraphs 4 through 18. That's true. It -- but -- and the Court excluded 4 through 18. My point is that we would like for him to be able to talk about true custom and practice as opposed to the legal effect of documents even though that description of his testimony was in Paragraphs 4 through 18.

MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, this -- on Page 8 of the Court's order, the Clayton Defendants contend that Stone offers only opinions on the custom and practices of real estate lending industries and such opinions are admissible. That's -- it's the same argument. They're making the same argument. It's the same argument and those 4 through 18 were excluded. The opinions in 1 through 3 are facts that are already in. They're just trying to get around the Court's order and they shouldn't be permitted to do so and Mr. Stone is coming up with some new opinions that are outside of the excluded ones, then they should be excluded because they're not timely noticed.

MR. LOCHRIDGE: And the argument that we made -- and I'm reading from the order on the top of Page 9 that we argue that he would provide them with useful information on customs and practices of the industry of the parties in order to allow the jury to make a more informed decision and the Court

- 1 | acknowledges, "Some of Stone's proposed testimony is of this
- 2 type." For example, Stone will state when buyer pays their
- 3 debt in full, Vanderbilt has a practice and so forth.
- 4 | "However, the majority are not limited to talking about
- 5 | customs, the practices and so forth and that what I m trying
- 6 to deal with here, your Honor, is to let him testify about
- 7 custom and practices but not about the reader's interpretation
- 8 of the document.
- 9 MR. RUMLEY: And that's -- in the Court's order,
- 10 | that's why 1 through 3 -- they were allowed to talk about 1
- 11 | through 3 and then 19 through --
- 12 | THE COURT: Well, there's no need to have an expert
- 13 | talk about Vanderbilt's regular practices. Vanderbilt can do
- 14 that.
- MR. LOCHRIDGE: Yes, but he will do is he will say
- 16 | that those are consistent with the custom and practices in the
- 17 | industry and there's nothing sinister about that.
- 18 MR. RUMLEY: That opinion is --
- 19 MR. LOCHRIDGE: I'll say one other -- my concern is
- 20 | that we're throwing the baby out with the bath water here in
- 21 | the things that he should be allowed to testify about versus
- 22 the things that the Court concludes he should not and I urge
- 23 | the Court to let us put him on to testify about custom and
- 24 practice I've described.
- 25 **THE COURT:** Mr. Rumley?

98 Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 1 CROSS EXAMINATION (RESUMED) 2 BY MR. RUMLEY: Mr. Nichols, I think before we broke for our morning 3 break, I was asking you about in these third-party land-in-lieu 4 5 transactions, the ones that you-all don't -- you don't do anymore, right? 6 7 We do not, no, sir. And you agree with me that that is a sound decision that 9 if someone is really willing to give up their property that 10 that person should be required to also sign off on the 11 contract, correct? 12 Well, the person could simply give the land to the person 13 that wanted to buy the house. Well, I mean, they could give it to them or they can 14 15 co-sign on the note, right? 16 They could co-sign on the note if they wanted to go 17 through the credit approval process but they may not want to 18 obligate them for the debt. They could just give the lot to 19 the grandchild or child. 20 All right. And I want to make sure we're clear. You told the jury that the intent on the mechanic's lien and the deed of 21 22 trust -- you never intended that the landowner would be 23 obligated to make the payments under the contract, right? 24 That's correct. 25 You never intended that the landowner would be obligated

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 99 1 to pay for the home? 2 That is correct. But you yourself looked at the documents, right? 3 4 Yes, sir. 5 If we look at Exhibit Number 7, this is the builder's and 6 mechanic's lien contract, correct? 7 Yes, sir. Α And you recognize this is the document that Mr. Frazier 9 has testified that his signature was forged, right? 10 I recognize the document, yes. Α 11 And you recognize that to be true, correct? 12 I'm -- that his signature was forged on the contract? 13 Yes, sir. If that's what he's testified, yes, sir, I'd recognize it. 14 15 Well, I thought you told us you read his deposition. 16 I reviewed a lot of depositions and I don't remember every 17 line item but if that's what he said. 18 And these signatures right here, you understand, sir, that 19 those were forged, right? 20 If that's what he said, yes, sir, they are. Is that what he said? 21 22 I do not recall everything in his depositions, no, sir. 23 Well, wouldn't that be something that's pretty significant 24 if you got the document and someone's testified their signature 25 is forged?

100 Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 1 Sir, there's hundreds of pages of depositions and 2 documents. If that's what the deposition said and he said they're forged, then I would agree with you it was forged. 3 If we go -- and if that signature is forged, do you 4 5 consider that to be a fraudulent document? I consider it to be an unnotarized document. I don't know 6 7 if that makes it fraudulent or not. I -- that may be a lawyer question but I'd say that it's not notarized correctly. 9 We've already figured out you're not a lawyer, right? Yes, sir. 10 11 I'm asking you as a lender, as a mortgage company. 12 that signature is forged -- if he testifies and it's forged, do 13 you consider it to be a fraudulent document? 14 No, sir. I consider it to be a bad notarized document because if the people that owned it signed it, the only thing 15 16 wrong with it is that it was notarized bad. 17 But you understand that my client said the signatures were 18 forged, right? 19 I understand they said that, yes, sir. 20 And there's no -- that's the purpose of the notary is to 21 verify that someone signed the document, right? 22 Yes, sir. 23 And if you were aware that a document was fraudulently 24 notarized, you would not have funded the loan, true? 25 That's correct.

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 101 1 So hindsight -- if hindsight is 20/20 or Monday morning 2 quarterback, if you knew what you did today, you wouldn't have funded this loan, true? 3 Had we known it at that time, we would not have, no, sir. 4 5 And if we look -- and again we did this right before the break. This is a document that would have been 6 7 filed by CMH, correct? Yes, sir, it is. 9 All right. But this would have been a document that would 10 have recorded CMH's interest in the real property, correct? 11 Yes, sir. 12 All right. And if we look, they have listed Maria Trevino 13 and Arturo Trevino. Did I read that right? 14 Yes, sir. And if we come down and -- and CMH would have prepared 15 16 this document, true? 17 They would have, yes, sir. 18 All of the stores have the ability to just print this off 19 of a computer off a link system, correct? 20 That is correct. Α 21 And then the individuals within the store, the sales 22 associate, the store manager, they would fill this out, right? 23 Correct. Α 24 And then they would take it down and file it? 25 Correct.

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               102
1
         But it's not a document they would have prepared.
 2
    document that comes from Tennessee, true?
 3
         It's a document that we've developed over time, yes, sir.
         All right. And so presumably whoever developed it knew
 4
 5
    what they were doing and all that kind of good stuff, right?
 6
         Yes, sir.
    Α
 7
         All right. And see if I'm reading this right and I know
    you're not a lawyer but it doesn't it say, Mr. Nichols, "Owner
 9
    agrees to pay contractor" -- and if we go -- contractor is CMH,
    right?
10
11
         Yes, sir.
12
         "Owner agrees to pay contractor the sum of $40,815.19, the
13
              contract price, for the purchase of the home and all
14
              improvements." Did I read that right?
15
         Yes, sir.
16
         So they never agreed to pay for the contract, true?
17
         They were at risk of losing their land if the customers
18
    didn't pay for the contract.
                                   Thev --
19
              MR. RUMLEY: Objection, nonresponsive.
20
    Α
         Well --
21
         Sir, did they -- did --
22
              THE COURT: Listen carefully to the question and just
23
    respond to the question.
24
              THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
25
    //
```

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 103 1 BY MR. RUMLEY: 2 Did the Trevinos agree to pay CMH the sum of \$40,815.19, 3 the contract price, the purchase price of the home? That's what the document says, yes, sir. 4 5 Did they agree to it? Yes, sir. 6 Α 7 They did sign the retail installment contract, right? Well -- and this document is designed for the first party 9 where usually the landowner and the owner are all the same --10 0 When this document ---- but the --11 12 I'm sorry. Go ahead. 13 So it may -- the document may not read exactly correct. 14 Right. But this document was filed, correct? 15 Yes, sir, it was. 16 And when this document was filed, CMH contended that the 17 Trevinos agreed to pay \$40,000 and change, right? That was 18 their intent? 19 I don't think that was their intent, no, sir. 20 Well, that's what it says. 21 Well, I know that's what it says but I'm not sure that was 22 the intent. The intent was to pledge the land for the down 23 payment on the house. 24 You agree with me, sir, that it says owner agrees to pay 25 contractor \$40,000?

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 104 1 I do agree with you that's what it says, yes, sir. 2 The intent of that language when it was filed was that the Trevinos would pay the \$40,000 and change or lose their land, 3 true? 4 I don't believe there was any intent that the Trevinos 5 6 would pay this amount, sir. 7 Well, doesn't that -- isn't that exactly what it says? That is what it says but that was not the intent. 8 9 Then what was the intent? 10 The intent was to protect the retail sales center because 11 of the homestead exemption rule in Texas. I'm not familiar 12 with that but there's -- it requires a builder's and mechanic's 13 lien before they put the house on the property. Then why does it say that? 14 15 It's a -- not a clear document, sir. 16 Do you agree with me it doesn't say that? 17 It does not say that, no, sir. 18 And you heard these lawyers over here asking Mr. Trevino 19 and these two guys over here -- if you sign something, you 20 recognize it and you understood it, right? 21 Yes, sir. 22 And this document that you-all prepared --Yes, sir. 23 Α 24 -- someone who his capable, more qualified than me to 25 prepare a legal document prepared this document, right?

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               105
 1
         Yes, sir.
 2
         And they're the ones -- CMH is the ones that chose the
 3
    language --
 4
         Yes, sir.
 5
         -- right? And only them -- only the individuals who
 6
    prepared the documents chose the words -- only them can tell us
 7
    what they meant when they wrote those words, right?
         They chose the form document, yes, sir.
         Otherwise, all we're left with, Mr. Nichols -- because you
10
    didn't prepare it, right?
11
          I did not.
12
        All we're left with and all the jury is left with is what
13
    the language in this contract says demonstrates the intent
14
    behind the contract, true?
15
         It -- I'm not certain that's the intent but that's what
16
    the document says.
17
         But you can't tell me what the intent is because you
18
    didn't draft it?
19
         I cannot. I cannot, no, sir.
20
         The best evidence is the document itself, right?
21
         Yes, sir, it is.
22
         If we look at Exhibit Number 6, you've seen this document
23
    before too, have you not -- deed of trust?
24
    Α
         Yes, sir.
25
         And on the deed of trust in this case, you understand,
```

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 106 1 sir, that this signature, this signature and this signature 2 Mr. Frazier has testified someone forged his signature as a 3 notary? If that's what he testified, yes, sir, it's forged. 4 5 Well, you read his deposition, right? Yes, sir, I reviewed his deposition. 6 7 Did he say that? If that's what his deposition said, yes, sir, that's what 8 9 he said. I'm not trying to be evasive. I just -- I don't 10 remember every word in the deposition. I'm sorry. 11 Is it -- would it be significant to you, sir, if the 12 lender who lends money based on the document that his signature 13 was forged? 14 Yes, sir. 15 If we look on the deed of trust, it has "Maria Trevino, Arturo Trevino hereinafter called grantor." Did I read that 16 17 right? 18 Yes, sir. 19 And the same questions, Mr. Nichols, with respect to this 20 deed of trust. You didn't prepare it, true? 21 That's correct. 22 You don't know who prepared it, right? 23 I do not know who prepared it. 24 Obviously someone within this Clayton Homes company, a 25 well-qualified person prepared the document, right?

107 Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 1 Yes, sir. 2 They knew what they were doing when they chose the 3 language in the contract, right? 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 And you as president of Vanderbilt knew that this document was going to be filed in the county records to record an 6 7 interest in someone's property, right? This standard form, yes, sir. 9 And since you didn't draft the document, you can't tell us 10 what the intent was behind the language, true? 11 I cannot tell the intent behind the language. 12 The best way to figure out the intent of the drafter of 13 this document is to read the language in the document, correct? 14 Yes, sir. 15 And if we look -- if we look down here, it says this 16 conveyance, however, is made in trust to secure payment of one 17 retail installment contract of even date. We've already 18 figured out they're not on even dates, are they? 19 They are not, no, sir. 20 Herein the principal sum of -- and then you've got 40,000 written out here and then you've got 73,000 here? 21 22 Yes, sir. 23 That should actually match, shouldn't it? 24 I believe it should, yes, sir. 25 If someone was doing their job and actually reviewed these

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 108 1 documents, that should have been corrected? 2 Yes, sir, it should have. 3 So is that pretty good evidence that someone wasn't doing their job? 4 5 I'd say it's an error that someone missed in a stack of 6 documents, yes, sir. 7 An error that shouldn't have been missed if you're going to loan money, right? 9 Yes, sir, we'd like to think that everyone of them would 10 be right but they make mistakes, yes, sir. 11 And the job to review this is not only the CMH person at 12 the Corpus Christi store but the CMH person in sales processing 13 and the VMF person in sales processing in Maryville, Tennessee, 14 correct? 15 It is. It is, yes, sir. So it appears that at least three people missed this, 16 17 right? 18 Yeah, I can't explain why it's different, no, sir. 19 All right. If we continue on, it says, "Executed by 20 Grantor. Installment contract of even date in the principal 21 sum of, executed by Grantor." We go up here. Grantor is the 22 Trevinos. That is not true, is it? It is not true, sir, no. The --23 24 Do you have any --25 -- the document was designed for the landowner and the

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 109 1 homebuyer to be the same. 2 You don't know what the document was designed for because you didn't draft it, right? 3 I did not but it reads that way, yes, sir. 4 5 What it reads is that you file this of record and it tells anybody who looks at it that the Trevinos signed the retail 6 7 installment contract which is not true, is it? It is not true, no, sir. 8 9 And it is true, is it not, Mr. Nichols, that this contract 10 was sold through securitizations, right? 11 It was probably subsequently sold through a 12 securitization, yes, sir. 13 And so the jury understands, what Vanderbilt would do --14 what your company would do is they would package together all of these loans and then they would sell them to investors, 15 16 correct? 17 In short, yes. 18 And it would go through a bond -- they would be sold 19 through a bond, right? 20 Yes, sir. The cash flow is cut up into bonds and the --21 And an investor would buy the bond because -- or they 22 would buy the bond based upon their perception of whether or 23 not a loan is going to pay out or not, correct? 24 They buy their bond based upon the perception of the whole 25 pool of loans and how it would perform over time.

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 110 1 Right. And when you buy a pool of loans like they did that this one is included in, the investor is hoping that a 2 hundred percent of the people pay their loans, right? 3 Certainly. 4 5 And if a hundred percent pay their loans, then they're 6 doing good in their investment, right? 7 They get paid what the bond rate would be, yes, sir. But if a hundred percent of them default, then they lose 9 money, right? 10 Α No, sir. They do not lose their -- lose any money? 11 12 It has been our practice since 1992 for any loan that 13 defaulted in a pool of loans, we purchased it back and paid the investor in full. 14 15 And we're going to -- we'll get to that. It's true, is it 16 not, sir, that if a loan is discharged for any reason, that is 17 a situation where you'll repurchase the loan out of the pool, 18 right? 19 We would pay the investor in full and buy the loan back 20 out of the pool and then take whatever action with the loan was 21 appropriate. 22 Right. And so if a loan is repurchased, that's a 23 situation where the loan was discharged, correct? 24 No, sir. 25 If a loan is discharged, aren't you obligated to buy it

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 111 1 from the investor -- buy it back? 2 We are not obligated to buy back any loans from the 3 investor. It's been our practice. Okay. It's been your practice that if you repurchase --4 5 if you discharge a loan for whatever reason, it's your practice 6 that you repurchase the loan out of the securitization, out of 7 the investment vehicle, true? Yes, sir. 9 All right. Let me show you what has been marked as -- or 10 what has been entered in evidence as Clayton Exhibit 47. The 11 loan that was part of this case was sold through a Series 2002A 12 securitization, correct? 13 Yes, sir. And this is what is called a -- right up here -- a 14 "prospectus supplement," correct? 15 16 It is, yes, sir. 17 And what a prospectus supplement is that is the literature 18 that Vanderbilt would give to potential investors, right? 19 To bond buyers, yes, sir. 20 And the bond buyers, the investors would have reviewed the documents associated with this offering, correct? 21 22 The document -- the prospectus, they would have reviewed 23 the prospectus, yes, sir. 24 And attached to the prospectus, for example, is a list of 25 the various installment contracts, correct?

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 112 1 I don't know if there's a list of the contracts. 2 -- I think it's more giant pool summary data. It clearly would have told the investors that this is a 3 loan that is backed by real property, correct? 4 5 There's probably 6 or 8,000 loans in that pool and it 6 probably gives them stratifications of the way the pool is, how 7 many loans have land, how many are own only, that type information. 8 9 Right. But with respect to this loan, it would have told 10 the investors that it was backed by real property, correct? 11 It would have been in the category of loans with land, 12 yes, sir. 13 All right. And it is true that even after you filed these 14 releases, you never told the investors that the land was 15 released, true? 16 That's true. There's no requirement for us to tell the 17 investors. 18 There is a requirement, is there not? 19 I don't think so. I mean, the pooling servicing 20 agreements are quite long and lengthy and there's reps and warrants we make in there but I don't know that there's 21 22 anything specific if I release a piece of dirt on a loan that I 23 have to tell the investor. 24 How about Section 3.02? Do you know if Section 3.02 tells 25 you that if a representation becomes known to be false that you

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 113 have to tell the investor? 1 2 I'm not familiar with that particular section, no, sir. This is the amount, \$251 million that you-all made off of 3 this pool, correct? 4 5 We did not make \$251 million off of it. You were paid 251 million for this pool of loans, correct? 6 7 We were because we had lent out \$251 million worth of loans. Right, but you received 251 million from this auction, 10 correct? 11 Yes. 12 And this is 2002A. There were more than one offering in 13 2002, correct? 14 Yes, sir, there were. 15 There were four? 16 Probably one about a quarter, yes, sir. It was our method 17 of funding loans. 18 In 2002, did you make about -- did you receive about a 19 billion dollars from packaging up these loans and selling them to investors? 20 21 I received a billion dollars because I borrowed a billion 22 dollars and this money paid back the borrowing? Who did you borrow it from? 23 Q We borrow from banks and credit lines. 24 25 And then what you would do with this -- for example, this

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 114 251 million or the billion that you got in 2002 is you would 1 2 use that money to then go out and fund future loans, correct? Well, we would first pay off the credit lines that allowed 3 us to make the loans. 4 5 But you would use the money from the securitizations to 6 fund your ongoing operations, correct? 7 It was a rolling funding mechanism. The money from the AVS transaction paid off the short-term credit line. You 9 borrow more money on short-term credit lines, made more loans, 10 funded them through the AVS, paid off the short-term line. 11 It's a rolling method of funding yourself. 12 Mr. Nichols, my question just very simply, you would use 13 that money to fund your ongoing operations, correct? 14 It generated the cash to help us fund the ongoing 15 operations. 16 And so, for example, the money you received in 2002, you 17 would have used that money to continue financing new-home sales 18 in 2003, correct? 19 We would have paid off the loans that borrowed the money 20 from to make the home loans, right, and if -- and then we would 21 have borrowed more money on the short-term line, make more 22 loans, issue the AVS for the long-term funding to pay off the short-term loans. 23 24 Right. And this is the way you raised capital in order to 25 fund your operations?

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               115
 1
         Yes, sir, it is.
 2
         Okay. Today you get your money elsewhere. Back then,
    that is how you raised your money to fund your ongoing
 3
    operations, correct?
 4
         From 1992 through 2003, that's the way we funded our
 5
 6
    operation, yes, sir.
 7
         All right, thank you. Now, I think you testified on a
    number of times that when you -- you reviewed the releases that
    were filed, right?
10
    Α
         Yes, sir.
11
         We looked at Exhibit Number 11.
12
         Yes, sir.
13
         It has mechanic lien release, right? And then Exhibit
14
    Number 12 is the deed of trust. It says, "Deed of trust
15
    release, "right?
16
         Yes, sir.
17
         And I think even though that says, mechanic lien released,
18
    deed of trust released, that what you're telling the jury is
19
    that you were really just filing a release of the lien; is that
20
    right?
21
         It released the lien on the land, yes, sir.
22
          Is that -- that's what you thought was being filed as a
23
    release of lien, right?
         That's -- was our intention that those documents would
24
25
    release the lien on the land.
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               116
1
         Why didn't you just file a release of lien?
         I believe that's what those documents are.
 2
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, we would offer Exhibit 197
 3
    and Defendants' 199.
 4
 5
              MR. RANGEL: May we approach, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
 6
 7
         (Counsel approached and bench conference began at
 8
    11:04 a.m.)
 9
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, these documents were covered by
10
    the motion in limine to the extent that they relate to other
11
    transactions.
              MR. RUMLEY: They're releases of liens signed by him.
12
    He just denied the release of lien. They're signed by him.
13
14
              MR. RANGEL: 214?
15
              MR. RUMLEY: I don't know. They're signed by
16
    Nichols.
17
              MR. RANGEL: They're not out of 214.
18
              MR. RUMLEY:
                           Yes, they are.
19
              MR. RANGEL: 214 is what I was asking.
20
              THE COURT: (indiscernible) one by him?
21
              MR. RUMLEY: He just denied it. Here they are,
22
    Stewart Title. It's 214.
23
              MR. RANGEL: We would object on the grounds of
24
    relevancy and we would object --
25
    //
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               117
 1
              THE COURT: Overruled. Thank you. 197 and 199 are
 2
    admitted.
         (Defendants' Exhibits Numbers 197 and 199 were received in
 3
 4
    evidence)
 5
         (Bench conference concluded at 11:06 a.m.)
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, we'd offer 197 and 199.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: I just admitted them. Thank you.
              MR. RUMLEY:
                           Thank you.
 9
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
10
         Mr. Nichols, if you had just wanted to "release the lien,"
    why didn't you just file a release of lien?
11
12
         I don't know what the difference is, I guess.
13
         Well, I think the jury has heard that these are just forms
14
    that are just printed out, right?
15
         The release of lien that they've used in this document is
    the standard one that the document control group used to
16
17
    release liens on this group of land, is my understanding.
18
         Okay. Well, let me make sure I heard what you just said.
19
    The release that's involved in this case is the standard
20
    release that was used for this 214 project, right?
21
         It was, yes, sir.
22
         Okay. So the jury -- the jury -- it's not the standard
    release that this company uses. It's the standard release that
23
24
    was used for the 214 project, right?
25
         That's -- no, sir.
                              I may have worded that poorly.
                                                              As far
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               118
1
    as I know, that's the standard release that they use in that
 2
    department.
         Well, you saw the Canales releases where it said -- it
 3
    didn't have the paid in full. It said -- and there's still a
 4
    bona fide obligation. You saw that, right?
 5
         I did see it. I don't know who created it. I know they
 6
    misspelled bona fide. Yes, sir, I did see that.
 7
         Well, Mr. Jordan signed it, right?
 9
         He did sign it, yes, sir.
10
         He's a capable, qualified individual?
         He is.
11
12
         He's an officer with the authority to sign for the board
13
    of directors of this company?
         There -- I don't know. There may have been lawyers
14
    involved in that particular release. It was different.
15
16
    not certain.
17
         But regardless of whether it's different, if you read that
18
    release, there is no doubt in your mind that those people were
19
    still obligated to pay on that -- on the loan, right?
20
         That's correct.
21
         If we look at Exhibit 197, is this -- does this document
22
    look familiar to you, Mr. Nichols?
23
         It does not, no, sir.
    Α
24
         It says, "Release of lien," right?
25
         It does, yes, sir.
```

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 119 Would this be a document -- if you, Mr. Nichols, just 1 wanted to release the lien, is this the form that you would 2 3 use? I do not know what this document is or who -- what its 4 5 purpose is, sir. I am not familiar with it. Well, do you recognize that signature? 6 7 Yes, sir, it's mine. You signed that. 9 Yes, sir. I sign a lot of documents. 10 Well, in fact, you signed it before a notary, did you not? 11 I did, yes, sir. 12 And when you signed this document, you intended to release 13 the lien, right? 14 I did, yes, sir. 15 Why didn't you just file one of these in this Project 214? 16 Because I don't know what transaction this one was 17 involved with, sir. 18 The reason why you included "Paid in full" is 19 because, as you told me, in 2005 you wanted to take care of the 20 customer if there was fraud in the transaction; isn't that 21 true? 22 No, sir, that's not true. We released the liens on the 23 land. 24 Then why didn't you file a release of lien, the form at 25 this company?

```
120
                     Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
 1
         It's -- I don't know. It's one of many forms that's
 2
    probably available in the company. I don't know what that
    count was based on.
 3
         Isn't it true, sir, that you have the authority if you so
 4
 5
    chose to release a loan, right?
         If I wanted to, I could discharge a debt, yes, sir.
 6
 7
         And Mr. Barton, he is -- what position is he within
 8
    Vanderbilt?
 9
         He is the collection manager.
10
         And he has the authority to discharge debt, right?
11
         Up to a certain limit, yes, sir, he does.
12
         And you and Mr. Booth are the ones that made the decision
13
    to file this 214 release, correct?
14
         It is true, yes, sir.
15
         And you have the authority if you wanted to to just
16
    distinguish all these -- to extinguish all of these loans,
17
    correct?
18
         I would --
19
         If you wanted to, you could do it?
20
         I could -- well, I would consult with my CEO before I
21
    released 400 customers from their loan obligation.
22
         You would -- would you discuss that with Mr. Booth?
23
         We'd probably all talk about that, yes, sir, we would.
24
         Now, why would you talk to Mr. Booth about it? If he's
25
    already been paid in full, why would -- what's his dog in the
```

121 Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 1 fight? 2 Because he may be partially responsible for the loss that the company is going to take. 3 How could he be partially responsible for the loss the 4 5 company is going to take if this whole assignment thing had taken place and he'd already been paid? He's paid. 6 7 He was paid but there was a recourse arrangement on how the loans paid out. What does that mean? 10 That means if the loan defaulted, he shared in the loss 11 when they repossessed. 12 If the customer defaults, does the store owe Vanderbilt 13 money? 14 They could, yes, sir. 15 How can that happen? If this assignment thing goes 16 through -- it's my understanding as the story goes is that if 17 an assignment takes place, Vanderbilt pays CMH. 18 That is correct. 19 Okay. And so if a customer defaults on the loan, if the 20 story goes as you say, the customer owes the money to 21 Vanderbilt, right? 22 The customer owes the money to Vanderbilt, yes, sir. But somehow CMH is still obligated? 23 24 They want to help dispose of the home and bear the cost of 25 selling the repossession, yes, sir.

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               122
 1
         So who pays who money?
 2
         They would pay Vanderbilt.
         As the story goes on this assignment, even if there is an
 3
    assignment, sir, they're -- they don't actually write a check
 4
 5
    or pay anything, correct?
 6
         I'm sorry?
 7
         There's not a -- if there is an assignment, Vanderbilt
    does not send a check to CMH?
 9
    Α
         We --
10
        There's no --
11
         -- as we've seen, we transfer the funds for the
12
    installment sales contract to CMH Homes, Inc., yes, sir.
13
         But it's not like you write a check. It's just literally
    a ledger entry, right?
14
15
         It is equivalent to writing a check and moving the money
16
    from my account to your account.
17
         Well, it's -- because it's Clayton Homes, Inc. and Clayton
18
    Homes, Inc. owns CMH and Vanderbilt. So it's just literally a
19
    movement of money, right?
20
         It is a movement of money. In the old days, it was done
21
    by check.
22
         The -- we heard yesterday about this vault. The original
    documents are stored in the vault?
23
24
         Yes, sir.
25
         We heard it's kind of like a bank thing where they keep
```

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 123 1 money? It's simply a walk-in, fireproof vault. 2 And those -- the original documents are stored in the 3 vault, right? 4 5 Yes, sir. And then if the -- I think we heard that if the 6 7 installment contract is paid in full, then they're released from the vault; is that right? 9 There is a procedure that the document control group goes 10 to get documents. If there is a release of the installment contract from the 11 12 vault, that would mean that the -- it's been paid in full, 13 right? 14 No, sir, not just simply moving it out of the vault to 15 someone else. If you release the contract from the vault, would that 16 17 indicate it's been paid in full? 18 No, sir, it would not. 19 Why would you take an original document out of the vault 20 if it hadn't been paid in full? 21 Maybe going to a Bankruptcy Court judge that wants the 22 original. The -- maybe a judge in a repossession wants to see 23 it. There's a variety of reasons that original documents get 24 moved around. 25 Well, what other reasons other than if -- you agree with

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               124
    me that if it's paid in full, the installment contract is
1
 2
    released from the vault, right?
         If the customer pays the account in full, it's eventually
 3
    going to come out and get stamped, yes, sir.
 4
 5
         All right. Or if you decided to discharge the loan for
    whatever reason, it would be released from the vault, correct?
 6
 7
         They would get -- if I decided to discharge it, they would
    eventually get the original installment sales contract, yes,
 9
    sir.
10
         It would be released from the vault, right?
11
         It would be moved out of the vault and go through the
12
    proper places.
13
          Let me show you Clayton -- or actually Defendants'
14
    Exhibit 9. Now, Defendants' Exhibit 9 -- do you see right
15
    here, sir, October 3rd, 2005?
16
         I do, yes, sir.
17
         And it says "Release," right?
18
         Yes, they're going to release the original document out of
19
    the vault and give it to one of the document control people.
20
         Sir, did I ask a question?
21
         I'm so sorry. I'm --
22
              MR. RUMLEY: Objection, nonresponsive.
23
              THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
    //
24
25
    //
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                                125
1
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
 2
         Sir, it's dated October 3rd, 2005, right?
 3
         Yes, sir.
    Α
         That's about the time that all these releases were filed
 4
 5
    in this Project 214?
 6
         That's correct.
 7
         All right. And we come down, "Attention Document
    Control, "right?
 9
         Yes, sir.
10
         And it says, "Release of the installment contract"?
11
         That's what it says, yes, sir.
12
         Right? And you just told me and this jury a little bit
13
    ago that one of the reasons why the installment contract would
14
    be released from the vault is if you decided to discharge it,
15
    correct?
16
         That's correct.
17
         If we come down, here's the loan number, 646307, correct?
18
         Yes, sir.
19
         And "Investor," this is whoever the investor was that
20
    invested in this loan?
21
         That's correct, yes, sir.
22
         And then you have, "Customer Name, Mr. Flores," right?
23
         Yes, sir, it is.
24
         All right. And you come down here, "Deed of trust, DOTM"
25
    which stands for the mechanic lien?
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               126
1
         That's correct.
 2
         All right. And Norma Brown, who is she?
 3
         I do not know, sir.
         Terry Hood?
 4
 5
         Works in the legal group.
 6
         All right. So someone within the legal group of
 7
    Vanderbilt?
         Yes, sir, in corporate.
 8
 9
         Clayton Homes, Inc.?
10
         Clayton Homes, yes.
         Okay. Clayton Homes, Inc., Terry Hood, someone in legal
11
12
    would have, it looks like, requested and then Ms. Norma Brown
13
    from Vanderbilt Mortgage would have requested the release of
14
    the installment contract for Mr. Flores. Did I read that
15
    right?
16
         It's a form. What they requested it looks to me like is
17
    the DOT and the mechanic's lien, if you see the bottom of the
18
    form.
19
          Well, it says, "Release of the installment contract."
20
    Is that not what it says, sir?
         It's -- it's a -- yes, sir, it's a form. That's all it
21
22
    is.
23
         Well, it's a form to release the installment contract from
24
    the vault, correct?
25
         It's a form to get documents out of a vault. That's all
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               127
 1
    it is.
 2
              MR. RUMLEY: Objection, nonresponsive.
              THE COURT: Please listen carefully to the question.
 3
              THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. I'm sorry.
 4
 5
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
 6
         Sir, it is a release -- release of the installment
    contract, correct?
 7
         No, sir, it is not.
 9
         So when it says, "Release of installment contract" and
10
    identifies the loan number, identifies Mr. Flores, that's just
    -- it's just wrong?
11
12
         It released the document out of the vault to somebody
13
    else. That's all it did. It did not pay in full any document.
14
         In 2005, right?
15
         Yes, sir.
         And your testimony is the releases that contain the paid
16
17
    in full, that wasn't your decision as you told me in January of
18
    2005 to take care of the customer when there's no doubt in your
19
    mind that there was fraud in the transaction, correct?
20
         I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?
21
         Yes, sir. This is exactly what you told me in 2005 in
22
    your deposition that if you discovered without a doubt that
23
    there was a signature forged in a transaction or fraud within
24
    the transaction, this is the way that you chose to take care of
25
    the customer, right?
```

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley 128 We chose to take care of the customer by releasing the 1 2 deed of trust and the mechanic's lien. How does that take care of the customer? 3 Because the customer is the one in the majority of these 4 5 cases that was also the homebuyer. 6 Sir, I'm talking about this case. It doesn't do anything for the customer, right? 7 And the customer in this case got the house. We financed 8 it for him. He didn't pay for it. We released the liens on 10 the land. 11 How did you take care of the customer in this case? 12 In the terminology in this one, the Trevinos would have 13 been the customer on the deed of trust. 14 For whatever reason, sir -- well, let me ask you. You 15 didn't choose the language in the Project 214 releases, 16 correct? 17 I did not, no, sir. 18 Do you know who chose to put in the paid-in-full language? 19 I do not. So I assume it was a standard document 20 downstairs. 21 But you don't know that to be true? 22 I do not know, no, sir. 23 And what we do know is for whatever reason, just this 24 release of lien was not filed, correct?

25

That is correct.

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               129
         Isn't it true that when there is an assignment that takes
1
 2
    place that it's actually filed at the courthouse?
         Not for installment sales contracts, no, sir.
 3
 4
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, may we approach? May we
 5
    approach?
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
 7
         (Counsel approached and bench conference began at 11:18
 8
    a.m.)
 9
              MR. RUMLEY: He just testified that they don't file
10
    an assignment in the court records. Right here this is -- this
11
    is their standard practice after they got caught. When --
12
    after I sued them in '05, they started filing the assignment of
13
    lien. It just contradicts exactly what he just said. So I
14
    have a deed of trust, a mechanic's lien. On the -- and on the
15
    very day that the mechanic lien is signed, the assignment is
16
    filed in the county records.
17
              MR. RANGEL: May I see?
18
              THE COURT:
                          Yes, sir.
19
              MR. UNIDENTIFIED: These are copies.
20
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, these are unrelated transactions,
21
    unrelated transactions.
22
              THE COURT: It has nothing to do with fraud or
23
    perjury.
24
              MR. RANGEL: I'm sorry?
25
              THE COURT:
                          It has nothing to do with fraud or
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               130
1
    perjury if he's not handling money. This is relevant if he
 2
    says he never files assignments --
              MR. RUMLEY: He just denied that they actually filed
 3
 4
    the assignments.
              MR. RANGEL: Well, they didn't file the assignments
 5
    in connection with those in the 214 project.
 6
 7
              MR. RUMLEY: Okay.
              THE COURT: Why don't you ask him again, please,
 8
 9
    before we get to the documents?
10
         (Bench conference concluded at 11:20 a.m.)
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
11
12
         Mr. Nichols, going back to the question I just asked,
13
    isn't true that if an assignment takes place that an assignment
14
    is actually filed in the county records?
15
         An assignment of what, sir?
         Of a mechanic's lien contract. Isn't it true that -- let
16
17
    me back up.
18
         Yes, sir.
19
         It's my understanding that your testimony and as the story
20
    goes is that this mechanic's lien contract was assigned to
21
    Vanderbilt, correct?
22
         It's my understanding that we would consider all the
23
    rights assigned in that.
24
         And when that occurs, isn't it true that an assignment of
25
    that lien is filed in the county records, correct?
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               131
 1
         I don't know that that's true, sir. I'm sorry.
 2
              THE COURT: You can show them those.
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, we would offer Exhibit 486.
 3
              THE COURT: No, I just said will you show it to the
 4
 5
    witness.
 6
              MR. RUMLEY: Oh, just to the witness?
 7
              THE COURT: Yes, please.
 8
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
 9
         Let me show you, Mr. Nichols, Exhibit 486.
10
         I can't see the top of it. I'm not sure -- can it --
         I'm sorry. I can't see what you're seeing.
11
12
         I'm kind of seeing the first paragraph. That's better,
13
    yes --
14
         Is that good?
15
         Yes, sir, it is. Can you slide it --
16
         Tell me if you want me to move it.
17
         -- can you slide it that way just a little? Yeah, that's
18
    pretty good right there. Yes, sir, that is an assignment of a
19
    mechanic's lien, I believe.
20
         And this would have been a document that was filed by CMH,
21
    right?
22
         It would have. I believe it's the document we talked
23
    about this morning that Mike Shelton talked a little bit about
24
    yesterday that Vanderbilt signed the release on. I think
25
    that's what this is.
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               132
 1
         Well, this is an assignment of the contract, right?
 2
         The assignment of the builder's and mechanic's lien?
 3
    Q
         Correct.
 4
         Yes, sir.
         Isn't it true that the standard practice within this
 5
 6
    company --
 7
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, may we approach before he
 8
    pursues?
 9
              THE COURT: Yes.
         (Counsel approached and bench conference began at 11:23
10
11
    a.m.)
12
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, I don't believe that this
13
    document is on their exhibit list.
14
              MR. RUMLEY: It's not. It's a rebuttal. He just --
15
    think he would deny it.
16
              MR. RANGEL: Wait a minute. He's not -- this
17
    document is not on the exhibit list, your Honor. He can't --
18
    he just can't pull documents that he has not previously
    identified.
19
20
              MR. RUMLEY: Your pretrial order says every exhibit
21
    needs to be identified except for unanticipated rebuttal
22
    documents.
23
              MR. RANGEL: But this is not rebuttal. This is cross
24
    examination, your Honor. He cannot --
25
              MR. RUMLEY:
                           (indiscernible).
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                              133
              MR. RANGEL: Well, he can't spring documents that he
1
 2
    has not previously identified on us.
 3
              MR. RUMLEY: These were produced -- these are
    attached to the summary judgment as evidence of -- on the
 4
 5
    assignment issue. This is not a surprise.
 6
              MR. RANGEL: It is a surprise. It's not identified
 7
    in the exhibit list.
 8
              MR. RUMLEY: It's because it's -- well, I didn't
 9
    intend to use it. I didn't think he was going to get up here
10
    and deny that they actually file an assignment of lien. They
11
    do it in every case.
              MR. RANGEL: No, they don't do that in every case.
12
13
    He has not denied it. He has not -- this is -- he has not
14
    denied that this document -- sure, this is the document that
15
    was filed but this does not relate --
16
              THE COURT: Why don't we just start asking when he
17
    started filing the release of liens on defaults?
18
              MR. RUMLEY: You mean assignments?
19
              THE COURT: I mean assignments of liens on defaults
20
    -- assignment of mechanic's liens on defaults.
21
              MR. RANGEL: It was not -- that -- go ahead. This is
22
    not identified in the witness -- in the exhibit list, your
23
    Honor.
24
              MR. RUMLEY: Well, I can -- I agree. We didn't
25
    identify it on the exhibit list because I didn't anticipate and
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               134
1
    the Court's order is everything except for --
 2
                         I understand that. You know, we don't do
              THE COURT:
    this. Everything you think -- you (indiscernible) you've got
 3
    to see if these people knew (indiscernible) know exactly who
 4
 5
    tells the truth and who doesn't. I think the exhibits
 6
    (indiscernible).
 7
              MR. RUMLEY: Well, we just -- we found these right
    before the summary judgment.
 8
 9
              MR. RANGEL: Well, Judge --
10
              MR. RUMLEY: We found these right before when I was
11
    trying to do the summary judgment --
12
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
13
              MR. RUMLEY: -- but --
14
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, in Federal Court it's trial by
15
    ambush.
             The Court --
16
              THE COURT: Thank you. I remember that.
17
              MR. RANGEL: You know, he can't spring documents.
                                                                  Wе
18
    bent over backwards --
19
              THE COURT: We really need to -- we can talk more
20
    over the lunch hour. Give --
21
              MR. RUMLEY: I don't have any more. I don't have any
22
    more arrows in my quiver, Judge.
23
              THE COURT: Okay.
24
              MR. RANGEL: You know, this is unfair, your Honor.
25
              THE COURT:
                          You may start at (indiscernible).
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. Rumley
                                                               135
 1
              MR. RUMLEY:
                           Okay.
 2
         (Bench conference concluded at 11:25 a.m.)
    BY MR. RUMLEY:
 3
         Mr. Nichols, when is it that your company started filing
 4
 5
    these assignments of liens? When an assignment is made where
    Vanderbilt pays CMH for the contract and that contract is
 6
 7
    assigned that we've heard throughout this case -- when is it
 8
    that you-all started to file these assignments of liens in the
    courthouse?
10
         I believe the builder's and mechanic's lien contract
11
    changed from the old version. It changed over time until it
12
    included an assignment in the same document and it was all
13
    recorded at the same time.
14
         So today --
15
         To my -- the best of my knowledge, that was how it works.
16
         So today you would have actually filed an assignment if an
17
    assignment took place?
18
         I believe that's still the document being used today, yes,
19
    sir.
20
         And that would have been true back in 2005?
21
         I do not know when the documents changed over, no, sir.
22
         Well, when is it when you would start -- when you started
23
    to file these assignments of mechanic's liens?
24
         I do not know, sir.
25
              MR. RUMLEY:
                            Your Honor, that's all the questions I
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                               136
1
    have.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
 3
                            CROSS EXAMINATION
    BY MR. B. GUTIERREZ:
 4
 5
         Mr. Nichols, good morning.
 6
         Good morning, sir.
 7
         This -- the deed of trust and the mechanic's lien contract
 8
    that the jury has looked at for the last two days, Exhibit
    Number 7 --
 9
10
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Excuse me, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Wait a minute. I've got it -- is this
12
    admitted?
13
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Yes, ma'am.
14
              THE COURT: Okay, sorry.
15
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Yes, your Honor.
16
    BY MR. B. GUTIERREZ:
17
         The builder's and mechanic's lien contract has been
18
    labeled Exhibit Number 7 plus the deed of trust, Exhibit Number
19
    6. These two documents, Mr. Nichols, were the results of the
20
    deplorable conditions that Vanderbilt found at Store 214 here
21
    in Corpus Christi; is that right?
22
         Yes, sir.
23
         And these same documents that the jury has looked at now
24
    for close to three days --
25
         Yes, sir.
```

Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez 137 1 -- are the same documents that Vanderbilt used to generate 2 millions of dollars by selling loans that were purportedly secured by land through these documents; is that right? 3 No, sir. 4 5 You described for us and -- and as well as the jury a 6 first-party land-in-lieu transaction and a third-party land-in-7 lieu transaction and you explained that in a third-party landin-lieu transaction such as the transaction that has now become 8 the basis of this lawsuit that in that situation, the landowner 10 was not obligated to pay a debt. You've already told us that, 11 right? 12 Yes, sir. 13 If you knew that, why did you as president of Vanderbilt 14 accept these documents that we have looked at for close to three days that places a responsibility and a false obligation 15 16 on the Trevinos in land only? Why were you accepting this type 17 of documents to generate money for your companies? 18 We didn't know that when -- at the time that loan was 19 funded, nobody knew about the documents. 20 If you look at the fine print -- if you look at the 21 documents carefully, not only were they obligating and 22 misrepresenting, by the way, that obligation -- not only were 23 they obligating the Trevinos to pay for a debt they had not 24 contracted for according to your sworn testimony, they were 25 also threatening the Trevinos that if they did not pay this

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                               138
 1
    obligation, they would take their land -- you would take their
 2
    land, right?
 3
         I don't believe anyone threatened the Trevinos or called
    them or asked for money or contacted them in any way, sir.
 4
 5
         Are you saying that these documents that are the result of
    the deplorable conditions that you found at Store 214 did not
 6
    -- did not say that if they did not pay the obligation, they
 7
    would lose their land? Is that what you're saying under oath?
 9
         I'm saying that if they didn't pay -- if Mr. King and
10
    Mr. Flores didn't pay for the home, the Trevinos were at risk
11
    of losing the land, yes, sir.
12
         That's what this document says? You don't pay, Mr. and
13
    Mrs. Trevino, we can take your land?
14
              MR. RANGEL: Objection, your Honor. That's not what
15
    he said.
16
    BY MR. B. GUTIERREZ:
17
         I'm sorry. What did you say, Mr. Nichols?
18
         I said if Mr. and Ms. -- Mr. Flores and Mr. King did not
19
    pay for the home, the Trevinos were at risk of losing their
20
    land. I believe that's what I said.
21
         Well, the documents speak for themselves, do they not?
22
    mean, the deed of trust tells the Trevinos that if the
23
    purchaser of the mobile home does not pay the debt, they stand
    the risk of losing their land, right?
24
25
         They ran -- yes, sir, that's what it says.
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                               139
 1
         Well, actually it directs them to pay an obligation --
 2
    this document directs the Trevinos to pay an obligation, to pay
    $40,000, to pay $17,000 and if they don't -- if they default --
 3
    if they default, they can lose their land, right?
 4
         I'm not sure exactly what you're saying --
 5
 6
         Okay.
 7
         -- but from my perspective if the customers don't pay for
    the house, the people that pledged the land would be at risk of
 8
 9
    losing the land.
10
         Have you read this paragraph here?
11
         I have not.
12
         Okay. Can you see this? "In the event of default in the
13
              performance of any obligation under the retail
14
              installment contract hereby secured in accordance
15
              with the terms hereof or of a breach of any of the
16
              covenants herein contained to be performed by
17
              grantor" -- the grantor we already know is indicated
18
    to be in this document Maria Trevino and Arturo Trevino,
19
    correct?
20
         Yes, sir.
21
         -- "then in any such event, beneficiary may elect to
22
              declare the arrears due and payable that was given
23
              all credits required by law and in the event of
24
              default on the payment of the net indebtedness due or
25
              declared due, it shall thereupon or at any time
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                                140
 1
               thereafter be the duty of the Trustee" -- this would
 2
    be Kevin T. Clayton, right?
 3
         Yes, sir.
    Α
         -- "or his successor or substitute as hereinafter provided
 4
 5
    at the request of the beneficiary" -- who was the beneficiary,
    Vanderbilt?
 6
         I believe so, yes, sir.
 7
         -- "which request is conclusively presumed to enforce this
 8
 9
               trust and after advertising the time, place and terms
10
              of the sale of the above described and conveyed
              property then subject to the lien hereof and mailing
11
12
              and filing notices as required by the Texas Property
13
              Code as then amended, the trustee shall sell the
14
              above described property." That's what it says,
15
    right?
16
         Yes, sir.
17
         We saw some documents there that showed that this
18
    particular loan was part of an investment pool, right?
19
         It was, yes, sir.
20
         The transactions related to the land-in-lieu program that
21
    you were marketing and promoting out of Store 214 required you,
22
    Vanderbilt, as well as CMH Homes to exchange documents over the
23
    mail, right?
24
         The documents at the sales center completed would be
25
    mailed to us, yes, sir.
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                               141
 1
         And after funding took place, you were wiring funds to --
 2
    would it be Clayton Homes, CHI and other parties, right?
         Well, it wouldn't be wires. They'd be intercompany
 3
    entries.
 4
 5
                 And you used -- I mean, you used the wires -- and
    by "wires," I'm talking telephone, faxes, emails, your link
 6
 7
    system to complete this land-in-lieu transaction; is that
    correct?
         Yes, sir, all of those things.
10
         You also used the word "partial release," that these
11
    releases were partial releases, right?
12
         Yes, sir, partial release of collateral for the loan.
13
          Yeah. And you agree with me that none of the documents
14
    we've seen today shows anywhere that you at any time used that
15
    language in any document, the words "partial release"; is that
16
    correct?
17
         That is correct. Could I elaborate? That the -- if it's
18
    okay. The partial release from the Vanderbilt's perspective is
19
    that we have the collateral of the house and we have the
20
    collateral of the loan. We call it a partial release because
    we release the lien on the land. That's the only reason we
21
22
    call it "partial" but the documents that we released the liens
23
    on the land with would have released all the deeds of trust and
    mechanic's lien. I just -- I'm trying to be -- make sure we're
24
25
    talking about the same thing, sir.
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                               142
 1
         The TDHCA document that was shown to us, the Texas
    Department of Housing and Community Affairs document that was
 2
    shown earlier and identified as Vanderbilt -- as having a
 3
    mortgage lien, do you remember that?
 4
 5
         It's a titled lien on the mobile home, yes, sir.
         But it clearly says "Mortgage lien," right?
 6
 7
         I -- whatever TMTH in -- TMHD -- the Texas Association
    puts on there is what's on, yes, sir.
 9
         And the deed of trust release releases the mortgage lien,
10
    right? And the same language that you saw on that Texas
11
    Department of Housing and Community Affairs document that says
12
    Mortgage lien, it says Vanderbilt Mortgage and Finance Company.
13
    Do you remember that? That's the same word that --
14
         I quess -- could we see what we're talking about?
15
    sorry.
16
         (Discussion off the record)
17
         Let's look at it on the screen.
18
         I just want to make sure we're both talking about the same
19
    thing.
20
    0
         Right.
21
         (Discussion off the record)
22
         It talks about mortgage lien in favor of Vanderbilt
23
    Mortgage and Finance. Do you see that?
24
         That's preprinted on the state form, yes, sir.
25
         Yeah.
                And -- thanks -- and if we look at the deed of
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                                143
 1
    trust release, it talks about a release of the mortgage, right?
 2
         Yes, sir, referring to the land but that's not the way you
    release a lien on a title.
 3
         I think one of the questions that Mr. Rangel was asking
 4
 5
    you concerned this recording and this filing of this lien or
 6
    notice of lien with the Texas Department of Housing and
 7
    Community Affairs. Do you remember that?
         The document we just looked at?
 8
 9
         Yes, sir.
10
         I remember the document we just looked at, yes, sir.
         If you had recorded a release with that, that would have
11
12
    given notice to Mr. Flores and Mr. King that their mortgage had
13
    been released, right?
         If I had -- we had signed off on the document --
14
15
         Yes, sir.
16
         -- we just saw --
17
         Yes.
18
         -- and sent it to the Texas Department of Housing --
19
         Yes.
20
         -- that would have meant we had considered the loan paid
21
    in full --
22
         Well --
         -- which we did not do.
23
24
         And that would have given notice to Mr. Flores and
25
    Mr. King that their lien had been released on their mobile
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                               144
 1
    home?
 2
         Their lien was not released on the mobile home.
    still owed for the house they were living in.
 3
         I understand but if you done that, sir -- that's what I'm
 4
 5
    telling you -- it would have advised -- it would have told them
    -- it would have given them notice that you had released the
 6
    lien, right?
 7
         If I done that, I would have considered the loan paid in
    full which we did not consider the loan paid in full.
10
         Well, what you didn't do is you didn't notify them when
11
    you filed the deed of trust release and the mechanic lien
12
    release. You didn't send them notice of that, did you?
13
         We did not, no, sir.
14
         And you talked about the IRS and filing a 10 -- what was
15
    it, a 1099?
16
         If we discharge a debt, we're required to file a 10099
17
    with the IRS.
18
         What do you think the I -- the Internal Revenue Service
19
    would have done if you filed a discharge of the debt and are
20
    required to file a 1099?
21
         The IRS requires us if we discharge debt to file a 1099.
22
    That's just the rules, sir. I'm not familiar with all the
23
    tax --
24
         And then the 1099 goes to the customer and lets them know
25
    that you discharged the debt, right?
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                               145
1
         Had we discharged the debt, they would have got that, yes,
 2
    sir.
 3
         And that would have uncovered this mass of secret releases
    that you had been filing, right?
 4
 5
         Sir, there was no --
 6
              MR. RANGEL: Objection, it's argumentative.
 7
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 8
    BY MR. B. GUTIERREZ:
 9
         And just going back to a question by Mr. Rumley concerning
10
    your testimony of 2005 where you testified that if you found a
11
    deed of trust not to be valid that you would do everything to
12
    satisfy and take care of your customer, right?
13
         Yes, sir, I did say that.
14
         But you didn't do that in this case. You sued my clients,
15
    right?
16
         That's because -- I want to explain, all right.
17
    0
         Well --
18
         No, I think it warrants an explanation.
19
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Objection. I object to --
20
              THE COURT: Just a moment.
21
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: -- Mr. Rangel's client being
22
    nonresponsive.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. Just listen to the question, sir,
24
    and answer the question.
25
              THE WITNESS:
                             Yes --
```

```
Nichols - Cross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                               146
 1
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 2
              THE WITNESS: -- yes, your Honor. I'm sorry. Could
 3
    you repeat the question?
    BY MR. B. GUTIERREZ:
 4
 5
         You testified back in 2005 that if you found a deed of
    trust not to be valid that you would do everything you could to
 6
 7
    satisfy and take care of your customer?
         Yes, sir.
         You didn't do that in this case. You sued my client
    even --
10
11
         When I -- that question to me -- the question and the
12
    landowner are one in the same but --
13
         You think -- are you saying that a deed of trust in this
14
    case is valid or not valid?
         The deed -- to my knowledge, it's got a bad notary on it,
15
16
    yes, sir.
17
         So you don't have an opinion one way or another that it's
18
    valid or not valid?
19
         As we've established, I'm not a lawyer. I don't know what
20
    makes it valid. I just know the notary stamp is bad or the
21
    notary signatures are bad --
22
         You --
        -- but I don't know that if the notary is bad but the
23
24
    customers would have signed it and I don't know if they did or
25
    not, does that make it invalid? I'm not sure.
                                                     That's, I
```

```
Nichols - Redirect / By Mr. Rangel
                                                               147
 1
    guess, what all of the lawyers and everybody else is trying to
 2
    figure out.
 3
         You've looked at them for close to three days now, right?
 4
         I have, yes, sir.
         So do you find them deplorable?
 5
 6
         I find the notary practice awful, yes, sir, I do.
 7
         No, I'm talking about the documents. The documents that
    you just looked at, do you find them deplorable or they're
 9
    actually trying to enforce some kind of obligation on people
10
    that you say --
11
         I find that inappropriate and incorrect, yes, sir.
12
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: That's all I have.
13
              THE COURT: Thank you.
14
              MR. RANGEL: May I proceed, your Honor?
15
              THE COURT:
                          Yes, sir.
16
                          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17
    BY MR. RANGEL:
18
         Mr. Nichols, would you explain to the jury how you
19
    understood that question regarding taking care of the customer
20
    and in what context you were answering that question?
          Normally the customer and the landowner are one in the
21
22
    same for us is what normally happens. Third-party land pledges
23
    are -- they're a little out of the ordinary for us and so if I
24
    -- I think what I said to Mr. Rumley was that if we found the
25
    deed of trust was bad, we'd try to make it good with the
```

```
Nichols - Redirect / By Mr. Rangel
                                                               148
    customer and in that case, we would probably do the same thing
 1
 2
    we did here, release the lien on the land. I didn't say I was
    going to give him a free house. The retail installment
 3
    contract is still valid and the customer got the house.
 4
 5
    should pay for it.
 6
              I mean, I don't want to make it sound too simple but
 7
    I guess that's kind of how I viewed it. If the deed of trust
    was bad, we'd try to fix that problem, release it and tell the
 8
 9
    customer and send it to him or whatnot. And could we have done
10
    this process better? Yeah, maybe we could have but we did it
11
    the best we could at the time. It was an unusual event and we
12
    tried to resolve it the best we could. That's what we did.
13
         Mr. Nichols, has Vanderbilt at any time considered Mr. and
    Mrs. Trevino to be obligated under the retail installment
14
15
    contract?
16
         No, sir, we have not.
17
         And the language that Mr. Gutierrez and Mr. Rumley showed
18
    you in DOT 10 --
19
              MR. RANGEL: -- I'm sorry, 209 BML. Next page.
20
    Under the BML -- I guess I'm missing where the amount is.
21
    Maybe we can find it -- on the first page? There it is. I'm
22
    sorry.
23
         Owner agrees to pay contractor the sum of $40,850.19.
    This form, would you explain to the jury the difference between
24
25
    a first party and a third-party transaction and how this form
```

```
Nichols - Redirect / By Mr. Rangel
                                                               149
 1
    comes into play?
 2
         Normally the owner of the land and the buyer of the home
    are the same. So this would state that the owner of the land
 3
    who is going to be on the retail installment contract is going
 4
 5
    to pay CMH Homes for the home. That's after it's set up,
    delivered, well, septic, power poles, all those things happen.
 6
 7
    That's -- to me, that's what this document is about and Texas
    is the only state we use it in. It's -- and I don't completely
 9
    understand the purpose. It has something to do with the Texas
10
    homestead law that before you make an improvement to a
11
    homestead, this form is required. Retail -- CMH Retail files
12
    the document to protect themselves until the loan is fully
13
    funded. That's all my understanding is of this document and --
14
         And --
15
         I'm sorry.
         -- and if you look at that -- this document from the
16
17
    standpoint of being a third-party land-in-lieu, does that
18
    language really make sense?
19
         It does not. It's really the wrong document. This
20
    doesn't look right.
21
         And why does it not make sense?
22
         Because the landowner and the third party is only pledging
23
    the land, if I understand your question right. That's -- to
24
    me, that's the only thing he's pledging.
25
         And has Vanderbilt ever considered Mr. and Mrs. Trevino to
```

Nichols - Redirect / By Mr. Rangel 150 1 be obligated under the retail installment contract for that 2 amount which was the amount in the retail installment contract? We have not considered that, no, sir, at all. 3 And, in fact, this lawsuit that Vanderbilt has filed to 4 repossess the mobile home, against whom has the lawsuit been 5 filed? 6 7 Mr. Flores and Mr. King. And why have Mr. Flores and Mr. King been sued in this 9 lawsuit by Vanderbilt? 10 Because they did not pay for their home. 11 Did Vanderbilt sue Mr. and Mrs. Trevino to repossess the 12 home? 13 We did not, no, sir. 14 And why is that Vanderbilt did not sue Mr. and Mrs. 15 Trevino to repossess the home because the obligation under the 16 retail installment contract has not been paid? 17 Because we had released the lien on the land previously. 18 And was that the extent of the obligation that Mr. and 19 Mrs. Trevino had in this transaction? 20 Yes, sir. Α 21 The suit to repossess is because of nonpayment under the 22 retail installment contract? 23 That's correct, yes, sir. Α 24 And who was obligated to make the payments under the 25 retail installment contract?

```
Nichols - Redirect / By Mr. Rangel
                                                               151
 1
         Mr. Flores and Mr. King.
 2
         Were Mr. and Mrs. Trevino obligated to make the payments
    under the retail installment contract?
 3
         No, sir, that's not my understanding.
 4
 5
         And if you look at the Builder's and Mechanic's Lien
 6
    Contract --
 7
              MR. RANGEL: Go to the last page. I'm sorry, the --
    right above the signatures. There -- the previous page.
    this the reordered one?
10
         Would you agree, Mr. Nichols, that when looking at a
    document, the entire document needs to be looked at in order
11
12
    for it to -- for the reader of the document to understand what
13
    the document means and says?
14
         I'm sorry. I'm not tracking with you.
                 When looking at document -- Mr. Rumley was asking,
15
16
    well, if you look at this language and that particular language
17
    tells you what the document intends or means. Do you remember
18
    Mr. Rumley asking you that question?
19
         Yes, sir.
20
         What I'm asking you -- I mean, is it your understanding
21
    that you've got to look at the entirety of the document to
22
    understand what it means?
23
         Yes, sir, you should.
24
         And the document -- the Builder's and Mechanic's Lien
25
    Contract -- has this language on the last page, "This
```

Nichols - Redirect / By Mr. Rangel 152 1 conveyance is made in trust to accrue the payment of an 2 indebtedness of " -- what names are in there? Cesar Flores and Mr. Alvin King. 3 -- "as evidence by a certain retail installment contract 4 5 in the amount of \$40,858.19" -- is that what it says? 6 Α Yes, sir. So if you look at the entirety of this document, does it 7 make clear that it was Mr. Flores and Mr. King who owed the money under the retail installment contract and not Mr. and 10 Mrs. Trevino? 11 That is correct, yes. 12 You look at the entirety of the document? 13 Yes, sir. 14 And is that the fair thing to do? 15 To read the whole thing, yes, sir. 16 MR. RANGEL: Let's pull up CP8, Release from the 17 Vault document. (Discussion off the record) 18 19 Mr. Nichols, is this the document that Mr. Rumley was 20 asking you questions about? 21 Yes, sir. And it has "Release" at the top. What does that mean in 22 the context of this document? 23 24 It's just to get something out of the vault. 25 And Mr. Rumley -- and what are those two -- you have DOT

```
Nichols - Redirect / By Mr. Rangel
                                                               153
    and ML. What does "DOT" stand for?
 1
         The deed of trust and the mechanic's lien.
 2
         And if you look -- again, if you look at the entirety of
 3
    the document, not just focusing on the reference -- and we
 4
 5
    talked about every document is going to have a reference.
    you look at the entirety of the document going to Paragraph 5
 6
 7
    where an X is marked -- Mr. Rumley didn't show this. What is
    it showing where the X is marked?
 8
 9
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, objection, leading and
10
    argumentative with his own witness.
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, I'm just -- he questioned him --
11
              THE COURT: Overruled.
12
13
              THE WITNESS: I believe the document was just
14
    requesting to get the deed of trust and the mechanic's lien.
15
    BY MR. RANGEL:
16
         And how do you know that's what the document says?
17
         That's what it says.
18
         Okay. Paragraph 5, what is "DOT"?
19
         Deed of trust.
20
         What is DOTM?
21
         I think it's their acronym for mechanic's lien.
22
         And so if you look at the entirety of the document, not
23
    just focusing on the reference, what is this document telling
24
    you?
25
         They're just getting two documents out of the vault is
```

```
Nichols - Redirect / By Mr. Rangel
                                                                154
 1
    what --
 2
         And what two documents are they getting out?
         The mechanic's lien and the deed of trust.
 3
         Does this release in any way say that the indebtedness
 4
 5
    under the contract is being released?
 6
    Α
         No, sir.
 7
         You were asked questions about the notary practices in
    which you found them to be deplorable; is that correct?
 8
 9
         Yes, sir.
10
         And that's what you had told the jury, right?
11
         It is, yes, sir.
12
         Now, the retail installment contract -- to your knowledge,
13
    has there ever been any question that the signature of
14
    Mr. Flores and the signature of Mr. King are genuine and
15
    authentic?
         It's my understanding they signed the document, yes, sir.
16
17
         And they've testified in court here before this jury that
18
    they signed that document and those are their signatures --
19
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, objection, leading.
20
              MR. RANGEL: I apologize.
    BY MR. RANGEL:
21
22
         What have they testified to regarding their signatures on
23
    that document?
24
         That they were legitimate.
25
         And were -- was there any necessity on the document for
```

Nichols - Redirect / By Mr. Rangel 155 1 those signatures to be notarized? 2 There's no notary requirement, no, sir. So going back to the decision to release the lien on the 3 land, why did -- was it limited to the lien on the land? 4 5 Because the notary practice ran to bad land documents. 6 The bad notary practices are on the land documents is how we 7 viewed it. Did that have anything to do with the signatures of Mr. King and Mr. Flores on that retail installment contract? 10 No, sir. They borrowed the money. They got the house. 11 They agreed to pay it back. THE COURT: If you could find a place to kind of wrap 12 13 up. 14 MR. RANGEL: Yes, your Honor. 15 BY MR. RANGEL: Is there anything in the questions that you were asked by 16 17 Mr. Rumley and Mr. Gutierrez that changes your testimony as to 18 the intent behind the decision that you and Mr. Booth made and 19 the intent behind the filing of the deed of trust release and builder's and mechanic's release? 20 21 No, sir. 22 And that intent was what? 23 To release the lien on the loans -- to release the lien on 24 the land associated with the land-in-lieu transactions. 25 And why was it to release the lien on the land?

```
Nichols - Recross / By Mr. B. Gutierrez
                                                              156
1
         Because the retail installment contract still secured the
 2
    obligation on the house.
 3
              MR. RANGEL: Pass the witness, your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 5
              MR. RUMLEY: No questions, your Honor.
 6
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: I have one question.
 7
                          RECROSS EXAMINATION
 8
    BY MR. B. GUTIERREZ:
 9
         Mr. Nichols, would you have funded this contract without
10
    this -- what we described as deplorable documents?
11
         We would not have, no, sir.
12
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: That's all I have. Thank you.
13
              THE COURT: Thank you. You may stand down.
                                                             Come
14
    back about 1:15. Thank you very much. Would you stand for the
15
    jury?
16
              THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
17
         (Jurors exited the courtroom at 12:02 p.m.)
18
              THE COURT: You can stand down. Thank you.
19
              THE WITNESS: Oh, thank you, ma'am. Thank you, your
20
    Honor.
21
         (Witness stepped down)
22
              THE COURT: Anything to take up?
23
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Your Honor, I have my continuing plea
    on Mr. Stone which we could either do before or after lunch.
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          I just don't know what the area for
```

- expertise is that would beneficial for the jury in this particular case.
- MR. LOCHRIDGE: Yes, your Honor. And the areas of
 expertise is that he is -- got -- he has expertise in the sale
 of manufactured homes --
- **THE COURT:** But is that in his report?

- MR. LOCHRIDGE: Well, it was note -- yes, it was noted that in his -- his resume talks about his years of experience in the real estate industry and as a title company and he was recognized --
- THE COURT: I understand that but this particular -it's understood that these particular mobile homes never go
 through -- never closed at a title company.
- MR. LOCHRIDGE: He is -- but the point -- here's the point that I'm trying to get through is that he has experience as a title company officer and how releases are filed, whether or not -- very important and any --
- THE COURT: Okay. I guess I'm not understanding what that has to do with this because these people got a release of lien and they say they didn't even owe anything. I mean, and they never paid a penny. So why -- if they got a release of lien, why wasn't it mailed to them? Why weren't they notified?
- MR. LOCHRIDGE: And that's exactly the point that he'll testify about and that is, number one, there's no requirement to send out a release, number one, no legal -- and

```
158
 1
    number two --
 2
              THE COURT: Well, I understand that but these --
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: -- no one does it. No one in the
 3
    industry does it.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 6
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: And they're trying to say that that's
 7
    an evidence of a terrible mass --
 8
              THE COURT: Well, it was --
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: -- mass secret release.
10
              THE COURT: You know what? You know what, I think
11
    you can let -- I think I'll let him testify about it's not
12
    customary. I think that 'll -- I think that you can deal with
13
    that very appropriately.
14
              MR. RUMLEY: Well, I mean, my argument goes back --
15
    just because he argues this thing -- they filed a brief in the
16
              We rely on the Court's word.
    Daubert.
17
              THE COURT: I understand that.
18
              MR. RUMLEY:
                           I even asked Mr. Stone, you're a lawyer.
19
    You understand your obligations under Rule 26. He says, right.
20
    And you feel like when you drafted this report, you complied
21
    with Rule 26 and he did and that's his testimony. The Court --
22
    they briefed this. They've argued this now five times.
23
    Court has excluded his opinions and to allow it to come in just
24
    because he continues and continues and continues to ask, it
25
    doesn't change the --
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They

THE COURT: I understand that but I don't see any reason why he can't testify to the one question, it's not customary to send out copies of the releases to the debtor. Because then if I cross, then I somehow MR. RUMLEY: will open the door and 4 through 18 come in, your Honor, and --THE COURT: Huh-huh --MR. RUMLEY: -- it's just -- it's unfair. THE COURT: -- that's what I'm --MR. RUMLEY: I mean, I understand the --THE COURT: I don't know why you wouldn't want it in actually. It'd be great cross examination. Because there's nothing in his report, MR. RUMLEY: not even any excluded paragraphs about these mass releases. THE COURT: I'm just saying you can ask him all about nonnotarized documents, how valid are they. You can ask him about all those kind of things. MR. RUMLEY: To these things, he'll just say I mean, that's the problem, Judge. He's a paid expert. They've had five witnesses up here to tell their Story 1 and Story 2. They have a paid lawyer come in like he's some authority on the law because he's a lawyer. I mean, I could go hire two lawyers and have them come up and say it's not appropriate to file mass releases. It goes back to the exact same argument that they've made before and that Mr. Lochridge

has made now five times and he tried to set out opinions.

```
160
1
    did their -- they gave it their college try and the Court
 2
    excluded --
 3
              THE COURT: I have excluded already. I'm going to
    stay with the exclusion. I just don't know why Mr. Rumley
 4
 5
    doesn't want it in, to tell you the truth. It seems like such
 6
    a bonus for him but I'm going to leave it out.
 7
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: So where are we right now?
              THE COURT: We're without Mr. Stone.
 8
 9
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Period, paragraph. I've heard the
10
    Court.
11
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Your Honor --
12
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
13
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: -- one housekeeping item on the
    exhibits.
14
15
              THE COURT: None overarching.
16
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Not overarching and very narrow and
17
    focused, your Honor. Exhibit 96 and I think the party that
18
    moved for admission is the attachments to the report of our
19
    handwriting expert Larry Stewart and Mr. Rumley raised an issue
20
    about redacting the portions of the plea agreements of
21
    Mr. Arturo --
22
              THE COURT: Where he got those things, yeah.
23
              MR. RUMLEY: But I just haven't looked at them.
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: We have redacted that --
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          Well, has it been given to Mr. Rumley?
```

```
161
1
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: I have shown them just in passing to
 2
    him --
 3
              THE COURT: You mean like under his nose passing?
 4
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Under his nose, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. RUMLEY: Judge, I have many things to do and the
 6
 7
    last -- I haven't even looked at them.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. He needs to look at those before
 9
    they come in.
10
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. But other than that, we'll
    tender them after lunch. I'm sure --
11
              THE COURT: Well, I don't know if he wants to spend
12
13
    lunch looking at them. He may want to spend lunch talking to
    Mr. Stone.
14
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: I'll prevail on it.
15
16
              THE COURT: Okay.
17
              MS. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, your Honor.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
19
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: And (indiscernible) was a little
20
    sensitive talking to Mr. Stone and (indiscernible).
21
              THE COURT: Mr. Rumley.
22
              MR. RUMLEY: There's one thing and I guess
23
    Mr. Soltero posed. I think -- I believe that they're going to
24
    call one more witness and they're going to rest and then we
25
    intend to play Mr. Gutierrez's intends to play Mr. Frazier and
```

- 1 Mr. Moore and we worked out nearly everything but just a couple 2 of points and I don't know if the Court wants to -- I'm not
- 3 speaking for them that they're going to rest. I'm just -- I
- 4 don't know when the Court wants to hear those objections rather
- 5 | than -- they worked until 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning last
- 6 night trying to work out those cuts and I think there's just
- 7 two or three areas that the Court needs to hear.
- 8 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 9 MR. RUMLEY: But Mr. Soltero, I don't see him --
- MR. RANGEL: He's here.
- 11 MR. RUMLEY: Okay.
- 12 MR. RANGEL: He's making a --
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. I know I'm inconsistent. I'm
- 14 uncomfortable about leaving out Mr. Stone about customs and
- 15 practices for releases. That's the only thing he can testify
- 16 to. I don't see anything wrong with that. I'm going to let
- 17 | him do it just for the -- just for customs and practices of
- 18 | releases. I don't know what it has to do with this case
- 19 because he doesn't do mobile homes. He certainly doesn't do
- 20 | them separately. They don't close through a title company.
- 21 I'm going to do it. That's it. That's it.
- 22 MR. RUMLEY: Okay.
- 23 **THE COURT:** Don't cry.
- 24 MR. RANGEL: Your Honor, in turn for planning
- 25 purposes, we plan to call Maria Trevino as a witness.

```
163
1
              THE COURT: You should -- Mr. Stone should be about
    five minutes.
 2
 3
              MR. RANGEL: And then after that, Mr. Stone and then
    VMF will rest on the possession case and I understand then
 4
 5
    Mr. Gutierrez will proceed on the counterclaim and Mr. Rumley
    will (indiscernible).
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Thank you. Do you have a written motion
 8
    for directed verdict?
 9
              MR. SOLTERO: We do actually have one where and plan
10
    -- we have several. We plan to file one --
11
              THE COURT: I'm going to tell you what I'm going to
12
    do --
13
              MR. SOLTERO: Yes, your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: -- is that I'm going to -- you file your
15
    written motion. I'm going to carry it forward so we can
16
    continue on the case. Okay? And then I'll spend the weekend
17
    looking at it.
18
              MR. SOLTERO: We'll get those on file. I'll try
19
    and --
20
              THE COURT: Okay.
21
              MR. SOLTERO: -- either during the break or at the
22
    end of the day -- at least the first one.
23
              THE COURT: Don't whine.
24
              MR. RUMLEY: I'm not whining and I'm not crying. I'm
25
    just -- I want to be able to understand the Court's ruling.
                                                                  So
```

- 1 Frazier, but my understanding is, is Joe intends to play a
- 2 deposition and edit it at the same time and we don't want to
- 3 stop and start, so if you would like to hear those objections
- 4 | now so that we can get a ruling and he can start editing them
- 5 | while they finish up the rest of their case.
- 6 THE COURT: You want me to give you a ruling while
- 7 | we're putting on testimony?
- 8 MR. RUMLEY: No, hear their objections to Robin
- 9 Moore's cuts so that he can start editing it out those
- 10 portions.
- 11 **THE COURT:** I'm not taking objections to the cuts;
- 12 | I'm taking objections to the testimony. And those we'll take
- 13 | up as they go along.
- 14 If somebody filed something about optional
- 15 | completion, there's no such doctrine in Federal Court. So you
- 16 give your slices and they give their slices and that's how it
- works.
- 18 MR. RUMLEY: It wasn't my optional completeness.
- 19 **THE COURT:** No, I know.
- 20 MR. LOCHRIDGE: So we'll stop --
- 21 **THE COURT:** It was Mr. Stone's.
- 22 MR. LOCHRIDGE: Speaking of Mr. Stone --
- 23 **THE COURT:** Yes.
- 24 MR. LOCHRIDGE: -- I've spoken with Mr. Rumley about
- 25 this and here's where we are, your Honor. I want to respect

```
168
1
    to.
 2
              THE COURT: Yes. That's what you told me you wanted
    him for.
 3
 4
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Well, we would put him on as custom
 5
    and practice as to whether or not you have to notify -- the
 6
    custom and practice as to notifying the consumer under
 7
    retail --
 8
              THE COURT: Oh, that's not in his report, so forget
 9
    about that.
10
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: I'm just telling you the probative
11
    item --
12
              THE COURT: We'll move on. I got it.
13
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: Okay.
14
              THE COURT: You're very kind, Mr. Lochridge.
15
              And somehow, Mr. Rumley, I figured it would work out
16
    this, with all your whining and worry.
17
              MR. RUMLEY: Actually what Mr. Lochridge said is
18
    my --
19
              THE COURT: I hope your mother's still not here.
20
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, she was here the other day.
21
    But she said my puppy eyes didn't work for me, but apparently
22
    worked for Mr. Lochridge, so --
23
              THE COURT: I don't think so.
24
              MR. RUMLEY: -- I succeeded in something.
25
              MR. LOCHRIDGE:
                              We have a Labrador against a Golden
```

```
169
1
    Retriever, Judge, and both of us are dogs.
 2
              THE COURT: I like them both the same.
              Okay, are we ready to bring the jury in?
 3
 4
              MR. UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, your Honor.
 5
         (Pause)
               THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
 6
 7
         (Jurors enter courtroom at 1:36 p.m.)
 8
              THE COURT: Got your blanket?
 9
              Thank you all, you may be seated.
10
              Is it too cold in here for anybody?
11
         (Laughter)
12
              Okay, okay.
13
         (Laughter)
14
              How about raising it a couple of degrees?
15
              And I'm also sorry for the delay. I had three
16
    hearings scheduled over lunch and it took longer than I had
17
    anticipated, hearing on other matters.
18
              Okay, I have the temperature -- we had it set down
19
    low because I thought will all the people it would get warmer
20
    and it has not gotten warmer. So thank you. So she's emailing
21
    down to get it reset.
22
              Ready?
23
              MR. RANGEL: Plaintiff Vanderbilt calls Maria
24
    Trevino, your Honor.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
```

```
170
                   Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
1
               Would you come forward, please, Ms. Trevino?
 2
                MARIA TREVINO, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, SWORN
 3
               THE COURT: You may proceed. Thank you.
 4
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
 5
    BY MR. RANGEL:
         Good afternoon, Mrs. Trevino.
 6
 7
         Good afternoon.
 8
         We met and I took your deposition several months ago?
 9
    Α
         Yes, sir.
10
         Mrs. Trevino, are you the wife of Arturo Trevino?
11
         Yes, sir.
12
         And are you the sister of Cesar Flores?
13
    Α
         Yes, sir.
14
               THE COURT: Would you give your full name, please?
               THE WITNESS: Maria Margarita Trevino.
15
16
    BY MR. RANGEL:
17
         And Mrs. Trevino, where do you live?
18
         At 1705 South Cameron.
19
         In Alice, Texas?
20
         In Alice, Texas.
21
         And how long have you lived there?
         About two years.
22
23
         And you and Mr. Trevino have been separated for a number
24
    of years, is that correct?
25
         That's correct.
```

171 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 He lives in Premont? 2 Correct. 3 Mrs. Trevino, your involvement in this case arose from the fact that you and Mr. Trevino had intervened in the case and 4 5 filed a lawsuit against my client, correct? 6 Α Correct. 7 Vanderbilt, which filed this lawsuit against Alvin King and Cesar Flores, did not sue you or Mr. Trevino, correct? 8 Correct. 10 Sometime in December of 2001 you became aware that your 11 brother, Cesar Flores, and Alvin King were looking to purchase 12 a mobile home, is that correct? 13 That's correct. 14 And did your brother, Cesar Flores, contact you regarding 15 that? 16 Yes, sir. 17 When he contacted you did he tell you that he wanted to 18 discuss with you and perhaps Mr. Trevino whether he could 19 locate that mobile home on that empty lot on Carmen Street that 20 we've been talking about, Lot 36? 21 Yes, sir. 22 And did in fact Mr. Cesar Flores, your brother, meet with 23 you to discuss that? 24 Α Yes. 25 When he met with you did he also meet with Mr. Trevino?

```
172
                   Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
 1
    Α
         Yes.
 2
         And was that meeting -- where was that meeting?
         In Premont, in my house.
 3
         At that time you were living in Premont with Mr. Trevino?
 4
 5
         Yes.
         And when Mr. Flores asked you if he could place or locate
 6
 7
    the mobile home on that empty Lot 36 did you tell him that it
    was okay?
 9
    Α
         Yes.
10
         And did Mr. Trevino also tell him that it was okay?
11
         Yes.
12
         During that meeting did Mr. Cesar Flores tell you that he
13
    needed a property description for the lot?
14
    Α
         No.
15
         At some point did he tell you that he needed a property
16
    description?
17
    Α
         No.
18
         At some point did you give him a copy of a warranty deed
19
    that showed that Lots 35 and 36 had been conveyed to you and
20
    Mr. Trevino by your late sister and your late brother-in-law,
21
    the Cantus?
22
         I'm not sure, sir.
23
              MR. RANGEL: Okay, we'll call up CP-41.
    //
24
25
    //
```

173 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 BY MR. RANGEL: 2 Mrs. Trevino, do you recognize this as the deed wherein your later brother-in-law, David Cantu, and your late sister, 3 Jesusa Cantu, conveyed Lots 35 and 36, that's Block 1, 4 5 Gallimore Additon, to you and Mr. Trevino? 6 Yes, sir. 7 Did you at some point give a copy of this deed to either Cesar Flores or Alvin King? 9 I don't remember, sir. 10 You were in the courtroom when your brother testified that 11 a copy of this deed had been faxed on January 3rd, 2002 to 12 CMH Homes, do you recall that? 13 Yes, sir. And he testified that he had not done it, but that Alvin 14 15 King had faxed it to CMH Homes on January 3rd of 2002, do you 16 recall that? 17 Yes, sir. 18 Sitting here today, sitting here today, do you have any 19 recollection of having any discussion with Cesar Flores or 20 Alvin King regarding that deed? 21 I can't remember, sir. 22 Would that have been a document that you had in your 23 possession in your files back in December 2001, around the time that Mr. Flores and Mr. King were talking about buying this 24 25 mobile home?

174 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 Α Yes. 2 Do you have any recollection of Cesar Flores asking you for a copy of the deed? 3 I can't remember. 4 5 Do you have any recollection of discussing with Cesar 6 Flores -- by the way, did you ever have any conversation with 7 Alvin King regarding the placement of that mobile home on your empty Lot 36? Not really. 10 To the best of your recollection any discussion you had 11 was with your brother, Cesar Flores? 12 Correct. 13 Now, after you told Mr. Flores that you would give your 14 permission for the mobile home to be placed on the lot did you 15 come to find out that that had happened? 16 That the trailer was put on the lot? Yes, sir. 17 And how did you find that out? 18 I went to go visit. 19 And so when you went to visit it was there? 20 Yes, sir. 21 And when you went to visit was Mr. King there also? 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 Now, Lot 36 is next to Lot 35 and Lot 34, correct? 24 Correct. 25 And on Lots 35 and 34 there is a house, right?

175 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 Α Yes. 2 And the address for that house is what, 1702 Carmen? Α 1702 Carmen. 3 And the address for Lot 36 is 1700 Carmen, correct? 4 5 Yes. Now, back in December of 2001 and January of 2002 who was 6 7 living at the house with the address of 1702 Carmen? 8 My mother. And before Cesar Flores purchased this mobile home was he 10 living there also? 11 Yes, sir. 12 Anybody else besides your mother and Cesar Flores living 13 at that home address at that time, 1702. 14 My sister. 15 I'm sorry? 16 Α My sister. 17 Which sister? 18 Jesusa. 19 After you saw that the home -- mobile home has been 20 located at 1700 Carmen did you have occasion to go back there 21 at anytime? 22 What do you mean, sir? Well, did you go visit Mr. Flores later at that address? 23 24 Yes. 25 Would you consider that you were close to your brother,

```
176
                   Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
    Cesar Flores?
1
 2
         Yes, sir.
         Back in that period of time were you visiting him
 3
 4
    regularly?
 5
         No, we lived in separate towns.
         Did he ever discuss with you anything related to the
 6
 7
    mobile home after he located it there?
         No, sir.
 9
         Did he ever tell you anything about the status of his
10
    payments on the mobile home, Vanderbilt?
11
         No, sir.
12
         You didn't have any discussion regarding that?
13
    Α
         No.
14
         At some point, and I believe it was in July of 2003, you
15
    and Mr. Arturo Trevino decided to convey Lots 34 and 35 to your
16
    brother, Gilbert Flores, correct?
17
    Α
         Correct.
              MR. RANGEL: We'll call up CP-8 at 29193.
18
19
    BY MR. RANGEL:
20
         Mrs. Trevino, is this the special warranty deed dated
21
    July 24th, 2003 in which you and Mr. Trevino conveyed Lots 34
22
    and 35, Block 1, Gallimore Additon, to your brother, Gilbert
23
    Flores?
24
         Yes.
25
              MR. RANGEL:
                            And we'll go to the next page.
```

```
177
                   Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
1
    believe there are some signatures there.
 2
    BY MR. RANGEL:
         And there's a signature for Maria Margarita Trevino;
 3
    that's you, right?
 4
 5
         Yes, sir.
 6
         And you signed that deed, correct?
 7
         Yes, sir.
    Α
         And there's a signature for Arturo Trevino; and do you
 8
    recognize that to be Mr. Trevino's signature?
10
    Α
         Yes, sir.
         And why did you decide in July of 2003 to convey Lots 34
11
12
    and 35 to your brother, Gilbert?
13
         (No audible response)
14
              MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, may we approach?
15
              THE COURT: Yes.
16
         (Begin bench conference at 1:49 p.m.)
17
              MR. RUMLEY: This is a limine item. It's the taxes.
              MR. RANGEL: That was my (indiscernible)
18
19
              THE COURT: (Indiscernible)
20
              MR. RUMLEY: (indiscernible) is. You know we weren't
21
    even supposed to show the exhibit.
22
              MR. RANGEL: No, no, no.
23
              THE COURT: I told you (indiscernible)
24
              MR. RANGEL: And I'm not intending to get into that;
25
    I'm just showing that she wanted to give it to her.
```

```
178
                   Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
 1
              MR. RUMLEY: But the reason is taxes.
 2
              MR. RANGEL: No, I'm not interested in getting into
 3
    that.
 4
              MR. RUMLEY: That's what she's going to say.
 5
              THE COURT: Then don't ask.
              MR. RANGEL: I won't ask.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Because it says that (indiscernible)
 8
    consideration --
 9
              MR. RANGEL: That's what I want, that's what I'm
10
    gonna do.
11
              THE COURT: (Indiscernible). Thank you. Thank you,
12
    Mr. Rangel.
13
         (End bench conference at 1:49 p.m.)
14
    BY MR. RANGEL:
         And after you conveyed -- after you and Mr. Trevino
15
    conveyed Lots 34 and 35 to your brother, Gilbert, then around
16
17
    that time did he move into the house at 1702 Carmen?
18
         I think so.
19
         Do you recall around this time, July 2003, having any
20
    conversation with either Alvin King or Cesar Flores about
21
    seeking the release of one of those lots in any respect?
22
         No, sir.
23
         And your testimony I believe is that in July of 2003 you
24
    were not aware, you were not aware that Lots 36 and 35 had been
25
    pledged as collateral for -- in connection with the purchase of
```

179 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 the mobile home by Cesar Flores and Alvin King, correct? 2 Correct. 3 In fact, when you conveyed Lots 34 and 35 to your brother, Gilbert, you had, your testimony is, no knowledge that the deed 4 5 of trust lien was on file or the builder's and mechanic's lien was on file, is that correct? 6 7 Α Correct. And nothing prevented you or kept you from being able to convey Lots 34 and 35 to your brother, Gilbert, correct? 10 Α Correct. And prior to you conveying Lots 34 and 35 to your brother, 11 12 Gilbert, you had not made any attempt to sell it or to convey 13 it to anybody else, is that correct? 14 That's correct. 15 Later in the spring of 2005 you and Mr. Trevino decided to convey Lot 36 to your brother, Cesar, is that correct? 16 17 Α That's correct. 18 And I believe you also did that by a deed --19 MR. RANGEL: Let's call up CP-8-29496. 20 BY MR. RANGEL: 21 And is this a copy of the warranty deed that you and 22 Mr. Trevino signed on or about April 11th, 2005 in which you 23 conveyed Lot 36 to your brother, Cesar Flores? 24 Yes, sir. 25 And if we go to the next page, I believe MR. RANGEL:

180 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 there are some signatures there. 2 Maybe the next page. BY MR. RANGEL: 3 And there's a signature for Maria M. Trevino and is that 4 5 your signature, Mrs. Trevino? 6 Yes, sir. Α 7 And there's a signature for Arturo Trevino and is that your signature? I'm sorry, his signature. 9 I would assume, yes, sir. 10 Do you recall that both of you signed this? Yes, sir. 11 12 Okay. Now, at the time that you conveyed Lot 36 to your 13 brother, Cesar, the mobile home was already there, correct? 14 Correct. 15 In April of 2005, right? 16 Yes, sir. 17 And again at the time that you conveyed Lot 36 to your 18 brother, Cesar, it's your testimony that you were not aware 19 that there had been a deed of trust lien and a builder's and 20 mechanic's lien filed with respect to Lots 35 and 36 back in 21 January of 2002, is that correct? 22 Correct. 23 And at no time prior to the time that you conveyed Lot 36 24 to your brother, Cesar, had you attempted to sell or convey Lot 25 36 to anyone?

181 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 No, sir. 2 Is that correct? 3 That's correct. Now, Mrs. Trevino, when you conveyed Lots 35 and 34 to 4 5 your brother, Gilbert, and you wanted to convey that so he could have those lots, right? 6 7 Α Right. And in connection with conveying that property, Lots 34 and 35, to Gilbert did you go to the courthouse to look into 10 the records of that property? 11 No, sir, I didn't. 12 Nothing prevented you from going to the courthouse to do 13 that, correct? 14 Correct. 15 When you conveyed Lot 36 to your brother, Cesar, in April 16 of 2005 did you go to the courthouse to look into the records 17 regarding that lot? 18 No, sir, I did not. 19 And nothing prevented you from doing that, correct? 20 Correct. 21 Mrs. Trevino, obviously you have been sitting through the 22 testimony, and of course when we took your deposition, you are 23 in fact aware now that there had been a deed of trust and a 24 builder's and mechanic's lien filed in connection with Lots 35 25 and 36 back in January of 2002, correct?

182 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 Correct. 2 And then you are aware also that in October of 2005 there was a deed of trust release and a builder's and mechanic's lien 3 release filed at the courthouse in Jim Wells County, correct? 4 5 I'm aware of that, yes, correct. And at the time that the deed of trust lien that was filed 6 7 in October of 2005 and at the time that the builder's and mechanic's lien, both of the releases, at the time that both of 8 9 those releases were filed in October of 2005 you were not the 10 owner of Lot 35 and you were not the owner of Lot 36, is that 11 correct? 12 That's correct. 13 And it's your testimony that you did not find out about 14 those lien releases until after this lawsuit was filed and you 15 contacted a lawyer, correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 And in fact your testimony is that the way you found is that your brother, Cesar Flores, called you to tell you that he 18 19 and Alvin King had been sued by Vanderbilt seeking to repossess 20 the mobile home, correct? 21 Correct. 22 And that's when he told you go see Mr. Gutierrez, correct? 23 Α Correct. 24 And your testimony is that when you did that, that's when 25 you found about these two liens that were filed in October of

```
183
                   Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel
1
    2005, is that correct?
 2
         Correct.
         It is true, Mrs. Trevino, that at no time has anybody from
 3
 4
    Vanderbilt ever contacted you seeking payment on the debt on
 5
    the mobile home?
 6
    Α
         Correct.
 7
         Vanderbilt never attempted to collect from you, correct?
 8
         Correct.
 9
         And so the very first time that you found out, according
10
    to your testimony, that this deed of trust had been filed and
11
    this builder's and mechanic's lien contract had been filed was
12
    sometime in late last year, in November or October of 2009,
13
    that's your testimony, right?
14
         Yes, sir.
15
         And you have no knowledge, according to your testimony,
    that they had been on file, correct?
16
17
    Α
         Correct.
18
         And the same thing with those two releases that I'm
19
    talking about, correct?
20
    Α
         Correct.
         And you are aware, Mrs. Trevino, that there are a number
21
22
    of documents involved in this lawsuit that bear the name of
23
    Maria Trevino and the signature of Maria Trevino, correct?
24
    Α
         Correct.
25
              MR. RANGEL:
                            Call up CP-209.
```

184 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 BY MR. RANGEL: 2 And this is the builder's and mechanic's lien contract 3 that has your name and the name of Mr. Trevino that we discussed during your deposition, correct? 4 5 Yes, sir. 6 And this document has a signature page, correct? 7 Yes, sir. And we'll turn to the signature page, and there is a 8 signature line and printed Maria M. Trevino with the signature 10 of Maria M. Trevino, correct? 11 Yes, sir. 12 And it's your testimony that's not your signature, 13 correct? 14 Yes, sir. 15 MR. RANGEL: And call up CP-210. 16 BY MR. RANGEL: 17 And this is the deed of trust that we also -- that I also 18 discussed with you during your deposition, correct? 19 Yes, sir. 20 And then this has a signature page, and this -- on Page 5 21 there's a signature line for Maria M. Trevino and with the 22 signature of Maria M. Trevino, correct? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 And it's your testimony that that is not your signature, 25 you didn't sign that, correct?

185 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 Yes, sir. 2 And with respect to the one I just showed you, this one, there's a signature line for Mr. Arturo Trevino. Are you 3 sufficiently familiar with Mr. Trevino's signature to be able 4 5 to say whether or not that's his signature? 6 Not anymore, sir. 7 MR. RANGEL: Then call up CP-9 at 6563. 8 BY MR. RANGEL: This is another document called a Real Estate Lease that 10 you and I discussed at your deposition, is that correct? 11 That's correct. 12 And we'll go to the signature page, and this has a 13 signature line for Maria M. Trevino and a signature for 14 Maria M. Trevino, correct? 15 Yes, sir. And it's your testimony that that is not your signature, 16 17 that you didn't sign your name there, is that correct? 18 I don't remember signing these papers, sir. 19 And there may be other signature pages, let's see, yes, 20 another signature page on that document that also has Maria M. 21 Trevino's signature, and is it your testimony that that is not 22 your signature and that you didn't sign that? 23 I don't know, sir. I don't remember signing this paper. 24 Can you tell just by looking at it whether that's your 25 signature?

186 Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 1 It looks like my signature. 2 It looks like your signature? 3 Α Yes. And Arturo Trevino, the signature for Arturo Trevino, are 4 5 you sufficiently familiar with Mr. Trevino's signature to be 6 able to say whether or not that's his signature? No, sir. 7 Α And I think there might have been another page with signature lines on it. And then there are two signature lines, 10 one for Maria M. Trevino and one for Arturo Trevino, and is it 11 your testimony that that is not your signature and that you 12 didn't sign your signature there? 13 I don't remember signing it, sir. 14 Just looking at the signature, does that look like your 15 signature? 16 It looks like my signature. 17 Mrs. Trevino, having sat through the testimony for the 18 last couple of days and of course your deposition, has any of 19 this refreshed your recollection regarding the events of 20 December of 2001 and January of 2002 regarding the purchase of the mobile home? 21 22 No, sir. 23 You still have no recollection having given a copy of that warranty deed to either Gilbert Flores -- I'm sorry, Cesar 24 25 Flores or Alvin King?

	Trevino - Direct / By Mr. Rangel 187
1	A I'm not sure, sir.
2	Q Do you have any recollection of any conversation that you
3	had with Cesar Flores regarding what needed to be done in order
4	for him to be able to purchase the mobile home?
5	A No, sir.
6	Q Do you have any recollection of him telling you that you
7	and Mr. Trevino would have to sign some documents in order for
8	him to be able to move into the mobile home?
9	A No, sir.
10	Q Are you saying that did not happen or just that you don't
11	have a recollection of it?
12	A It didn't happen. I know that he had to have a place to
13	put the mobile home and I told him, yeah, you can put the
14	mobile home there, there is no problem.
15	Q And that's as much as you remember about the conversation?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q And you have no recollection of him asking for a copy of
18	the warranty deed showing that you and Mr. Trevino owned
19	Lots 35 and 36?
20	A I can't remember, sir.
21	MR. RANGEL: Mrs. Trevino, thank you very much.
22	I reserve the right to cross examine her
23	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
24	MR. RANGEL: in their case-in-chief.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

188 1 MR. RANGEL: Thank you, your Honor. 2 MR. RUMLEY: I'll reserve mine, your Honor. 3 MR. B. GUTIERREZ: I have no questions, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: You may stand down. Thank you. 5 (Witness excused) 6 Call your next witness. 7 MR. RANGEL: Judge, at this point --(Counsel confer) 8 9 Judge, at this time Plaintiff Vanderbilt rests its 10 case, the repossession case, and asks to address the Court. THE COURT: Oh, really, we could just -- I just would 11 12 block that in as an address and you file the motions and I will 13 consider them made timely. Would that be the appropriate way to do this? 14 MR. SOLTERO: Yes, your Honor. We're making the 15 16 motion now, but I understand that we'd be filing it in a timely 17 manner. 18 THE COURT: Let me just see counsel at the bench, 19 Mr. Rangel. 20 (Begin bench conference at 2:06 p.m.) 21 THE COURT: (indiscernible) to say that you're making 22 a motion with no evidence (indiscernible) insufficient evidence 23 on each area of these classes of action. 24 MR. RANGEL: Only on the repossession case, 25 your Honor.

```
189
 1
              THE COURT: Okay, so that's your case?
 2
              MR. RANGEL: Only on the repossession. I'll just
    leave it there.
 3
 4
              MR. J. GUTIERREZ: I was going to say my peace when
 5
    the Court's ready.
 6
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
 7
              MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, for Ms. Gano's
    benefit, this is Javier Gutierrez, Defendants are moving for
 8
 9
    judgment as a matter of law as well on the issue of assignment.
10
    There's no evidence of assignment. Directed verdict, judgment
11
    as a matter of law on the issue of assignment, which Plaintiff
12
    had the burden on.
13
              MR. RANGEL: Judge --
14
              MR. RUMLEY: Based on the testimony of --
15
              THE COURT: I'll have to think about that too.
16
    going to carry (indiscernible) piece forward. (indiscernible)
17
    asking for a directed verdict based on?
18
              MR. RANGEL: In the trial brief, your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Directed verdict based on?
20
              MR. RANGEL: The fact that --
21
              THE COURT: -- repossession (indiscernible) matter of
22
    law.
23
                           That we proved -- yes, the mobile home,
              MR. RANGEL:
24
    that we proved all the elements of it.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Do you object to that?
```

1 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, we object --2 your Honor, we object on the grounds that there's no proof of 3 an assignment. If there's no proof of an assignment then 4 Vanderbilt has no standing. 5 MR. RANGEL: And of course we would say that there is 6 plenty of proof. 7 THE COURT: I'm not sure about that. I'll carry 8 these motions forward. You're going to file -- I would assume 9 you're going to file simultaneously for the motions? 10 MR. RANGEL: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Your written motion. 11 12 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, when may we file our 13 motion for judgment as a matter of law on the issue of 14 assignment? Would it be timely filed if it's filed this evening or tomorrow? 15 16 THE COURT: That would be fine. 17 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Okay. I will consider that you've made it now 18 THE COURT: 19 and you can follow up on a supplemental (indiscernible) written 20 motion. 21 MR. RUMLEY: (indiscernible) 22 **THE COURT:** For assignment, yes. 23 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 MR. RANGEL: And, your Honor, we would reserve the 25 opportunity to respond to that in writing.

```
193
1
              THE COURT: And make sure we don't do it so
 2
    (indiscernible).
 3
              MR. RUMLEY: I don't think there's a possibility that
    they're going to rest today, because we have an issue with
 4
 5
    Glucksman and I agreed that we would --
 6
              THE COURT: With what?
 7
              MR. RUMLEY: With Glucksman, their expert, we want to
    play some and -- we just haven't been able to work it out. And
 8
 9
    so they need Glucksman in their case on the RICO and so I don't
10
    think we're going to get there.
11
              THE COURT: Okay, let's --
12
              MR. RUMLEY: But just to let the Court know.
13
              THE COURT: -- get started.
14
         (End bench conference at 2:11:13 p.m.)
15
              THE COURT: Thank you.
16
              Mr. Gutierrez, you may proceed.
17
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: May we approach, your Honor?
18
              THE COURT:
                          Pardon?
19
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: May we approach?
20
              THE COURT: Yes.
21
         (Begin bench conference at 2:11:26 p.m.)
22
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Mr. --
23
              THE COURT: Here's your microphone.
24
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Excuse me.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Here's your microphone.
```

- THE COURT: That's fine.
- 2 MR. B. GUTIERREZ: And we've gone through that and I
- 3 | want to make sure that I don't get in trouble with the Court,
- 4 because there are some areas, but they address -- and it's
- 5 questions of Mr. Frazier, your Honor, and where he is looking
- 6 at documents and he is basically saying no, that is not my
- 7 signature.

1

- 8 THE COURT: That's fine.
- 9 MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Okay. I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 10 MR. SOLTERO: And we have a series of page and line
- 11 | objections too. I mean there's not that many, but first can I
- 12 get a ruling on the other witnesses and the exhibits?
- 13 **THE COURT:** No because I don't know what we're
- 14 talking about, frankly.
- 15 MR. SOLTERO: Okav.
- 16 MR. UNIDENTIFIED: We'll just do it when we --
- 17 MR. SOLTERO: Well, but they want to bring it right
- 18 | now, so let me go get my list and we'll --
- 19 **THE COURT:** No, I'm going to have to see -- it's
- 20 going as it is. You have missed your time for objections for
- 21 depositions, all right, but I told you make your objections as
- 22 | we're hearing it. That's how it's going to work.
- 23 MR. RUMLEY: And, your Honor, in fairness, and I
- 24 | think you'll agree, we have removed all of the OSI stuff that
- 25 | is excluded by your OSI. We don't have anybody saying my

```
197
               Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition
1
    signature's forged, except for the notary. Right? I mean I
 2
    think we're -- -- we are all within the Court's previous
 3
    ruling.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay, let's get started. Thank you.
 5
         (End bench conference at 2:14:33 p.m.)
 6
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor?
 7
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: The Cross-Plaintiffs, Cesar Flores
 8
 9
    and Mr. Alvin King, would call Benjamin Frazier by video
10
    deposition.
11
                  DEFENDANTS/CROSS-PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS
12
              BENJAMIN JOSEPH FRAZIER BY VIDEO DEPOSITION
13
              (Witness sworn)
14
                         EXAMINATION
15
              BY MR. RUMLEY:
16
                   Sir, could you introduce yourself for us?
              "Q.
17
              "A.
                   Benjamin Joseph Frazier.
18
                   And you've given your deposition a couple of
19
              times in the --"
20
              THE COURT: Okay, wait a minute; take the words out
21
    of there. Take the words out, just the picture.
22
         (Restart video deposition)
23
              THE COURT: Thank you.
    //
24
25
    //
```

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 198
1	"(Witness sworn)
2	EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. RUMLEY:
4	"Q. Sir, could you introduce yourself for us?
5	"A. Benjamin Joseph Frazier.
6	"Q. And you've given your deposition a couple of
7	times in the previous litigation, right?
8	"A. Yes.
9	"Q. In giving those depositions and meeting with
10	your lawyer here today, you understand what we're
11	doing here, correct?
12	"A. Yes.
13	"Q. That the oath that you just took is the same
14	oath as if we're sitting down there in Corpus Christi
15	before the judge and the jury, right?
16	"A. Yes.
17	"Q. And in order to tell the truth, obviously,
18	you've got to understand the question, right?
19	"A. Correct.
20	"Q. And so if you don't understand the question for
21	any reason, will you let me know before you answer
22	it?
23	"A. Yes.
24	"Q. And that way, if you if you answer the
25	question, we can all assume that you've both

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 199
1	understood it and answered it truthfully, all right?
2	"A. Okay, yes.
3	"Q. Well, I when you gave the two prior
4	depositions, you were under oath, right?
5	"A. Yes, sir.
6	"Q. And did you see anything in those depositions
7	when you read them recently that just stand out in
8	your mind as being wrong or inaccurate?
9	"A. No, sir.
10	"Q. You have had communications with David Booth,
11	correct?
12	"A. Yes, sir.
13	"Q. Both by telephone and by email?
14	"A. Yes, sir.
15	"Q. David Booth is not a lawyer, correct?
16	"A. Correct.
17	"Q. David Booth was the president of the company at
18	the time that you worked there, correct?
19	"A. Correct.
20	"Q. Let me show you Discovery Exhibit 222 and
21	Discovery Exhibit 223.
22	"Q. You see, Mr. Frazier, this is a deed of trust.
23	And if we look right here, we have the names Maria
24	Trevino and Arturo Trevino. Do you see that?
25	"A. Yes, sir.

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 200
1	"Q. Okay. If we look here, Mr. Frazier, you can see
2	right here it has Maria Trevino, which is the name we
3	just looked at on the front. This is obviously
4	this is you your notary stamp, correct?
5	"A. Yes, sir.
б	"Q. This signature right here, is that your
7	signature?
8	"A. No, sir.
9	"Q. All right. Do you know who signed your name to
10	that document?
11	"A. No, sir.
12	"Q. Okay. If we go down on this same document
13	and this is so the jury understands what we're
14	looking at, this this would be what is called a
15	notary block, correct?
16	"A. Yes, sir.
17	"Q. During the time that that you were at Clayton
18	Homes as a sales associate, you were also a notary of
19	the State of Texas, correct?
20	"A. Yes, sir.
21	"Q. If we go down again, this is your notary
22	stamp, correct?
23	"A. Yes, sir.
24	"Q. And is that is that your signature?
25	"A. No, sir.

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 201
1	"Q. And do you know who would have signed signed
2	that name or used your notary stamp that day?
3	"A. No, sir.
4	"Q. If we go down here, John Wells and now, John
5	Wells at this time would have been the the manager
6	of the store, Store 214, correct?
7	"A. Yes, sir.
8	"Q. And again, this is your notary stamp. And is
9	that your signature?
10	"A. No, sir.
11	"Q. All right. Do you know do you know who it
12	was who signed your name to those three notary
13	blocks?
14	"A. No, sir.
15	"Q. This is Discovery Exhibit 223, and this is a
16	builder and mechanic lien contract involving the
17	Trevinos. Do you see that?
18	"A. Yes, sir.
19	"Q. Flip to the notary page
20	"Q. Mr. Frazier, if we look, that, again, is your
21	your notary stamp, correct?
22	"A. Yes, sir.
23	"Q. And does that appear to be your signature?
24	"A. No, sir.
25	"Q. If we go down, Arturo Trevino, again, your

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 202
1	notary stamp, and is the signature above that
2	notary line, is that your signature?
3	"A. No, sir.
4	"Q. And do you know who who would have signed
5	your name to that document?
6	"A. No, sir.
7	"Q. There were a number of sales associates that
8	were working there at the store, correct?
9	"A. Correct.
10	"Q. And out of those sales associates, you were the
11	only one that that actually had a notary, correct?
12	"A. Correct.
13	"Q. And as a a sales associate for that
14	transaction, one of the ways you were compensated is,
15	you were paid a commission in that transaction,
16	correct?
17	"A. As a sales associate, yes, sir.
18	"Q. And so Clayton Homes allowed you to notarize
19	documents in which you maintained a financial
20	interest. Is that true?
21	"A. Yes, sir.
22	"Q. And clearly, when all of those documents were
23	were completed, they were then mailed to sales
24	processing there in in Maryville, Tennessee,
25	correct?

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 203
1	"A. Yes, sir.
2	"Q. And it was someone's job in in Tennessee to
3	review the packet and make sure that things were
4	notarized, that signatures were on the various
5	documents and that you had supplied them with a
6	complete packet, correct?
7	"A. Correct.
8	"Q. Well, they would have presumably, if they
9	were actually reviewing the documents, would have
10	seen that you were acting as a salesperson and the
11	notary, correct?
12	"A. Correct.
13	"Q. Clearly, in the transactions where you were also
14	the salesperson, you would not have been a
15	disinterested person to that document, correct?
16	"A. Correct.
17	"Q. One of the other practices that was occurring
18	during the transactions in two store in Store 214
19	during that time was, you all were notarizing
20	signatures even though that person didn't sign in
21	your presence, correct?
22	"A. You all?
23	"Q. You.
24	"A. Correct.
25	"Q. Because during that time you were notarizing

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 204
1	documents without that person being in front of you
2	signing the documents, correct?
3	"A. Correct.
4	"Q. And I realize this is back many years ago, but
5	sitting here today or since the time that I've
6	emailed those these the deed of trust and
7	mechanic lien involved in the Trevinos to you, have
8	you been able to determine who the sales associate
9	was for that transaction?
10	"A. No, sir.
11	"Q. Let me show you, Mr. Frazier, a document that's
12	previously marked as Discovery Exhibit 131.
13	"Q. If we look up at the very top up here, it
14	identifies the the salesperson as as
15	Christopher Kimball. Do you know whether or not
16	Mr. Kimball was the salesperson on this transaction,
17	or does this if you look at this this type
18	document, would that indicate that he was the actual
19	salesperson on this transaction?
20	"A. I really don't remember the document and how it
21	played out, but
22	"Q. Mr. Kimball was a sales associate working there
23	at the store
24	"A. Yes, sir.
25	"Q during the time you were there?

Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 205 1 Let me ask you about the -- the next set of 2 documents relating to Christie -- " (Pause playing video deposition) 3 MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we object to documents 4 5 about other transactions as far as that testimony as being irrelevant under 401, unduly prejudicial under 403, cumulative 6 7 under 404, and we'd ask that it be excluded as well, the entire 8 testimony from here up until Page 58. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. Overruled. 10 (Resume playing video deposition) 11 "O. -- Medina. 12 "Q. But this DeedSearcher -- DeedSearcher Title 13 Services, this is a company that you all used often 14 during -- during the time that you were working at 15 Store 214? 16 "A. Correct. 17 "Q. Lance Kimball, is that Christopher Lance 18 Kimball? 19 "A. Yes, sir. 20 Do you remember Christie Medina, Sylvia Medina, "O. 21 or anything having to do with that transaction? 22 "A. No. 23 If we look -- Alejandro Medina? "Q. 24 "A. No. 25 "Q. If we look up here at -- at a similar

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 206
1	document for Alejandro Medina, it identifies the
2	salesperson as Christopher Kimball. Based on the
3	DeedSearcher and and this this invoice document
4	for sales processing, does it look to you like he was
5	
	the salesperson on the transaction?
6	"A. It appears.
7	"Q. And let me show you the the the deed of
8	trust, which I've marked as Discovery Exhibit 227"
9	(Pause playing video deposition)
10	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we object to this document
11	being admitted into evidence, 227. It's a deed of trust in
12	another transaction.
13	THE COURT: From the store in Corpus Christi?
14	MR. SOLTERO: Yes, your Honor.
15	MR. RUMLEY: This is Store 214
16	THE COURT: I'm sorry, and, Mr. Gutierrez, is this
17	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Yes, your Honor, this is a Store
18	214 document.
19	THE COURT: Go ahead.
20	MR. SOLTERO: Negative ruling?
21	THE COURT: Yes, overruled.
22	(Resume playing video deposition)
23	"Q And if we look, you can see the the name
24	Christie Medina. Do you see that?
25	"A. Correct.

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 207
1	"Q. All right. And then we'll flip to
2	"Q the notary page for this document, that,
3	again, is your stamp
4	"A. Correct.
5	"Q. Correct?
6	And is and is that your signature?
7	"A. No, sir.
8	"Q. And that do you see the name Christi Medina?
9	"A. Yes, sir.
10	"Q. And then we come down here, and there's
11	there's not a there's not a name in there. But
12	again, that is that your signature?
13	"A. No, sir.
14	"Q. And that's your your stamp, correct?
15	"A. Correct.
16	"Q. The same question, do you have any idea who
17	would have signed your name to the as a notary?
18	"A. No, sir.
19	"Q. Let me show you Discovery Exhibit 228, which is
20	the builder's and mechanic lien in that"
21	(Pause playing video deposition)
22	MR. SOLTERO: Exhibit 228, the builder's and mechanic
23	lien, involving the Medinas, same objection.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. Overruled.
25	(Resume playing video deposition)

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 208
1	"Q same transaction. Do you see that, Christie
2	Medina?
3	"A. Yes, sir.
4	"Q. Again, if we flip to the to the notary page,
5	do you see the name Christie Medina? That's your
6	stamp?
7	"A. Yes, sir.
8	"Q. And is that your signature?
9	"A. No, sir.
10	"Q. If we come down here, you see the name John
11	Wells, who was the store manager, right?
12	"A. Yes, sir.
13	"Q. That is your stamp?
14	"A. Yes, sir.
15	"Q. And is that your signature?
16	"A. No, sir.
17	"Q. Do you have any idea who would have used your
18	notary stamp and signed your name?
19	"A. No, sir.
20	"Q. Let me show you, Mr. Frazier, what I'm marking
21	as Discovery Exhibit 229.
22	"Q. This is another form power of attorney in the
23	the Medina matter. And let me ask you"
24	(Pause playing video deposition)
25	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, I would object to

Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 209 1 Exhibit 229, same grounds. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. Thank you. (Resume playing video deposition) 3 "Q. -- your stamp is -- appears on that document, 4 5 correct? " A . 6 Correct. 7 And is that your signature? "O. "A Yes, sir. 9 "Q. And if we come over here, your stamp, and is 10 that your signature? 11 "A. Yes, sir." 12 "0. Can you give the -- the jury and I an 13 explanation why it is that your signature would be on 14 a notary on these types of documents, the power of 15 attorney documents, but not on the deed of trust or 16 the mechanic's lien? 17 "A. Sometimes they would be done at different times. 18 But they're supposed to be done at the same 19 time, right? 20 "A. Supposed to? I -- I don't know. 21 I mean, does it look like to you that -- that, 22 you know, the likely scenario is, is that maybe you 23 notarized the -- the power of attorney, and then at 24 some later point, someone went and -- and -- and 25 prepared the deed of trust and mechanic's lien and

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 210
1	then just took your stamp and and then signed your
2	name?
3	"A. Correct.
4	"Q. This is the the statement of location, right?
5	You're familiar with that document, generally?
6	"A. Generally.
7	"Q. If we go down, that is your stamp?
8	"A. Yes, sir.
9	"Q. Okay. And is that your signature?
10	"A. Yes, sir.
11	"Q. All right. Simply because you you notarized
12	the document doesn't doesn't mean that they
13	actually signed the document, correct?
14	"A. Correct.
15	"Q If we look, I've marked Discovery Exhibit 230,
16	which is the credit application report, and it's VMF-
17	Medina 86 through 127."
18	(Pause playing video deposition)
19	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, I would object to
20	Exhibit 230 because same, 401, 403, 404.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Overruled.
22	(Resume playing video deposition)
23	"Q. This you've seen this document, this
24	"MR. LOCHRIDGE: This form?
25	"Q this form before, correct?

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 211
1	"A. Yes.
2	"Q. Okay. And it lists right there, "Salesperson
3	Lance." That would be Lance Kimball?
4	"A. Yes, sir.
5	"Q. All right. And if we go to a similar invoice,
6	the similar form that we looked at before, which is
7	VMF-MED 120, again in the Medina case, it identifies
8	the salesperson being Christopher Kimball, which is
9	also Lance, right?
10	"A. Correct.
11	"Q. Clearly, based on the document, you weren't the
12	salesperson, right?
13	"A. Based on the document, that's correct.
14	"Q. Okay. And the the signatures or whoever
15	notarized the deed of trust and mechanic's lien was
16	not you, right?
17	"A. Correct.
18	"Q. Someone clearly took your stamp without your
19	permission or without your authority and and
20	signed your name, right?
21	"A. Correct.
22	"Q. Let me show you, Mr. Frazier, what I've marked
23	as Discovery Exhibit 231. And this is a deed of
24	trust"
25	(Pause playing video deposition)

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 212
1	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we object to Exhibit 231.
2	It relates to a different transaction. It's unrelated under
3	401, 403, and 404, and also to the testimony accompanying it
4	from Page 61 through Page 65.
5	THE COURT: Overruled. Thank you.
6	(Resume playing video deposition)
7	"Q as you can see, with the names of Alfonso
8	Hernandez and Juanita Hernandez. Do you see that?
9	"A. Yes, sir.
10	"Q. Okay. Again, if we flip to if we flip to
11	the the notary page, you see that you see the
12	name of Alfonso Hernandez right there, your notary
13	stamp. And is that your signature?
14	"A. No, sir.
15	"Q. Do you know who signed your name?
16	"A. No, sir.
17	"Q. If we go down to the bottom one, it says
18	'Juanita,' and again, your notary stamp. And is that
19	your signature?
20	"A. No, sir.
21	"Q. And then if we go down, John Wells, who's the
22	store manager, that's your stamp, but is that your
23	signature?
24	"A. No, sir.
25	"Q. And do you have any idea who it is that took

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 213
1	your notary stamp and and signed your name to
2	those documents?
3	"A. No, sir.
4	"Q. If we look at what I've marked as Discovery
5	Exhibit 232, it's the builder's and mechanic's lien
6	involving the the"
7	(Pause playing video deposition)
8	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, same objection with regards
9	to that was 243, but it says 232.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. Overruled.
11	(Resume playing video deposition)
12	"Q same individuals. Do you see that?
13	"A. Yes, sir.
14	"Q. Okay. If we go to the notary page, you can see
15	Alfonso Hernandez, your stamp. And is that your
16	signature?
17	"A. No, sir.
18	"Q. The next one, Juanita Hernandez, your notary
19	stamp. Is that your signature?
20	"A. No, sir.
21	"Q. And then again, John Wells, your notary stamp,
22	but is that your signature?
23	"A. No, sir.
24	"Q. Do you know who the the sales associate was
25	for the Hernandez transaction?

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 214
1	"A. No, sir.
2	"Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Exhibit 223,
3	which is the the cost of sales summary. And
4	you're familiar with that form document, correct?
5	"A. Correct.
6	"Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Exhibit 223,
7	which is the cost of sales summary. And you're
8	familiar with that form document, correct?
9	"A. Correct.
10	"Q. If we look, the salesperson is Bruce Moore, and
11	that's Bruce Robin Moore
12	"A. Correct.
13	"Q correct?
14	Do you know whether or not Mr. Moore is the
15	one that that signed your name as a notary?
16	"A. No, sir, I do not know.
17	"Q. Let me show you, Mr. Frazier, what I've marked
18	as Discovery Exhibit 234.
19	"Q. And if we go down"
20	(Pause playing video deposition)
21	MR. SOLTERO: Object to 234, same grounds, 401
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Overruled.
23	(Resume playing video deposition)
24	"Q you can see the name Juanita Hernandez,
25	Alfonso Hernandez, your notary stamp. And and is

```
Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition
                                                                   215
 1
               that your signature?
 2
               "A.
                   No, sir.
                    On that same document, if we flip to the next --
 3
               "O.
 4
               next page, do you see your -- your notary stamp?
 5
               "A.
                    Yes, sir.
                    Can you tell whether or not that signature is
 6
               "O.
 7
               John Wells?
                    I -- I don't know.
 9
               "Q.
                    Okay. How about -- how about that signature?
10
               Is that your signature?
11
                    No, sir.
               "A.
12
               "O.
                    And then how about this signature?
13
               "A.
                    That's not my signature.
14
                    And then how about this signature?
               "O.
15
                    That's not my signature?
               "A.
16
                    How about this signature?
               " Q
17
               "A.
                    No, sir.
18
                    Let me have marked as Discovery Exhibit 235 --"
19
         (Pause playing video deposition)
20
              MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we object to this on 401,
21
    403, and 404. Can we have a running objection on all the
22
    documents?
23
               THE COURT: I'm going to overrule --
24
              MR. SOLTERO: I'll just make one objection one at a
25
    time.
```

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 216
1	THE COURT: I'm afraid you will have to. Overruled.
2	(Resume playing video deposition)
3	"Q statement of home location, Form A.
4	"Q Do you see that?
5	"A. Yes, sir.
6	"Q. Okay. We can go through each one of these, but
7	does this appear to look just like the one we just
8	went through?
9	"A. It it looks identical, yes, sir.
10	"Q. All right. None of these purported signatures
11	of your name on Black 6 is your signature, correct?
12	"A. No, sir.
13	"Q. You have no idea who did it, correct?
14	"A. No, sir.
15	"Q. In in transactions where you were the
16	salesperson, you would well, tell tell us how
17	you would get the documents recorded and by
18	'documents,' I'm referring to the deed of trust and
19	mechanic's lien in a in a case where you're the
20	salesperson.
21	"A. Generally file them at the county courthouse.
22	"Q. Would you physically go to the country
23	courthouse and file them, or would you mail them, or
24	how would that
25	"A. We'd typically go, yes, sir.

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 217
1	"Q. And when you would go, would you actually pay
2	the the recording fee out of your own checking
3	account, or would you use Clayton's checking account?
4	"A. Generally, we'd use our own.
5	"Q. You would write a check out of your personal
6	bank account?
7	"A. Correct.
8	"Q. And then would the document be mailed from there
9	to the store or mailed from there to Tennessee?
10	"A. Mailed there to the store.
11	"Q. During the time that that you were working
12	at at at Store 214, do you recall who all the
13	sales associates were that were working?
14	"A. Yes.
15	"Q. And can you name them for me?
16	"A. John Burke, Eric Chappell, Lance Kimball, and
17	Robin Moore.
18	"Q. I'll mark as 247, which is your personnel file
19	which was previously marked as Discovery Exhibit 84,
20	and it's Clayton Homes 3060 through 37 3077.
21	"If we look at from your your personnel file,
22	it looks like you were hired August 10th, 1999. Does
23	that sound about right?
24	"A. That sounds about right.
25	"Q. And and then you were actually fired, true?

	•
	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 218
1	"A. True.
2	"Q. And it looks like it has a termination date of
3	October 25th, 2002?
4	"A. That sounds correct.
5	"Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Discovery
6	Exhibit 249. And this is one of the"
7	(Pause playing video deposition)
8	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, I object to this on
9	relevance and 403. In particular, this has to do with
10	marketing advertising and there's been no evidence
11	(indiscernible) that the Mr. Flores or Mr. King saw any of
12	these advertisements.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	MR. SOLTERO: Now we turn to Page 97:9 to 97:25.
15	MR. UNIDENTIFIED: I'm sorry?
16	MR. SOLTERO: 97, Line 9, to 97, Line 25.
17	(Resume playing deposition video)
18	"Q. And so you were obviously, as a sales
19	associate, you were out there hustling, trying to
20	make sales, right?
21	"A. Correct.
22	"Q. The way you make money is obviously if you're
23	selling homes?
24	"A. Correct.
25	"Q. And one way that you're able to sell homes is

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 219
1	is bring any deed to anyone's land, and and we're
2	able to finance you through this land-in-lieu
3	program, correct?
4	"A. Correct.
5	"Q. And the individual who owned the land did not
6	even have to sign off as a co-signer on the
7	installment contract, correct?
8	"A. Correct.
9	"Q. Would you all call and and by 'you all,' I
10	mean you and John Wells, the two managers of the
11	store. Would you would you call on people about
12	their payments?
13	"A. Yes, sir.
14	"Q. And would you go by their houses?
15	"A. Yes, sir.
16	"Q. You would actually act as a collection person
17	trying to collect on those payments, correct?
18	"A. Yes, sir.
19	"Q. Do you know whether or not you would actually
20	collect on all the transactions or just the
21	transactions in which you were the the sales
22	associate?
23	"A. I believe we all did it.
24	"Q. Okay. You would receive reports back from
25	from corporate, from Tennessee, indicating the

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 220
1	delinquencies
2	"A. Yes.
3	"Q correct?
4	And then you all would spend some time calling these
5	people telling them to get their payments in,
6	correct?
7	"A. Correct.
8	"Q. The documents in the land-in-lieu transaction
9	would be the the various the installment
10	contract, the documents that we've gone through here
11	today, deeds of trust, mechanic's liens, all of those
12	documents would be mailed from Store 214 to
13	Maryville, Tennessee, correct?
14	"A. Correct.
15	"Q. With respect to the the notarization of of
16	document, I think you you've been clear today, you
17	never gave anyone permission or authority to use your
18	stamp, right?
19	"A. Correct.
20	"Q. You didn't keep your stamp under lock and key,
21	but you didn't allow anybody to use it
22	"A. Correct.
23	"Q right?
24	"Q. You testified that it was reasonable to assume
25	that whoever the sales associate that was doing

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 221
1	the the transaction would be the individual who
2	would be using your stamp and signing your name.
3	"Q. Is that true?
4	"A. I don't know if those were my words or your
5	words.
6	"Q. Well, let's see. I mean, do you believe that to
7	be true?
8	"A. Yeah.
9	"A. Yeah, that's a reasonable assumption, yes.
10	"Q. And clearly, the individual who's going to
11	benefit from the transaction is the sales associate
12	who is going to enjoy at least 16 percent gross
13	profit in in the sale, right?
14	"A. Correct.
15	"Q. And it's reasonable, in your mind, as someone
16	who was there for several years and involved in the
17	transactions, that the individual who is using your
18	stamp without your permission, without your authority
19	and signing your name would be the sales associate,
20	correct?
21	"A. Correct.
22	"Q. What I have done, if you look, I've marked as
23	Discovery Exhibit 250, 251, and 252."
24	(Pause playing of video deposition)
25	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we object to 251 and 252,

```
222
               Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition
    they're a compilation of other documents, they're not an actual
 1
 2
    like deed of trust or a document, that's just a compilation of
 3
    things --
 4
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: They are the --
 5
              MR. SOLTERO: -- and we object to them.
 6
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: They are the notary blocks from
 7
    some of the documents that had already been shown to
 8
    Mr. Frazier by Mr. Rumley and what we've done is compare the
    notary signatures of Mr. -- the forged notary signature of
10
    Mr. Frazier for his examination.
11
              MR. SOLTERO: We would object under 401, 403, and
12
    404. And it's cumulative.
13
              THE COURT: So these are not documents, they are
14
    pieces of documents?
15
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Yes, taken from the documents
16
    where Mr. Lance Kimball was the sales associate, for example,
17
    or Mr. Robin Moore was the sales associate in a transaction.
18
    Those are just demonstrative documents, your Honor.
19
              MR. SOLTERO: I believe they are portions of
20
    documents that they put together.
21
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Out of these documents that he
22
    just testified about.
23
              THE COURT: All right, I'm sorry, I'll sustain that.
24
              MR. SOLTERO: That would be 106:3 through 108:8.
25
         (Resume playing video deposition)
```

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 223
1	"Q. Let's look at the the first one, Elizandro
2	Herrera, which was your second deposition"
3	(Pause playing video deposition)
4	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we object to this for the
5	same reasons, 401, 403, and 404
6	THE COURT: For the earlier objections.
7	MR. SOLTERO: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay, that's overruled.
9	(Resume playing video deposition)
10	"Q Page 68.
11	"A. Right.
12	"Q. You had indicated that is not your signature.
13	Is that is that still true today?
14	"A. Yes.
15	"Q. Okay. And we look over, and this is the
16	signature on the mechanic's lien, which is the same
17	page, Page 68, number two deposition. Is that your
18	signature?
19	"A. No, sir.
20	"Q. Okay. Oh, I guess that's the if you look at
21	the date, Mr. Frazier, it's actually dated
22	December 27th, 2002. That's several months after you
23	were no longer there, right?
24	"A. Yes, sir.
25	"Q. Do you know, when you left, when when they

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 224
1	fired you, did you leave your stamp there?
2	"A. I don't recall, but I must have.
3	"Q. Certainly after you left, you didn't give
4	anybody permission to continue to use your stamp
5	"A. No, sir.
6	"Q. And if we go down, here's Elizandro Herrera, and
7	then you see Robin Moore. Do you know whether or not
8	Mr. Moore became a notary after you left?
9	"A. I think it was shortly aft before I left.
10	"Q. Okay. And then if you look right"
11	(Pause playing video deposition)
12	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, I object because I think
13	this may be part of the demonstrative, 251 and 252.
14	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: That's not correct, your Honor.
15	It is a document, 214.
16	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we withdraw that objection.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	(Resume playing video deposition)
19	"Q here, do you see your stamp?
20	"A. Yes, sir."
21	"Q. And Mr. Moore's stamp? Do you see that?
22	"A. Yes, sir.
23	"Q. And then it looks like someone someone signed
24	your
25	"A. Yeah, that's not my signature.

```
Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition
                                                                  225
 1
               "O.
                    That's not your signature?
 2
               "A.
                   No, sir.
 3
               "O.
                    Okay. Okay. We go down to the next one,
              Orlando Morin; that's not your signature?
 4
 5
               "A.
                   No, sir.
 6
               "O.
                   All right. We go over here, Orlando Morin;
 7
              that's not your signature?
               "A.
                   No, sir.
 9
               "Q. John Wells --"
10
              THE COURT: Hold on.
11
          (Pause playing video deposition)
              MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, this is the demonstrative
12
13
    that has several unrelated transactions altogether, so I would
14
    object to using this document in the questions under 401, 403,
15
    it's not an authentic document; it's a compilation of these
16
    other documents.
17
              THE COURT: Sustained.
              MR. SOLTERO: And that would be from there until
18
19
    112:116.
20
          (Counsel and technician confer)
21
         (Counsel confer)
22
          (Resume playing video deposition)
23
               "Q. Do you know whether or not that refreshes your
24
              memory, or are you still -- I mean, I'll show you.
25
               "A.
                   Okay.
```

	Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition 226
1	"Q. If we look, again going to your second
2	deposition on Page 132, it says, 'I'm I'm going to
3	mark, as Discovery Exhibit 258, a deed of trust
4	purportedly signed by Porfirio Benavides.'
5	"And then we go down, 'Do you know if you were the
6	salesperson?'
7	'No, I was not.'
8	'Do you know who was?'
9	'No.'
10	'Exhibit 258 is the deed of trust. Let me show you
11	the notary page. And is that your signature?'
12	'No, sir.'
13	'Do you know who signed it?'
14	'No, sir.'
15	And then actually, we can we can look at it, but
16	if you if you go on, the actual original document,
17	you can see right here, it says, 'Does that appear to
18	you that someone cut cut out a signature and tried
19	to past in on there?'
20	"A. Okay.
21	"Q. Do you remember that?
22	"A. A little bit.
23	"Q. Okay. Does that refresh your memory as t
24	whether or not you think that's yours, or you're
25	still on the fence or

```
227
               Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition
 1
               "A.
                    I'm -- yeah. That's kind of close, though.
               "Q.
 2
                   Okay. If we go to Page 134, the builder's and
              mechanic's lien contract for Mr. Benavides, it says,
 3
               'I'll just show you the notary page. Is that your
 4
 5
               signature?'
 6
              Your answer was: 'No, sir.'
 7
              Right?
               "A. Yes, sir.
 9
               "Q. 'And how about the bottom one; is that your
10
               signature?'
11
               'No, sir.'
12
              And again, 'Do you know who signed it?'
13
               'No, sir.'
14
              So back -- back then when you gave your deposition,
15
              you agreed with me that you said no, it's not your
16
               signature; today, you're on the fence?
17
               "A. Correct.
18
               "0.
                   All right. So with -- with those clarifications
              in --"
19
20
         (Pause playing video deposition)
              MR. SOLTERO: This is testimony from the exhibits
21
22
    that your Honor excluded, 251 and 252; from there until
23
    Page 115, Line 18.
24
         (Resume playing video deposition)
25
               "Q.
                   If we go to Alma Soliz --"
```

```
Frazier - Direct / By Video Deposition
                                                                  228
 1
         (Pause playing video deposition)
 2
              MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, I object; that is also part
    of that. I didn't realize --
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Okay.
 5
              MR. SOLTERO: -- this is the same document.
    to 116:6, also the same document that the Court's excluded.
 6
 7
              And, your Honor, I'd make the same objection with
    regards to the next, 257, as to testimony on Page 121
 8
 9
    through 122.
10
              THE COURT: These are the compilation documents?
11
              MR. SOLTERO: Yes, your Honor, I believe they are.
12
              THE COURT: Sustained.
13
              Is that true?
14
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: I believe so, your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: That's sustained.
16
         (Counsel confer)
17
         (Resume playing video deposition)
18
              "O. The salesperson in all of these transaction
19
              is -- is Christopher Lance Kimball."
20
         (Pause playing video deposition)
21
              MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we make the same objection.
22
    I think that continues on until -- we're going to object up
23
    until Page, I believe, one -- until 128:19, I believe.
24
              THE COURT: For the composite documents?
25
              MR. SOLTERO:
                            Yes, your Honor --
```

```
229
 1
              THE COURT: Okay.
 2
              MR. SOLTERO: -- he's questioning about that same
    document.
 3
         (Counsel confer)
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Temperature better? Okay.
              MR. CASTILLO: Your Honor, the jurors are asking for
 6
 7
    a break.
 8
              THE COURT: It's time to break. Twenty minutes?
 9
              Would you please stand for the jury?
10
         (Jurors exit courtroom at 2:51 p.m.)
11
              THE COURT: You got your motion filed quickly,
12
    Vanderbilt.
13
              MR. RUMLEY: I did, your Honor?
14
              THE COURT: No, sorry.
15
              Anything to take up outside the presence of the jury?
16
              MR. SOLTERO: I don't think so, your Honor --
17
              MR. UNIDENTIFIED: I don't believe so, your Honor.
18
              MR. SOLTERO: It would be the same thing we've been
19
    soing --
20
              THE COURT: Okay.
21
              MR. SOLTERO: Well, outside the presence of the jury
22
    we'd request a limiting instruction in connection with the
23
    Fifth Amendment.
24
              THE COURT: With what?
25
              MR. SOLTERO:
                            With Mr. Moore taking the Fifth
```

- 1 entity?
- 2 MR. RUMLEY: Actually his employment form says
- 3 Clayton Homes, Inc. If we all want to be -- we can pull out
- 4 his employment form --
- 5 THE COURT: Okay, I'm not going to give that one.
- 6 MR. SOLTERO: And that the claim of coverage is not a
- 7 | substitute for relevant evidence and he was not an employee,
- 8 agent, or subject to the control of any of the Clayton parties
- 9 when he --
- 10 **THE COURT:** That's not true. Oh, when he made it,
- 11 | but he --
- 12 MR. SOLTERO: When he invoked his constitutional
- 13 | Fifth Amendment right, he hadn't worked for us for over five
- 14 years.
- 15 **THE COURT:** Okay, go ahead.
- 16 MR. SOLTERO: And then finally, Judge, that it could
- 17 | just as easily be inferred that the witness's refusal to
- 18 | answer questions was consistent with a desire to protect his
- 19 own interest as opposed to -- frankly, we didn't control him
- 20 | because if we controlled him he wouldn't have taken the Fifth.
- 21 We wouldn't -- that doesn't help us.
- 22 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, Mr. Rumley argued
- 23 | earlier Mr. Moore was represented by an attorney paid for by
- 24 his prior employer.
- 25 **THE COURT:** Is that right? You got him an attorney?

```
232
 1
              MR. RUMLEY: In his deposition.
 2
              MR. SOLTERO: I believe yes.
 3
              THE COURT: Now you're saying he wasn't under your
    control?
 4
 5
              MR. RANGEL: Judge, just for the record, that's
 6
              Mr. Augie Rivera was --
    correct.
 7
              THE COURT: Oh, the nephew.
              MR. RANGEL: -- was hired to represent --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: I see.
10
              MR. RANGEL: -- right.
11
              THE COURT: And who found Mr. Augie Rivera?
12
              MR. RANGEL: He was paid by -- who found him?
13
              THE COURT: No, no, I know you found him, but -- who
14
    paid?
15
              MR. RANGEL: I believe he was paid by CMH Homes, but
16
    he was a lawyer that was retained to represent him and he had
17
    an attorney-client relationship and we certainly did not have
18
    any --
19
              THE COURT: As long as all that comes in too, then
20
    I'll do all the rest of this.
21
              MR. RUMLEY: We would request an instruction that
22
    there's an interest on the Fifth Amendment, which is clear from
    the Fifth Circuit in the cases cited to the Court's --
23
24
              THE COURT: It is.
25
              MR. RUMLEY:
                           -- summary judgment order. We would
```

- request either now or at the charge stage, prefacing that they
 should make some inferences to his answers. And I don't think,
 your Honor, that is inconsistent if the Court is going to give
 this corporation thing, it's not inconsistent; that's simply
 the law, in a civil case there's an inference that silence
- is -- it is for protection.

 MR. SOLTERO: Mr. Rumley's incorrect about the law,

 because what the law says is that's true for a party. That is
- not the case for non-parties. And to try and impute that to a non-party taking the Fifth, and individual, and trying to impute that to somebody else, that is not a fair inference, and that's why in the <u>Hargrove</u> (phonetic) case in the Fifth Circuit said that the undue prejudice that results from somebody invoking the Fifth can jeopardize the ability to get a fair trial. And that's why, because it's coming in --
 - THE COURT: It's coming in, because he's a non-party and it can come in.
 - MR. SOLTERO: Right, and so at the bare minimum,

 Judge, we believe that a limiting instruction to the jury on
 the issues that I raised --
- 21 **THE COURT:** I agree with you. See what all you 22 can -- see what all you can agree to with this.

16

17

18

19

20

23 And I don't think -- the Fifth Amendment should be 24 perfectly obvious to the jury about what it infers for this 25 man, or what it cannot infer. And it cannot be evidence

- 1 against these people. It can't. I mean that's just not right.
- 2 No matter who hired the lawyer.
- 3 MR. RUMLEY: Even though his --
- 4 THE COURT: A great choice, by the way, Mr. Rangel.
- 5 MR. RUMLEY: Just so we're clear, the inference that
- 6 the jury can draw from him taking the Fifth Amendment can be
- 7 evidence to show that CMH is liable by and through their
- 8 employee.
- 9 MR. SOLTERO: No, that's exactly what it can't show.
- THE COURT: No, no, no. No.
- 11 MR. RUMLEY: The conduct, they are only liable by and
- 12 | through their employees. He was employed at the time that the
- 13 | conduct is -- that he conducted in the deposition.
- 14 **THE COURT:** Let me look at that case again, because I
- 15 don't think it can be used as evidence against Clayton Homes.
- 16 It can be used as evidence against his malfeasance, I think,
- 17 but not against Clayton Homes.
- 18 Now, you can argue all you want in closing arguments
- 19 to impute that to their employee, you know, their employee took
- 20 | the Fifth about something he did while he was employed with
- 21 them. You certainly can.
- 22 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: And, your Honor, in the
- 23 instruction that he's proposing Moore was never employed by
- 24 Vanderbilt --
- 25 **THE COURT:** I'm not giving that one.

- 1 THE COURT: I don't know what that means.
- 2 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Neither do I, and I don't think
- 3 | the jury's going to understand that either.
- 4 THE COURT: So I'm going to take that out, because I
- 5 don't understand it.
- 6 MR. SOLTERO: But the others -- except for the one
- 7 about being not never employed by the --
- 8 THE COURT: Yeah, I don't like that one.
- 9 MR. SOLTERO: -- or the relevant evidence but the
- 10 others ought to be given to the jury. And I believe, Judge,
- 11 that it would be appropriate to do it at the time that the
- 12 deposition is read.
- 13 | THE COURT: I agree with you, but I want you all to
- 14 | look at that and see if this is okay with you, for me to give
- 15 | the rest of that limiting instruction.
- 16 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: So is it going to be 1, 2, and 3?
- 17 **THE COURT:** 1, 2, 3, and 5 -- wait a minute, 1, 2, 3,
- 18 4, 5, 6 -- 1, 2, 3, and 6.
- 19 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Well, I definitely, you know,
- 20 object to Number 3 in terms of being an employee subject to the
- 21 | control. I mean his counsel was -- this wasn't just some
- 22 person on the street, Clinton Homes sought out to protect him
- 23 and to retain counsel for him --
- 24 THE COURT: You know what, let's just put that he was
- 25 | not an employee of the parties when he invoked the Fifth.

- MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Exactly --
- 2 **THE COURT:** That's fine.
- 3 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: -- when he invoked the Fifth.
- 4 That's fair, your Honor.

1

- 5 One last thing, the last one about just be easily
- 6 inferred, if we're not going to ask the jury to infer anything
- 7 from him taking the Fifth Amendment we shouldn't ask --
- 8 THE COURT: I thought you were.
- 9 MR. SOLTERO: Yeah, that's exactly what Mr. Rumley --
- 10 (Voices overlap)
- 11 MR. SOLTERO: -- like that but I planned argument.
- 12 think that it has to be -- sorry, I apologize.
- 13 | MR. J. GUTIERREZ: That's okay. Let me just finish
- 14 | my point? If the Court will not let us ask the jury to make
- 15 that inference, then the jury should not be allowed to make the
- 16 opposite inference, which is, well, his refusal to answer might
- 17 | not -- might only be for his own interests, not his employer.
- 18 | I mean if there's going to be no instruction to the jury to
- 19 make an inference then the jury shouldn't make inferences in
- 20 either direction.
- 21 MR. SOLTERO: The problem with that, your Honor, is
- 22 | that -- it's two-fold. One is that they're going to get up and
- 23 argue that and, two, as your Honor pointed out, that's what
- 24 most people are going to think when somebody takes the Fifth
- 25 anyway. And that's why it's important to have these limiting

- 1 | instructions to make it balanced and fair, to tell the jury
- 2 that this is --
- 3 THE COURT: Okay, may I say -- may I tell you this, I
- 4 think you may be right, and I'll tell you why, because this
- 5 Number 6 instruction really says the same thing as the second
- 6 instruction, it's just cumulative. And if you don't believe me
- 7 | the first time, then I'm going to tell you the third time and
- 8 the second time.
- 9 See if you would like to rework the second one and
- 10 not have Number 6.
- 11 MR. SOLTERO: I will -- what I would propose then,
- 12 Judge, on the fly is that we amend Number 2 to say the
- 13 | implication should be relevant only to Moore's credibility and
- 14 | cannot alone form the basis of any finding of liability against
- 15 the Clayton parties.
- 16 THE COURT: I think that makes sense. Give me the
- 17 exact words -- will the two of you, since -- you didn't stay up
- 18 | till 2:00 a.m. with him, did you?
- 19 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: A fracture.
- 20 **THE COURT:** Okay, could the two of you then work on
- 21 | that one sentence?
- 22 MR. SOLTERO: Okay.
- 23 THE COURT: And I'll give 1 and 2 --
- 24 MR. SOLTERO: And 3 where stopping at employee, Moore
- 25 was not an employee --

```
239
                Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition
 1
              THE COURT: Yes --
 2
              MR. SOLTERO: -- at the time --
              THE COURT: -- he was not employee of the parties at
 3
    the time he invoked the Fifth Amendment.
 4
 5
              MR. SOLTERO: Exactly.
 6
         (Pause)
 7
              THE COURT: Can we go off the record now? Are we
 8
    finished with that?
 9
              MR. UNIDENTIFIED: Finally, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Thank you.
         (A recess was taken from 3:01 p.m. to 3:16 p.m.; parties
11
12
    present)
13
         (Outside the presence of the jury)
14
              THE COURT: How much longer is this?
15
              MR. RUMLEY: About --
16
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Five minutes.
17
              THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
18
         (Jurors enter courtroom at 3:16 p.m.)
19
              THE COURT: You may be seated.
20
              Okay, you may proceed.
21
         (Resume playing video deposition of Benjamin Joseph
22
    Frazier)
23
                         EXAMINATION
              "Q. (BY MR. RUMLEY) The compensation for the sales
24
25
              associate, this was -- that was in place back during
```

	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 240
1	the time you were there, we've talked about these
2	before. You have a base, a 16 percent, the 4 percent
3	financing with Vanderbilt, right?
4	"A. Yes, sir.
5	"Q. And then you have APR above minimum each
6	increment point quarter, you get an additional point
7	quarter percent with a maximum of 2 percent, correct?
8	"A. I can't see the maximum part, but, yeah.
9	"Q. Oh, I' m sorry. Right?
10	"A. Yes, sir.
11	"Q. And so what that means is, if you you got the
12	customer to agree to a higher interest rate than what
13	they qualified for, you would get an additional
14	percentage of the adjusted gross profit up to a max
15	of 2 percent
16	"Q correct?
17	"A. Correct.
18	"Q. And you agree with me a customer would never
19	agree to that unless if they were told if they
20	were told that they qualified for a lower rate
21	"A. Correct.
22	"Q. One of the things that you testified during
23	and I believe it might have even been deposition
24	your first deposition or second deposition is that
25	you were required to do some audio closings. Do you

	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 241
1	remember that?
2	"A. Yes, sir.
3	"Q. (BY MR. GUTIERREZ) Okay. Do you know what
4	happened to the closings, the audiotaped closings,
5	that you did? Do you know what happened to them?
6	"A. No. At this point, no.
7	"Q. (BY MR. RANGEL) And I need to ask you, did you
8	physically destroy them yourself?
9	"A. No, sir.
10	"Q. Now, you also testified, and I believe it was in
11	your second deposition, that that you knew that
12	Robin Moore was signing the signature of John Wells.
13	"A. I don't recall that answer.
14	"Q. Okay. Do you have the second deposition? And I
15	believe in your second deposition Mr. Rumley was
16	asking you on Page 45. And the the question that
17	is asked of you by Mr. Rumley is 'Did you know that
18	Robin Moore was signing Wells' name to documents?'
19	And what was your answer?
20	"A. It appears to be 'Yes, sir.'
21	"Q. It says 'Yes, sir,' right?
22	"A. Yes, sir.
23	"Q. And it it's not, it appears to to say yes,
24	sir. I mean you you testified under oath that
25	"A. Correct.

	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 242
1	"Q that you knew that Robin Moore was signing
2	John Wells' signature to documents, right?
3	"A. Yes, sir.
4	"Q. Okay. And and you also testified under oath
5	that John Wells knew that as well. Is that correct?
6	THE WITNESS: I didn't see it. You need to put it
7	back.
8	MR. RUMLEY: Hmm?
9	MR. GUTIERREZ: You need to put it back.
10	"Q. (BY MR. GUTIERREZ) You also testified
11	"A. Yes, sir.
12	"Q that Wells knew that as well, right?
13	"A. Yes, sir.
14	"A. I testified five years ago, and I do not
15	remember now.
16	"Q. Now, this these documents that we had or
17	Mr. Rumley has gone over with you this past several
18	minutes where you've testified that someone that
19	it's not your signature, those documents were
20	actually used and and are being used by
21	Vanderbilt
22	"Q to secure to secure a mortgage on on
23	property. Is that correct?
24	"A. I don't know if they're currently being used.
25	"Q. I mean, those documents that you testified

	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 243
1	contain your forged signature, if we can assume
2	isn't it fair to assume that Vanderbilt has used them
3	and is using them to create a lien on on both real
4	property and personal property?
5	"A. Yes, sir.
6	"Q. (BY MR. RUMLEY) Mr. Frazier, I'm going to mark
7	as Discovery Exhibit 294 what we've printed off from
8	the Secretary of State regarding the various sales
9	associates and and their notary records.
10	"Q. And I just wanted to, if we flip to yours,
11	verify it says that that you were a commissioned
12	notary public August 24th, 1999, and it expired
13	August 24th, 2003. Does that does that look
14	right?
15	"A. That looks right, yes, sir.
16	"Q. Mr. Frazier, when you were when you left
17	Clayton Homes, when you were when you were
18	terminated back in October of 2002, did they did
19	the folks at at Clayton Homes instruct you or
20	require you to leave all of your notary material,
21	books and everything, at the Clayton Homes Store 214?
22	"A. No, sir.
23	"Q. You took that stuff with you?
24	"A. I I don't recall. But I don't remember them
25	instructing me, no, sir.

	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 244
1	"Q. So well, obviously, you've seen the Elizandro
2	Herrera file and I forget the discovery exhibit
3	which clearly shows your notary stamp on a document
4	months after you had been terminated, had been fired,
5	right?
6	"A. Yes, sir.
7	"Q. Okay. So that we can pretty much assume that
8	your notary stamp stayed behind when you were fired.
9	Is that correct?
10	"A. Yes, sir.
11	"Q. (BY MR. GUTIERREZ) Did they require you to leave
12	the notary stamp?
13	"A. No, sir.
14	"Q. Okay. Did you voluntarily leave the notary
15	stamp with Robin Moore?
16	"A. No, sir.
17	(End playing video deposition of Benjamin Joseph Frazier
18	by Defendants/Cross-Plaintiffs)
19	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: That's the end of our offer, your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
22	You may
23	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor
24	THE COURT: Do you have an offer?
25	MR. SOLTERO: We do, and it's in two parts.

	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 245
1	THE COURT: Please do.
2	FOR PLAINTIFFS
3	BENJAMIN JOSEPH FRAZIER BY VIDEO DEPOSITION
4	EXAMINATION
5	"Q salesperson on a transaction, specifically a
6	land-in-lieu transaction, run me through kind of
7	logistically what you would do; how would you do it;
8	what documents would you get, and run me through a
9	whole land-in-lieu transaction.
10	"A. From the very beginning?
11	"Q. Yes, sir.
12	"A. Okay. Basically, you have somebody come in. We
13	qualify them at that point. They basically,
14	during that qualify, they tell you how usually,
15	the advertisement normally, the advertisement
16	brought them in. They walk in with a deed in their
17	hand.
18	Basically, they pick out a house. We'd go
19	through the application process, call them in a
20	couple days later, once we had closing paperwork
21	prepared, and got everybody to sign everything
22	properly, send it to deal processing. And if we
23	needed any further paperwork, we would inquire with
24	the customer to get it.
25	"Q. You understand that, as a notary, that the

	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 246
1	individual has to appear before you before you can
2	notarize their signature?
3	"A. I do now.
4	"Q. You didn't back then?
5	"A. Not exactly, no, sir.
б	"Q. And at no time did you give anybody permission
7	to use your notary stamp, correct?
8	"A. Correct.
9	"Q. And do you remember Cesar Flores or Alvin King?
10	"A. No, sir.
11	"Q. Do you remember ever meeting them?
12	"A. No, sir.
13	"Q. Let me show you what's marked as Discovery
14	Exhibit 226. And if we go to
15	"Q well, first of all, do you know what this
16	document is, generally?
17	"A. Generally, yes, sir.
18	"Q. What generally is this form document?
19	"A. I believe for titling.
20	"Q. Okay. This is the the document that when the
21	transaction is complete is is is mailed to
22	Austin, right?
23	"A. I don't know, but
24	"Q. All right. And if we look, you can see this is
25	Cesar Flores and Alvin King?

	•
	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 247
1	"A. Yes, sir.
2	"Q. Okay. If we flip to the next page, your stamp
3	appears two times. Do you see that?
4	"A. Yes, sir.
5	"Q. And is that is that your signature?
6	"A. It appears to be, yes, sir.
7	"Q. And then if we look, the third one on the
8	bottom, is that your signature?
9	"A. Yes, sir.
10	"Q. Okay. If we flip to the the next page
11	MR. RANGEL: You mean the previous page?
12	MR. RUMLEY: 109.
13	"Q do you see your your stamp?
14	"A. Yes, sir.
15	"Q. And is that is that your signature?
16	"A. Yes, sir.
17	"Q. Did John Wells know that that other people
18	were using your stamp?
19	"A. Not that I'm aware of.
20	"Q. Well, delinquencies in collections actually
21	affect the amount of money that the the store
22	manager and the associate store manager make?
23	"A. I don't believe that's true.
24	"Q. Well, how did it affect the stores, then?
25	"A. I think it was only if it was actually

	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 248
1	repossessed.
2	"Q. Okay. Well, tell me how the repossession of a
3	home affects the compensation of the store manager
4	or or the assistant store manager.
5	"A. Because each store was full recourse where we'd
6	have to buy it back.
7	"Q. And so if if Joe Smith falls behind on their
8	payments and you all have to repossess their home,
9	then that actually costs you, as the assistant store
10	manager, money?
11	"A. Correct.
12	"Q. Have you ever heard anything about the fact that
13	all of the transactions, the land-in-lieu
14	transactions, that came out of your store during the
15	time that you were there, that they went and filed
16	all these mass releases?
17	"A. Yes, sir.
18	"Q. And what did you hear about that?
19	"A. You told me that they released all the the
20	liens.
21	"Q. Did it surprise you?
22	"A. Yeah. Yes.
23	"Q. During the time that you worked for Clayton,
24	were you basically in the business of placing folks
25	in homes?

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Frazier - Cross / By Video Deposition 249
1	"A. Yes, sir.
2	"Q. And how did you feel about your job with respect
3	to that?
4	"A. Good. We were giving people an opportunity to
5	have a home.
6	"Q. And, for example, this land-in-lieu program that
7	Clayton had, you said that that was unique to
8	Clayton?
9	"A. Correct.
10	"Q. As a result of that program, that that
11	enabled Clayton to put folks into homes that
12	ordinarily would not have been able to qualify for a
13	home?
14	"A. Yes, sir.
15	"Q. And how did you feel about that?
16	"A. Oh, very good.
17	"Q. Why?
18	"A. There's just certain times where you'd go out
19	and you'd see where people were living and give them
20	something better to live in, and it just made you
21	feel good about it.
22	"Q. During the time that you worked at Clayton, did
23	you ever sign a customer's name to a document or a
24	landowner's name to a document?
25	"A. No, sir.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 250
1	"Q. During the time that you worked with Clayton,
2	did you see ever see anybody else do that?
3	"A. No, sir.
4	(End playing video deposition of Benjamin Joseph Frazier
5	by Plaintiffs)
6	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, that concludes our proffer.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further?
8	(No audible response)
9	Would you call your next witness?
10	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: We would call Bruce Robin Moore by
11	video deposition.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we would request a limiting
14	instruction on Mr. Moore's deposition.
15	THE COURT: Should I wait till we get to the moment
16	of limiting.
17	MR. SOLTERO: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	DEFENDANTS' WITNESS
20	BRUCE ROBIN MOORE BY VIDEO DEPOSITION
21	(Witness sworn)
22	EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. RUMLEY:
24	"Q. Sir, could you identify yourself for us?
25	"A. Bruce Robin Moore, Jr.

	<u> </u>
	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 251
1	"Q. This not the first time you've given a
2	deposition, correct?
3	"A. Correct.
4	"Q. And when you gave the deposition, you told the
5	truth?
6	"A. Yes, sir.
7	"Q. Let me show you, Mr. Moore, what I've marked as
8	Discovery Exhibit 380. And this was an exhibit to
9	your prior deposition that was previously marked as
10	Discovery Exhibit 180. Let me ask you some
11	questions.
12	"Q. It's my understanding that you were working, I
13	think, at the Martini Bar when you met John Wells?
14	"A. Correct.
15	"Q. And you and Mr. Wells hit it off, and you were
16	ultimately hired as a sales associate at the Clayton
17	store Clayton Homes store in Corpus Christi,
18	correct?
19	"A. Correct.
20	"Q. It shows a date of September 18th, 1999. Does
21	that appear to be the date that you would have
22	started working there at the Clayton store?
23	"A. Correct.
24	"Q. Can you tell me and the jury one document that
25	you reviewed in preparation for your deposition

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 252
1	today?
2	"A. No.
3	"Q. Did you look at signatures?
4	"A. No.
5	"Q. Did you look
6	"A. Yes, we did. We reviewed some papers yesterday.
7	I don't know what they were, though.
8	"Q. Whose signature was it?
9	"A. They were notary signatures.
10	"Q. Of Mr. Frazier?
11	"A. Yes, sir.
12	"Q. The signatures that you looked at, the notary
13	signatures for Mr. Frazier, were those signatures
14	that you had signed?
15	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
16	on my Fifth Amendment."
17	(Pause playing video deposition)
18	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we would ask the Court for
19	appropriate limiting instructions.
20	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
21	I'm going to instruct you that the Fifth Amendment is
22	personal and not a corporate not one a corporation can
23	asset. The implication should be relevant only to Moore's
24	credibility and cannot alone form the basis of any finding of
25	liability against any one of the Clayton parties. Moore was

253 Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 1 not an employee of the parties when he invoked his 2 constitutional Fifth Amendment right against selfincrimination. 3 4 You may proceed. Thank you. 5 (Resume playing video deposition) 6 "Q. You did sign Mr. Frazier's signature to one of 7 the documents, correct? On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based 9 on the Fifth Amendment. 10 "O. In fact, you signed Mr. Frazier's signature as a 11 notary to a number of documents, correct? 12 On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based 13 on my Fifth Amendment. 14 And when did you go over these documents? 15 We went over a couple yesterday and then just 16 over the past month or so randomly. 17 "0. Okay. How about the ones yesterday; can you 18 tell me and the jury what any of the documents you 19 looked at yesterday that had Mr. Frazier's signature 20 on them? It was a sheet with a bunch of notaries on them. 21 "A. 22 "O. Was your name on that document? 23 I don't recall." "A. 24 Did it appear to you that the handwriting for 25 Ben Frazier's name was your handwriting?

	-
	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 254
1	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
2	on the Fifth Amendment.
3	"Q. When is the last time you talked to Mr. Frazier?
4	"A. He called me right after his deposition.
5	"Q. Have you read his deposition?
6	"A. Yes.
7	"Q. Did you see anything in his deposition that you
8	disagreed with?
9	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
10	on the Fifth Amendment.
11	"Q. How about Chris Kimball; have you talked to him
12	lately?
13	"A. I can't remember the last time I talked to
14	Lance. It's been a couple of months.
15	"Q. How about John Wells; when is the last time you
16	talked to Mr. Wells?
17	"A. Back in '05, maybe.
18	"Q. Since 2005 or since he's moved to Las Vegas, you
19	have not talked to him?
20	"A. I talked to him a couple of times while he was
21	in Vegas.
22	"Q. How about David Rodriguez?
23	"A. Yes.
24	"Q. Was he a sales associate
25	"A. No.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 255
1	"Q at Store 214?
2	What was his job?
3	"A. He worked on he did, like, office stuff and
4	did stuff for John.
5	"Q. Is that the David that you were referring to in
6	your first deposition?
7	"A. I don't remember which David.
8	"Q. I was asking you about David who was responsible
9	for emails and that sort of thing.
10	"A. Yes, that's the same David.
11	"Q. And during the time that you were a notary for
12	Clayton Homes, there was no written policies in place
13	with respect to notary guidelines or anything having
14	to do with notarization of documents, correct?
15	"A. Not that I remember.
16	"Q. There was nothing in place at this company that
17	told you that you're not supposed to notarize a
18	document in which you had a financial interest,
19	correct?
20	"A. Not that I'm aware of.
21	"Q. And you did that when you were at Clayton Homes?
22	"A. Notarize
23	"Q. You notarized documents in which you had a
24	financial interest?
25	"A. Yes.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 256
1	"Q. Do you have an understanding today that one of
2	the prohibitive acts as a notary public is you are
3	not supposed to notarize a document in which you have
4	a financial interest?
5	"A. Yes.
6	"Q. Do you know why it is that Clayton Homes would
7	not have told you about that prohibitive act?
8	"A. I have no idea.
9	"Q. Clayton Homes certainly knew that you were a
10	notary, right?
11	"A. I have no idea.
12	"Q. Well, you turned in the documents to Tennessee
13	that had your notary stamp, right?
14	"A. Correct.
15	"Q. And we've talked about this in your prior
16	deposition, that you would turn that packet in and it
17	would go to loan processing or sales processing, and
18	they would audit the transaction before it was
19	funded, correct?
20	"A. Correct.
21	"Q. And one of the things they would look at is to
22	make sure the documents were notarized, right?
23	"A. Correct.
24	"Q. One of the things is they would make sure
25	everything was completed, correct?

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 257
1	"A. Correct.
2	"Q. Certainly, someone there would have seen the
3	notary stamp Bruce Robin Moore as a notary, correct?
4	"A. Correct.
5	"Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Discovery
6	Exhibit 381, which was previously marked at your
7	other deposition as Discovery Exhibit 181. And this
8	is the personnel action request for your termination.
9	Okay?
10	"A. Okay.
11	"Q. And in this or on this document, it indicates
12	that last day worked was September 3rd, 2004,
13	correct?
14	"A. Correct.
15	"Q. Despite the fact that your employment ended with
16	Clayton Homes, you continued to go in and work at the
17	store, correct?
18	"A. Correct.
19	"Q. And one of things that you did when you went in
20	the store is you would go back in the office where
21	all the records were kept, correct?
22	"A. I don't remember if I did or not.
23	"Q. Let me show you, going back to your deposition,
24	Page 51, Line 17, see if this refreshes your memory
25	as to whether or not you did or didn't.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 258
1	It says, 'After September 3rd, '04, did you
2	and Mr. Wells go into the office in the Corpus
3	Christi store?'
4	And your answer was what?
5	"A. 'Yes.'
6	"Q. Did you do anything to alter documents?
7	"A. No.
8	"Q. Destroy documents?
9	"A. No.
10	"Q. There was an individual in there named David,
11	and that's the David that we identified a little bit
12	ago, correct?
13	"A. Correct.
14	"Q. David Rodriguez?
15	"A. Yes, sir.
16	"Q. And when you went back in in October of '04,
17	after your employment was terminated, David was in
18	there working, correct?
19	"A. I'm sure he was. I don't remember.
20	"Q. Okay. Well, let's do you agree with me
21	generally, Mr. Moore, that your memory is better
22	closer in time to when the occurrence took place
23	"Q generally speaking?
24	"A. Yes.
25	"Q. And, certainly, back when you gave this

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 259
1	deposition in 2005, your memory as to what happened
2	during that time was probably a lot better than it is
3	in 2010, five years later; would you agree with that?
4	"A. Correct.
5	"Q. If we read right here, on Page 52, we talk about
6	David. And if you go right here, 'And he's the
7	computer guy?'
8	And your answer was: 'Yeah."
9	Did I read that right?
10	"A. Correct.
11	"Q. And is that your understanding; he was a
12	computer guy?
13	"A. Yes, sir.
14	"Q. What types of computers were in the office
15	during the time that you were there at Store 214?
16	"Q. How many did you have?
17	"A. Two, I think.
18	"Q. And was an individual assigned to a computer or
19	could anybody use the computers?
20	"A. I believe anybody could.
21	"Q. Did Mr. Wells have one just for him, and then
22	the other one was for the sales associates?
23	"A. Yes.
24	"Q. And one of the things that you had on both of
25	the computers was an email system?

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 260
1	"A. Yes.
2	"Q. If we go down here, do you know whether or not
3	this David individual was erasing was he in there
4	erasing all the computers?
5	"Q. In October?
6	"A. I don't remember if he did or not.
7	"Q. It was after your employment was terminated,
8	right?
9	"A. In October of '04, yes.
10	"Q. John Wells was terminated, correct?
11	"A. Yes.
12	"Q. Ben Frazier was terminated, correct?
13	"A. Correct.
14	"Q. And after you were terminated in September, you
15	went back in in October, and this David fellow, do
16	you know whether or not he erased the computers?
17	"A. I don't know what I don't remember from
18	now I don't remember what he was doing.
19	"Q. And if we go down further in that page, it says,
20	'One of the things is he dealt with emails, right?'
21	And your answer was: 'He did some work with emails,
22	yes.'
23	Is that your answer?
24	"A. Yes.
25	"Q. What work was he doing back in October 2005 with

	•
	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 261
1	the emails?
2	"A. I don't remember.
3	"Q. Do you know if he was erasing emails that you
4	and Mr. Frazier and Mr. Wells had with each other
5	during the time that you were working there at the
6	store?
7	"A. No, I have no idea.
8	"Q. Have you seen any of those emails?
9	"A. No.
10	"Q. You did email each other, correct?
11	"A. Correct.
12	"Q. During the entire time that you worked there at
13	the store, John Wells was the store manager?
14	"A. Yes.
15	"Q During the time that you worked there at the
16	store in Corpus Christi, you sold more homes under
17	this land-in-lieu program than any other program,
18	correct?
19	"A. Correct.
20	"Q. And the land-in-lieu program, just so the jury
21	understands, is where you can use any deed to any
22	property to finance a home, correct?
23	"A. Correct.
24	"Q. And you all advertised that, any deed to
25	anyone's land, right?

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 262
1	"A. Correct.
2	"Q. It didn't have to be your land. It could be a
3	neighbor's land, a relative's land, or anybody's
4	land, right?
5	"A. Correct.
6	"Q. And when you used this land-in-lieu program,
7	that was the easiest way to get the transaction done,
8	correct?
9	"A. Correct.
10	"Q And you knew that at the time?
11	"A. Yes.
12	"Q. Well, we talked about this earlier. Once if
13	you're the salesperson on the transaction, you would
14	get the various applications and the various
15	documents signed, and then you would submit a package
16	to loan processing or sales processing in Tennessee,
17	correct?
18	"A. Correct.
19	"Q. And they would audit the deals, verify the
20	documents, verify that everything was filled out
21	properly, that sort of thing, correct?
22	"A. Correct.
23	"Q. During the time that you were a notary for
24	Clayton Homes, you notarized documents or notarized
25	signatures where the individual did not sign the

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 263
1	document in your presence?
2	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
3	on my Fifth Amendment.
4	"Q. At one point in time, you actually became a
5	notary public for the State of Texas, correct?
6	"A. Correct.
7	"Q. During the time that you were actually a notary,
8	that you were appointed by the Secretary of State to
9	serve as a notary, you notarized you acknowledged
10	signatures where the individual did not sign the
11	document in front of you, correct?
12	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
13	on my Fifth Amendment.
14	"Q. All right. Back when we asked you when I
15	asked you that same question back in 2005, if you go
16	to Page 124, Line 2, we were talking about
17	Mr. Frazier and then the question was asked:
18	'Have you ever done that?'
19	And your answer: 'Done what?'
20	'Signed notarized a signature where the person
21	didn't sign it in front of you.'
22	And what was your answer?
23	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
24	on the Fifth Amendment.
25	"Q. All right. And then if we go

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 264
1	'Question: Did you do that while you were working
2	for Clayton Homes?'
3	'Yes.'
4	'Question: But you would go ahead and notarize a
5	document a signature when someone signed the document
6	outside of your presence?'
7	And what was your answer?
8	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
9	on the Fifth Amendment.
10	"Q. And then I asked: 'And I asked Mr. Frazier this
11	same question and let me ask you: Was Mr. Wells
12	aware that you were doing this?'
13	And what was your answer?
14	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
15	on the Fifth Amendment.
16	"Q. And then it goes down, 'And he didn't reprimand
17	you or anything?'
18	And your answer was: 'No.'
19	Correct?
20	"A. Correct.
21	"Q. But on the transactions that you were the sales
22	associate, you would make sure, before you went and
23	took the deed of trust, mechanic's lien to the
24	courthouse, that the document was notarized, correct?
25	"A. Correct.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 265
1	"Q. Was it a common practice at the Corpus Christi
2	store for salespeople to use Ben Frazier's stamp,
3	notary stamp, and then forge his signature?
4	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
5	on the Fifth Amendment.
6	"Q. Was it commonplace for sales associates to forge
7	Ben Frazier's signature?
8	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
9	on the Fifth Amendment.
10	"Q. Well, if we look at Page 177 of your deposition,
11	question, Line 18: 'I mean, it sounds like to me it
12	was fairly common practice at the Corpus Christi
13	store for people to be signing each other's names; is
14	that true?
15	And your answer was: 'As far as Ben and John's name,
16	yes.'
17	Is that your answer?
18	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
19	on the Fifth Amendment.
20	"Q. Are you aware of any employees doing this?
21	MR. BARROSO: I'm going to instruct you not to answer
22	that question.
23	THE WITNESS: On advice of Counsel, I decline to
24	answer based on the Fifth Amendment.
25	"Q. John Wells was fully aware that sales associates

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 266
1	were using Ben Frazier's notary stamp and then
2	forging his signature to deeds of trust and
3	mechanic's lien contracts, correct?
4	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
5	on the Fifth Amendment.
6	"Q. You have told Clayton Homes that you have used
7	Ben Frazier's notary stamp and forged his signature
8	to deeds of trust and mechanic's lien contracts,
9	correct?
10	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
11	on the Fifth Amendment.
12	"Q. You have signed Ben Frazier's name as a notary,
13	correct?
14	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
15	on the Fifth Amendment.
16	"Q. If you go to Page 134, Line 24, I asked you:
17	'Have you ever signed his name to a notary?'
18	And your answer was: 'Yes, I have.'
19	Do you see that?
20	"A. Yes, I see that.
21	"Q. Was it true when you said it?
22	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
23	on the Fifth Amendment.
24	"Q. When you would sign Ben Frazier's name as a
25	notary would you try to trace his signature, or would

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 267
1	you just sign it?
2	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
3	on the Fifth Amendment.
4	"Q. Do you think it's appropriate to pretend to be a
5	notary and sign a document that you're not a notary?
6	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
7	on the Fifth Amendment.
8	"Q. Did you ever forge anybody else's name to any
9	documents?
10	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
11	on the Fifth Amendment.
12	"Q. If we look, going to page 140 of your
13	deposition, here: 'Did you ever forge anybody else's
14	name?'
15	'Answer: No.'
16	'Question: How about John Wells?'
17	Your answer: 'Oh, yes, I did sign John's name.'
18	'How many times?
19	'I don't know.'
20	'What documents to you recall forging his name?'
21	'It depends.'
22	Was that testimony truthful?
23	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
24	on the Fifth Amendment.
25	"Q. Why would you forge Ben Frazier's signature to

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 268
1	documents as a notary?
2	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
3	on the Fifth Amendment.
4	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
5	on the Fifth Amendment.
6	"Q. It's true, is it not, that both John Wells and
7	the individuals in Tennessee were aware that you"
8	(Pause playing video deposition)
9	THE COURT: Excuse me.
10	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this
11	question. One, we thought it had been removed from the clips
12	and, two, it's asking about other people. Under Rule 602
13	there's no way he would have personal knowledge
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: I thought we had agreed to that,
16	your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Pardon?
18	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: We had agreed to that.
19	THE COURT: You don't object to that, do you?
20	MR. RUMLEY: Your Honor, may we approach?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	(Begin bench conference at 3:49:11 p.m.)
23	MR. RUMLEY: This is this is in the summary
24	judgments the Court even quoted Mr. Moore's testimony where he
25	says that the people in Tennessee knew what was going on.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 269
1	THE COURT: Okay, sorry. Okay, I think
2	MR. RUMLEY: (Indiscernible)
3	THE COURT: Sorry.
4	MR. RUMLEY: And I moved (indiscernible)
5	(Voices overlap)
6	MR. RUMLEY: Okay.
7	THE COURT: That's a good basis.
8	(End bench conference at 3:49:37 p.m.)
9	THE COURT: Thank you all. You may proceed.
10	Did you want to start it again or where are we?
11	Back it up to the question?
12	(Resume playing video deposition)
13	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
14	on the Fifth Amendment.
15	"Q. It's true, is it not, that both John Wells and
16	the individuals in Tennessee were aware that you were
17	forging Ben Frazier's as a notary to these documents?
18	"Q. Correct?
19	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
20	on the Fifth Amendment.
21	"Q. Let's go to page 151 of your previous
22	deposition, starting with Line 5 or actually going
23	down to Line 12.
24	'Question: And you agree with me that as part of the
25	course and scope of your employment with this company

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 270
1	was preparing deeds of trust, filling in the blanks,
2	getting people to sign, notarizing them, and filling
3	them with filing them with the courthouse,
4	correct?'
5	And your answer was: 'Correct.'
6	Was that correct?
7	"A. Correct.
8	"Q. 'Question: That's part of your everyday job?'
9	Your answer was: 'Yes.'
10	And that's true, right?
11	"A. Correct.
12	"Q. 'And when you would do this, exactly what you've
13	been telling us you did in this case, not only would
14	you know what's going on, but your store manager,
15	John Wells, would review the packet before it's sent
16	to Tennessee, correct?'
17	And your answer was: 'Correct.'
18	Right?
19	"A. That's correct.
20	"Q. All right. And we're talking about, if we go
21	back up to these, to these documents, these deeds of
22	trusts, mechanic's liens, where you signed Ben
23	Frazier's names on the notary, correct?
24	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
25	on the Fifth Amendment.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 271
1	"Q. All right. 'But what we do know is that it
2	would then go to Tennessee. Someone at Tennessee
3	would review exactly what you've been doing,
4	correct?'
5	And your answer was: 'Correct.'
6	Was that truthful?
7	"Q. What was your answer?
8	"A. They would people at Tennessee would look
9	over the paperwork.
10	"Q. What was your answer, sir?
11	"A. Answer there is: 'Correct.'
12	"Q. 'Question: And they would approve it, and the
13	deal would get done?'
14	And your answer was: 'Yes.'
15	Correct?
16	"A. Correct.
17	"Q. So the people in Tennessee or the people in
18	sales processing were the ones that received the
19	package, correct?
20	"A. As far as I know, yes.
21	"Q. And they were aware of what was going on,
22	correct?"
23	(Pause playing video deposition)
24	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we object. He is again,
25	602 is one that we had agreed to be excluded.

```
272
                Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition
 1
              Your Honor, the question asks what somebody else
 2
    would know is objectionable under 602.
 3
              May we approach?
 4
         (Begin bench conference at 3:52 p.m.)
 5
              MR. SOLTERO: The question is: "And they were aware
    of what was going on, correct?" And his answer is: "Correct,"
 6
 7
    that they were aware of what was going on.
              THE COURT: Well, did he (indiscernible) you were
 8
 9
    there cross examining him, weren't you?
10
              MR. SOLTERO: We had people there who cross --
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, then if you got it
11
12
    straightened out you can straighten it out. And that's what
13
    that --
14
              MR. UNIDENTIFIED: That's one of the ones --
15
              MR. RUMLEY: Yeah, because he actually answered this
16
    one, didn't he so ...
17
              THE COURT: Thank you.
18
         (End bench conference at 3:53 p.m.)
19
         (Resume playing video deposition)
20
              "O. Correct?
21
              "A.
                   Yes.
22
                   Let me show you what I've marked as Discovery
              "O.
23
              Exhibit 385, which was previously marked in your
24
              deposition -- your earlier deposition as 213.
25
               "Q.
                   If you flip, look at 385, this is a document
```

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 273
1	that is filled out and then sent to the Texas
2	Department of Housing and Community Affairs in
3	Austin, correct?
4	"A. Yes.
5	"Q. If you flip to the second page of Exhibit 385,
6	if we look right here, that's Ben Frazier's notary
7	stamp, correct?
8	"A. Correct.
9	"Q. This B"
10	(Pause playing of video deposition)
11	MR. SOLTERO: And your Honor, we object to this
12	document. It is another transaction under 401, 403, and 404.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	This is 214, right, out of the Corpus Store?
15	MR. SOLTERO: 214, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	(Resume playing of video deposition.
18	"Q." F these B.F.s look on this page. Did
19	you, did you sign his name?
20	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
21	on the Fifth Amendment.
22	"Q. If you look at the front of that document,
23	Mr. Moore, you'll see that it was previously marked
24	as Discovery Exhibit 213. Do you see that?
25	"A. Yes, sir.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 274
1	"Q. Okay. If we look at your previous deposition
2	and we go to Page 196, it says: 'Discovery
3	Exhibit 213 was marked for identification.' Do you
4	see that?
5	"A. Yes.
6	"Q. And if we go down to Line 25:
7	'Question: The document is that Ben's signature,
8	or did you just forge his name?
9	'Did you sign that name?
10	And your answer was: 'Yes.'
11	Was that truthful when you gave that?
12	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
13	on the Fifth Amendment.
14	"Q. Next question: 'How about that one?'
15	Your answer was: 'Yes.'
16	And was that truthful when you gave it?
17	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
18	on the Fifth Amendment.
19	"Q. 'And you used his stamp, right?
20	And your answer was: 'Correct.'
21	Was that truthful when you gave that answer?
22	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
23	on the Fifth Amendment.
24	"Q. Next question: 'Right here, you used his stamp?
25	'Correct.'

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 275
1	Was that truthful when you testified that you used
2	his stamp right there?
3	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
4	on the Fifth Amendment.
5	"Q. Next one: 'And then you signed his name again?'
6	And your answer was: 'Yes.'
7	Was it truthful when you gave that answer?
8	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
9	on the Fifth Amendment.
10	"Q. 'At that time you weren't even a notary, were
11	you?'
12	And your answer was: 'No.'
13	Is that true?
14	"A. I don't remember.
15	"Q. 'And then what you did is: You took this
16	document, and you sent it to the State, didn't you?'
17	And your answer was: 'Yes.'
18	"Q. And you sent it to corporate in the mail?
19	"A. What do you mean by mail, like U.S. Post Office
20	or FedEx?
21	"Q. Did you mail it to Tennessee?
22	"A. We sent it by Airborne Express to Tennessee.
23	"Q. Who is 'we'?
24	"A. Our office did.
25	"Q. Some of the other documents, Mr. Moore, that you

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 276
1	would forge signatures on are arbitration agreements,
2	correct?
3	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
4	on the Fifth Amendment.
5	"Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Discovery
6	Exhibit 386. And, Mr. Moore, this is an arbitration
7	agreement that was previously marked in your
8	deposition as 204. Do you see that?
9	"Q. Mr. Moore, do you see that was previously marked
10	as Discovery Exhibit 204?
11	"A. Yes.
12	"Q. All right. And this is what we call an
13	arbitration agreement, right?
14	"A. Correct.
15	"Q. You've seen this before. You've filled out
16	these agreements before? Correct?
17	"A. Correct.
18	"Q. And if you look down here, is that the
19	handwriting on this document or that I'm pointing to
20	right here, did you is that your handwriting?
21	"A. I don't know.
22	"Q. If we look at Page 182, Line 22, to your
23	previous deposition: '204, Discovery Exhibit 204,
24	arbitration agreement. Did you sign Mr. Wells' name
25	to this?'

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 277
1	And your answer was: 'Yes.'
2	Did I read that right?
3	"A. Yes, you read that right.
4	"Q. One of the other documents that you had forged
5	Mr. Wells is appraisal forms; correct?
6	"A. I don't remember appraisal forms.
7	"Q. If we look
8	"Q. Discovery Exhibit 387. And appraisal forms
9	would be forms that would be filled out and then sent
10	on to Tennessee; correct?
11	"A. I'd have to look at it. I don't remember what
12	they use that form for. (Perusing document.)
13	"Q. Have you seen that form before?
14	"A. Yes. Not this specific form. I don't remember
15	this specific form, but I've seen the form before.
16	"Q. Do you recognize the signature on that
17	appraisal?
18	"A. It appears to be John Wells.
19	"Q. Did you sign his name?
20	"A. I don't remember.
21	"Q. If we look, going back to your deposition,
22	Page 183, Line 25: 'Did John Wells do an appraisal?'
23	What was your answer?
24	"A. 'No.'
25	"Q. 'Question: You did it yourself and signed his
25	"Q. 'Question: You did it yourself and signed his

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 278
1	name?'
2	And your answer was: 'Yes.'
3	Is that right?
4	"A. It says yes there, yes.
5	"Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Discovery
6	Exhibit 391.
7	"Q. You've seen this document before, correct?
8	"A. Correct.
9	"Q. Cost of sales summary, that's the printed out
10	off the computer, and this calculates what your
11	commission is going to be, correct?
12	"A. Correct.
13	"Q. And it indicates here
14	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor
15	"Q 'Sales"
16	(Pause playing video deposition)
17	THE COURT: Just a moment.
18	MR. SOLTERO: We object to that on 401, 403, and 404.
19	THE COURT: Same document as previously, same type?
20	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Out of 214.
21	THE COURT: Overruled.
22	(Resume playing video deposition)
23	"Q person, Bruce Moore,' and it has your
24	employee number, right?
25	"A. Correct.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 279
1	"Q. Right. And then we come down, and essentially
2	when you're a sales associate at this store your
3	compensation is 100 percent commissions basically?
4	"A. Correct.
5	"Q. And then you're paid a draw, right?
6	"A. Right.
7	"Q. Which means if you're not out there selling
8	homes, you actually become more and more in debt to
9	the company
10	THE COURT: Okay, hold up.
11	"Q correct?
12	"A. Correct."
13	(Pause playing video deposition)
14	THE COURT: Hold up. We have a juror kind of dozing
15	off here; let's get everybody awake.
16	Okay, ready to go. Everybody sit up; let's go.
17	Thank you.
18	(Resume playing video deposition)
19	"Q. And so it's an incentive"
20	(Pause playing video deposition)
21	THE COURT: All you have to do stay awake for another
22	hour. And we're going to stop early tonight at 5:00 o'clock,
23	by request of the lawyers and the parties.
24	(Resume playing video deposition)
25	"Q in place for you to be out there selling

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 280
1	homes, right?
2	"A. Correct.
3	"Q. Clearly, if you're selling homes, you're just
4	going to get more and more and more in debt?
5	"A. Correct.
6	"Q. And we go down, and one of the things when you
7	were a sales associate is you're paid a commission of
8	4 percent if you get the customer to finance with
9	Vanderbilt, correct?
10	"A. Correct.
11	"Q. And you understood that the easiest way to get
12	your customers approved is to do the land-in-lieu
13	transaction, correct?
14	"A. Correct.
15	"Q. Let me show you what
16	"Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Discovery
17	Exhibit 392.
18	"Q. And this is another one of those statement of
19	location forms, correct?
20	"A. Correct.
21	"Q. It's sent to Austin, right?
22	"A. Correct.
23	"Q. Did you forge anyone else's signature on this
24	document?
25	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 281
1	on the Fifth Amendment.
2	"Q. Do you have an understanding that Clayton Homes
3	released hundreds of filed releases of deed of trust
4	and mechanic's lien contracts that originated out of
5	your store?
6	"A. Yes.
7	"Q. Do you agree that when you see a
8	Mr. Frazier's signature being forged on a document
9	that it's pretty safe to assume it was the
10	salesperson on the transaction?
11	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
12	on the Fifth Amendment.
13	"Q. Well, let me ask you this: On Page 134 of your
14	deposition, you were asked on Line 8: 'Do you know
15	who signed his name to the various notaries
16	throughout this transaction?'
17	And your response was: 'No, I do not.'
18	And the question: 'Can you think of who would have
19	done that?'
20	And your answer was: 'It could have been anybody in
21	the office.'
22	'Question: I mean, who are the possibilities?'
23	And your response was: 'Salespeople.'
24	Was that, was that a truthful answer when you gave it
25	back in '05?

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 282
1	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
2	on the Fifth Amendment.
3	"Q. Do you know whether or not you ever used your
4	own notary stamp on a document but then signed Ben
5	Frazier's name?
6	"A. I don't remember if I would have or not.
7	"Q. Do you know whether or not you signed Ben
8	Frazier's name and used his stamp so many times that
9	it became a habit?
10	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
11	on the Fifth Amendment.
12	"Q. Were you involved in the Elizondo Herrera
13	MR. GUTIERREZ: Elizandro.
14	"Q Elizandro Herrera transaction?
15	"A. I don't know.
16	"Q. Timing wise, do you know when it is, Mr. Moore,
17	that you became a notary?
18	"A. I believe it was in November of '02.
19	"Q. And do you remember when Ben Frazier's
20	employment was terminated?
21	"A. No, I do not.
22	"Q. Did Ben Frazier leave his notary stamp back at
23	the office after his employment was terminated?
24	"A. I have no idea.
25	"Q. How is it that Ben Frazier's notary stamp

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 283
1	appears on documents that were dated and filed after
2	his employment was terminated?
3	"A. I have no idea.
4	"Q. Did you ever use his stamp after he left?
5	"A. Not that I'm aware of.
6	"Q. If we look at Exhibit 395, you can see and
7	going this is from the Secretary of State; do you
8	see that?
9	"A. Yes, uh-huh.
10	"Q. Okay. And we come down 3110 Traylor Boulevard,
11	is that your address -
12	"A. Yes.
13	"Q in Rockport?
14	And it indicates Aransas County, which is Rockport,
15	right?
16	"A. Yes.
17	"Q. It says: 'Commission Notary Public Bruce R.
18	Moore, Jr., January 26, 2007 to present;' right,
19	you're currently a notary?
20	"A. Correct.
21	"Q. And then at the time you were at Clayton Homes,
22	it looks like November 22nd, 2002, up until 2006,
23	right?
24	"A. Right.
25	"Q. Okay. Clearly, by December of 2002, Ben Frazier

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 284
1	was no longer at the store, correct?
2	"A. I don't know.
3	"Q. Let me show you Discovery Exhibit 393. I only
4	have one copy. But this is, you see, a deed of trust
5	for Mr. Herrera; do you see that?
6	"A. Yes.
7	"Q. If we"
8	(Pause playing of video deposition)
9	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we would object again 401
10	yes, your Honor, object on 401 through 403 and 404 grounds.
11	THE COURT: Overruled. Thank you.
12	(Resume playing of video deposition)
13	"Q flip to the notary page, again, you see the
14	date December 27th, 2002?
15	"A. Yes.
16	"Q. And do you know by December 2002, you would
17	have been a notary, correct?
18	"A. Correct.
19	"Q. And it appears right here to be Ben Frazier's
20	notary stamp, correct?
21	"A. Correct.
22	"Q. And do you know whether or not you used
23	Mr. Frazier's stamp and then signed his name as
24	notary public?
25	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 285
1	on the Fifth Amendment.
2	"Q. I mean, you all had to have a notary in order to
3	do these transaction, correct?
4	"A. Yes, we had to have a notary.
5	"Q. Clearly, someone in Tennessee would have known
6	that Ben Frazier no longer worked at the store?
7	"A. I have no idea.
8	"Q. But should have, right?
9	"A. I have no idea.
10	"Q. I mean if someone is doing their job and
11	auditing the transaction, they should look at stuff
12	like notary stamp signatures, those sort of things?
13	"A. I have no idea.
14	"Q. And if we look at what I've marked as Discovery
15	Exhibit 394, which is the mechanic's lien contract
16	for Mr"
17	(Pause playing video deposition)
18	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
19	Exhibit 394 on the grounds of 401, 403, 404.
20	THE COURT: Overruled.
21	(Resume playing video deposition)
22	"Q Herrera, we see again December 2nd I mean
23	December 27th, 2002, the same date; do you see that?
24	"A. Correct.
25	"Q. And then we look, and this is your notary stamp,

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 286
1	correct?
2	"A. Correct.
3	"Q. And if we look over here, is that your
4	signature?
5	"A. Yes.
6	"Q. Do you know who it is that the deed of trust
7	would have Ben Frazier's notary stamp and this one
8	would have yours?
9	"A. No idea.
10	"Q. Do you think it's just a coincidence?
11	"A. I have no idea.
12	"Q. And if we look down, it looks like you actually
13	stamped it with Ben Frazier, and then realized that
14	you were a notary, and then used your notary stamp,
15	correct?
16	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
17	on the Fifth Amendment.
18	"Q. Well, if you look, doesn't it look like
19	doesn't it look like to you, Mr. Moore, that you
20	stamped it Ben Frazier, forged his signature right
21	there and then realized that, 'Oh, I'm a notary. I
22	can go ahead and notarize it myself'?
23	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
24	on the Fifth Amendment.
25	"Q. During the time that you worked at Clayton

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 287
1	Homes, you would go to these Clayton academies,
2	right?
3	"A. I went there one time.
4	"Q. and you would go to the academy there in
5	Tennessee, I guess?
6	"A. Yes.
7	"Q. And it would be a time where a bunch of sales
8	associates throughout the country go and share ideas
9	on ways to sell homes, right?
10	"A. Correct.
11	"Q. And people would come up with different ideas
12	and different ways of how you're able to sell homes
13	in your area, correct?
14	"A. Correct.
15	"Q. Did you ever share anyone share with anyone
16	at any of these academies how it is that you were
17	successful in selling a lot of homes in the Corpus
18	Christi store?
19	"A. We talked about the land-in-lieu deals.
20	"Q. When you were there and talking to the other"
21	(Pause playing video deposition)
22	MR. SOLTERO: Objection as to this clip as
23	THE COURT: Sorry?
24	MR. SOLTERO: I would object to the clip, it calls
25	for speculation and

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 288
1	MR. RUMLEY: I agree. I agree to it, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	(Counsel confer)
4	(Resume playing video deposition)
5	"Q (BY MR. GUTIERREZ) I have a few questions for
6	you. One of the things that you have told us is that
7	you became a notary public IN November of 2002; is
8	that correct?
9	"A. Correct.
10	"Q. All right. You went to work for Clayton Homes
11	in September of 199?
12	"A. Correct.
13	"Q. Is that correct? And when you went to work for
14	Clayton Homes, Ben Frazier was already working there,
15	is that correct?
16	"A. Correct.
17	"Q. And Ben Frazier was a notary?
18	"A. Correct.
19	"Q. Okay. I will represent to you that Mr. Frazier
20	has testified that he got terminated, he got fired in
21	October of October 25th of 2002. Okay?
22	"A. Okay.
23	"Q. during the time that Mr. Frazier was there, he
24	was the only individual that was a notary; is that
25	true or not true?

	•
	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 289
1	"A. I believe John was also a notary.
2	"Q. John Wells would have been the manager, right?
3	"A. Correct.
4	"Q. Okay. As far as salespersons were concerned,
5	certainly, you were not a notary during the time that
6	Ben Frazier was there, right?
7	"A. Correct.
8	"Q. Okay. Eric Chapelle was not a notary during the
9	time that Benjamin Frazier was there?
10	"A. I don't know when Eric became a notary.
11	"Q. Okay. Jay Burke, John Burke was not a notary
12	when Benjamin Frazier was there?
13	"A. I don't remember when he got his notary.
14	"Q. Christopher Lance Kimball was not a notary when
15	Benjamin Frazier was there?
16	"A. I don't remember when Lance got his, either.
17	"Q. What we do know is that you were not a notary
18	while he was there, right?
19	"A. Correct.
20	"Q. Your testimony in February of 2005, your
21	testimony under oath in February of 2005, was that
22	you had, on occasions, signed the signature of
23	Benjamin Frazier and used his notary stamp, you had
24	forged the signature of John Wells to appraisal
25	documents and appraisal forms, and you had also

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 290
1	forged the signature of John Wells to arbitration
2	agreement.
3	Was that, in summary, some of your testimony back in
4	February of 2005?
5	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
6	on the Fifth Amendment.
7	"Q. And you also testified that John Wells knew that
8	you were forging signatures?
9	"Q. You testified to that in February of 2005, isn't
10	that true?
11	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
12	on the Fifth Amendment.
13	"Q. If you could, look at the documents, the
14	exhibits that are in front of you. And look for the
15	arbitration agreement excuse me not both the
16	arbitration agreement, Mr. Moore, as well as the
17	appraisal form and
18	Have you found them?
19	"A. Yes, sir.
20	"Q. Okay. And I believe earlier you were shown
21	where you testified, first of all, that you were the
22	person that was doing the appraisals and not John
23	Wells; you were signing his name to the appraisals
24	but you were filling out the reports.
25	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 291
1	on the Fifth Amendment.
2	"Q. And earlier, when Mr. Rumley showed you that
3	form that's called standard appraisal form that has
4	customer name William B. Medina, that was the
5	appraisal form that you specifically identified in
6	your prior testimony of February 2005 where you
7	testified under oath that you had filled out this
8	appraisal report and you had signed John Wells' name
9	to it; is that true?
10	"A. In my previous testimony?
11	"Q. Your testimony of February of 2005.
12	"A. I don't remember.
13	"Q. In fact, you were also asked by Mr. Rumley
14	so and down, Line 21:
15	He asked: 'So he didn't do an appraisal?'
16	He, meaning John Wells.
17	And your answer was: 'It was just fill in the
18	blanks. He was aware of it.'
19	Do you remember that?
20	"A. No, I don't remember that.
21	"Q. Does that refresh your memory?
22	"A. I don't remember that. I mean, I'm reading it,
23	but I don't remember it.
24	"Q. Well, it is true? Is that true, what you
25	what you testified to back in February of 2005?

292 Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based 1 2 on the Fifth Amendment. 3 "O. Neither yourself nor Mr. Wells were professional appraisers? 4 5 "A. Correct. 6 "Q. But if that was one of the requirements; that 7 is, that Vanderbilt needed in order to fund a loan, to process a loan, they would need a professional 9 appraisal from you, it's very apparent that they were 10 funding deals and funding loans with just opinions 11 from John Wells, a sales manager, and/or in the 12 case -- in your case, Mr. Moore, from you? 13 Just send in opinions in an appraisal form of what 14 you thought and what your guess was of the fair 15 market value of property. Isn't that what Vanderbilt 16 was doing, accepting your opinions? 17 "A. Yes. 18 One of the cases that is pending in Federal 19 Court right now is a case that is styled Cesar Flores 20 and Alvin King against Vanderbilt, Clayton Homes, and 21 others. Okay? Okay. 22 "A. 23 "Q. Are you with me? 24 There's some intervenors by the name of Maria 25 Trevino, who would by party plaintiffs as well, Maria

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 293
1	M. Trevino and Arturo Trevino. Have you ever heard
2	those names before?
3	"A. No, not that I can remember.
4	"Q. How about Cesar Flores, Alvin King?
5	"A. No.
6	"Q. Now, before I show you a deed of trust
7	"Q which has now been marked as Discovery
8	Exhibit 397, before I show you that document, there
9	is no doubt in your previous testimony but that you
10	were signing Ben Frazier's name, using his stamp on
11	document that were being filed at courthouses all
12	over South Texas?
13	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
14	on the Fifth Amendment.
15	"Q. Let me show you Discovery Exhibit Number
16	MR. RUMLEY: 397.
17	"Q 397.
18	"Q. This is a deed of trust, okay, and what is the
19	date can you see the date on there?
20	"A. It looks like it says the 7th of January, 2002.
21	"Q. January 7th of 2000 and what? In 1 or 2002?
22	"A. The top one says '2.
23	"Q. Okay. January 7th of 2002, were you still
24	working for Clayton Homes
25	"A. Yes.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 294
1	"Q at that time?
2	Sir?
3	"A. Yes.
4	"Q. You were not a notary at that time, is that
5	correct?
6	"A. Correct.
7	"Q. Let me ask you: Did you forge any of the
8	signatures on this page of this document?
9	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
10	on the Fifth Amendment.
11	"Q. On Page 17 of this same document, I'll ask you
12	again: Did you forge any of the signatures,
13	Mr. Moore, that are shown on this, on this page?
14	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
15	on the Fifth Amendment.
16	"Q. I'm now going to show you what's been marked as
17	Discovery Exhibit 398. And this is the mechanic lien
18	contract, also in what I have identified as the
19	Flores/King case, Trevino case.
20	And same question: You were not a notary back on
21	January 7th of 2002, is that correct?
22	"A. Correct.
23	"Q. And the question that I that I have for you
24	is: Mr. Moore, did you forge any of the signatures
25	on this page of this document?

295 Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based 1 2 on the Fifth Amendment. Same question: Did you forge any of the 3 "O. signatures on this page, Mr. Moore? 4 5 "A. No, I did not. 6 "Q. Again, Mr. Moore, we already have your previous 7 testimony where you testified under oath, never invoked your Fifth Amendment protection or privilege, but did testify under that you were signing John 10 Wells' signature to document, including arbitration 11 agreements, as well as appraisal forms. 12 And I'm going to show you a document now, sir --13 "Q. -- Discovery Exhibit 399, an arbitration 14 agreement. 15 And I'll ask you: Did you forge any of the 16 signatures on this document, Mr. Moore? 17 "A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based on the Fifth Amendment. 18 19 "Q. One of the things that you testified back in 20 February of '05 was that all during the time that you 21 were working for Clayton Homes -- that was from 22 September 28th, 1999, through the time that you were 23 fired on September 3rd of 2004, Clayton Homes had nothing in place that would have prevented you from 24 25 doing exactly what you were doing, correct?

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 296
1	"A. I wasn't fired.
2	"Q. You left voluntarily?
3	"A. Yes.
4	"Q. Okay. That you left voluntarily.
5	"A. I left voluntarily. What was the other part of
6	your question? I'm sorry.
7	"Q. That Clayton Homes had nothing in place that
8	would have prevented you from doing what you were
9	doing.
10	"A. I don't understand your question.
11	"Q. Do you remember testifying to that?
12	"A. I'm not understanding what you're saying by what
13	I was doing.
14	"A. Well, you were signing person's names. You were
15	signing Frazier's name. You were signing John Wells'
16	name. The only thing that you've, I think, denied is
17	forging customers' names, right?
18	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
19	on the Fifth Amendment.
20	"Q. (BY MR. RUMLEY) Certainly, at the time that you
21	were using Ben Frazier's notary stamp and signing his
22	names to documents you knew that you weren't a notary
23	public?
24	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
25	on the Fifth Amendment.

	Moore - Direct / By Video Deposition 297
1	"Q. But you as an employee, when you were filing
2	these documents, the deeds of trust, you were doing
3	so on behalf of Clayton Homes
4	"Q. Or CMH?
5	"A. Yes.
6	"Q. You'll agree with me, Mr. Moore, that if you
7	didn't do anything wrong, you would have absolutely
8	nothing to hide, correct?
9	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
10	on the Fifth Amendment.
11	"Q. This company never did anything at all to
12	discipline you for everything that we've talked about
13	here today, did it?
14	"Q. True?
15	"A. On advice of Counsel, I decline to answer based
16	on the Fifth Amendment.
17	"Q. In fact, this company didn't even fire you,
18	correct?
19	"A. Correct.
20	(End playing video deposition of Bruce Robin Moore by
21	Defendants)
22	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Your Honor, that concludes our
23	offer.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, we have a brief proffer on

	Moore - Cross / By Video Deposition 298
1	Mr. Moore.
2	(Pause)
3	FOR PLAINTIFFS
4	BRUCE ROBIN MOORE BY VIDEO DEPOSITION
5	"Q. (BY MR. RANGEL) During the time that you worked
6	for CMH Homes, did you at any time sign the name of a
7	customer or a landowner to any document?
8	"A. No, sir.
9	"Q. In several you've been asked questions about
10	several transactions, correct?
11	"A. Yes, sir.
12	"Q. And these transactions took place some years
13	ago, correct?
14	"A. Yes, sir.
15	"Q. Did these transactions take place some years
16	ago?
17	"A. Yes, sir.
18	"Q. And have you tried to respond to these questions
19	that you've been asked to the best of your ability?
20	"A. Yes, sir.
21	"Q. I will hand you what's been marked as Discovery
22	Exhibit Number 397, which is a deed of trust, and ask
23	you to go to Page 5 of the document. Do you have the
24	document in front you?
25	"A. Yes, sir.

	Moore - Cross / By Video Deposition 299
1	"Q. And do you see two signature lines there, one
2	for Maria Trevino and one for Arturo Trevino?
3	"A. Yes, sir.
4	"Q. Did you sign the name of Maria Trevino or Arturo
5	Trevino to that document?
6	"A. No, sir.
7	"Q. I will hand you what's been marked as Discovery
8	Exhibit Number 398, which is a builder's and
9	mechanic's lien contract, and ask you to look at
10	Page 5 of the document. Do you have the document in
11	front of you?
12	"A. Yes, sir.
13	"Q. On Page 5 are there two signature lines, one for
14	Maria Trevino and one for Arturo Trevino?
15	"A. Yes, sir.
16	"Q. Did you sign the name of Maria Trevino or Arturo
17	Trevino
18	"A. No, sir.
19	"Q to that document?
20	"A. No, sir.
21	"Q. I will hand you what's been marked as Discovery
22	Exhibit Number 399, which is an arbitration
23	agreement, and ask you if there are some signature
24	lines for Cesar Flores and Alvin King.
25	"A. Yes, sir.

	Moore - Cross / By Video Deposition 300
1	"Q. Did you sign the names of Cesar Flores or Alvin
2	King to that document?
3	"A. No, sir.
4	"Q. During the time that you were employed by CMH
5	Homes and you worked at Lot 214, did you, at any
6	time, have any discussions or conversations with any
7	CMH Homes employee or representative outside of
8	Lot 214 regarding the notary practices that were
9	being followed at 214?
10	"A. No.
11	"Q. You never talked to anybody in Tennessee about
12	those practices, did you?
13	"A. No.
14	"Q. Did you ever talk to anybody in Tennessee with
15	Clayton Homes or any other entity about the notary
16	practices at Lot 214?
17	"A. No."
18	(End playing video deposition of Bruce Robin Moore by
19	Plaintiffs)
20	MR. SOLTERO: Your Honor, that concludes our proffer
21	for Mr. Moore.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	Call your next witness.
24	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: We would call David Barton by
25	deposition.

	Barton - Direct / By Video Deposition 301
1	THE COURT: Go right ahead.
2	DEFENDANTS' WITNESS
3	DAVID BARTON BY VIDEO DEPOSITION
4	(Witness sworn)
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. RUMLEY:
7	"Q. Sir, could you identify yourself for us?
8	"A. My name is David Barton.
9	"Q. What year did you start working for Vanderbilt?
10	"A. In 1990.
11	"Q. An in 1990, what were you what position were
12	you fired for?
13	"A. A collector.
14	"Q. Has Vanderbilt ever outsourced any of its
15	collection calls?
16	"A. No, sir.
17	"Q. So if anybody calls any customer in an attempt
18	to collect on a debt for Vanderbilt, it would be
19	someone that is employed by Vanderbilt?
20	"A. Yes.
21	"Q. To this day Vanderbilt still finances new home
22	sales from company-owned stores throughout the United
23	States?
24	"A. That is correct.
25	"Q. Today, you no longer finance new home Clayton

	Barton - Direct / By Video Deposition 302
1	Homes' sales from independent stores?
2	"A. That's correct.
3	"Q. Where did you go after you were doing the
4	independent financing for the independent stores?
5	"A. I became the collections manager.
б	"Q. what year would that be?
7	"A. Approximately '98, '99.
8	"Q. Okay. And is that your position today?
9	"A. Yes.
10	"Q. Are you an officer or anything like that?
11	"A. Of I am an officer of Vanderbilt.
12	"Q. And what title is that?
13	"A. Assistant secretary.
14	"Q. What type of training are the account reps
15	given? You hire them before they're out there
16	actually making phone calls.
17	"A. They will go through a two-week training class
18	at our home office, and then once they're on the
19	floor, their training will continue with their
20	supervisor.
21	"Q. And are the account reps provided with specific
22	training on the various laws or requirements in the
23	particular region that they're going to be working?
24	"A. We provide them with training on, you know, what
25	to do, what not to do, you know, how to conduct

	Barton - Direct / By Video Deposition 303
1	themselves on the phone and various things like that.
2	"Q. At any point during the collection or the
3	calling on the delinquent accounts do they look for
4	red type red flag type items in the various
5	documents on the loan file?
6	"A. I'm not sure what you mean by red flag type
7	items."
8	"Q. Well"
9	(Pause playing video deposition)
10	(Counsel confer)
11	(Resume playing video deposition)
12	"Q in your training manual, doesn't it talk
13	about looking for red flags?
14	"A. It does.
15	"Q. Well, then tell me what red flags means in the
16	training manual.
17	"A. Red flags in the training manual is referencing
18	things about the customer's change in circumstances.
19	If you know, a lost of a job would be a red flag,
20	something of that nature. So we train them to listen
21	for things of that nature, changes in the customer's
22	circumstances.
23	"Q. And what's the purpose for looking for red
24	flags?
25	"A To determine if the customer's ability to

	Barton - Direct / By Video Deposition 304
1	pay. If they've had a loss of job, then, obviously,
2	their ability to pay would be affected.
3	"Q. If they find some red flags, what are they
4	supposed to do?
5	"A. They would ask the appropriate questions to the
6	customer.
7	"Q. What about if a deed of trust is not notarized;
8	is that a red flag?
9	"A. That's something that we would look for.
10	"Q. If you discovered that a deed of trust was
11	forged; is that something that would be a red flag?
12	"A. if we discovered it, yes.
13	"Q. What if you discovered that someone forged the
14	signature of the notary; would that be a red flag?
15	"A. If we discovered it, it would be something we
16	would certainly pay attention to, yes.
17	"Q. And what would you do?
18	"A. In this case the if there was"
19	(Pause playing video deposition)
20	MR. THAGARD: Your Honor, can we pause here?
21	(Counsel confer)
22	(Resume playing video deposition)
23	"Q. At any point during the collection or the
24	calling on the delinquent accounts do they look for
25	red type red flag type items in the various

	Barton - Direct / By Video Deposition 305
1	documents on the loan file?
2	"A. I'm not sure what you mean by red flag type
3	items.
4	"Q. Well, what about if a deed of trust is not
5	notarized; is that a red flag?
6	"A. That's something that we would look for.
7	"Q. If you discovered that a deed of trust was
8	forged; is that something that would be a red flag?
9	"A. If we discovered it, yes.
10	"Q. What if you discovered that someone forged the
11	signature of the notary; would that be a red flag?
12	"A. If we discovered it, it would be something we
13	would certainly pay attention to, yes.
14	"Q. And what would you do?
15	"A. In this case, the if there was forgery, we
16	would contact the people involved and the
17	customer, for example, and determine if, in fact, it
18	was forged.
19	"Q. And then what would you do?
20	"A. Based on what we found out, we could do if we
21	believed it to be valid, we would obviously do
22	nothing. But if we found it to be if we believed
23	it to forged, then we would possibly release our lien
24	on the deed of trust, on the land.
25	"Q. And would you then tell the customer?

Barton - Direct / By Video Deposition 306 1 "A. Not necessarily. 2 "O. Why not? Well, if we release the lien on the land, that 3 "A. is a matter of public record. 4 5 If you discover that the sales rep is the one notarizing the documents, and he tells you, 'My name 6 7 was forged. My signature was forged. Someone pretended to be a notary public on these documents', 9 okay, you know that to be true because he told you 10 that to be true. Would you notify the customer? 11 I mean, in this hypothetical, if the -- I mean, 12 it would depend on a lot of circumstances. I mean, 13 we wouldn't necessarily always contact the customer 14 to inform them, no. 15 When would you? 16 I'm not -- I can't think of a reason necessarily "A. 17 why we would. 18 Why wouldn't you? "O. 19 "A. I mean, if it was determined that we -- that 20 there was a forgery or a problem with the deed, then it would be a -- it would be just as likely that we 21 22 release our lien on the deed and send that to the, 23 send that to the county. 24 If you go to Page 12 -- and you have an 25 understanding, right, of the Fair Debt Collection

	Barton - Direct / By Video Deposition 307
1	Practices Act?
2	"A. Yes, I do.
3	"Q. And then this is saying what type of debt
4	collection practices are not allowed.
5	Harassment
6	Q what does the law say? What can they do and
7	cannot do as far as harassing someone?
8	"A. I mean, I could I mean, I could read this to
9	you.
10	"Q. Well, we can read it. I want you, as the as
11	the top person of this company, the collection
12	manager, to tell me and this jury what your reps can
13	and cannot do as far as harassing them.
14	"A. Well, we can't harass them. Harassment would
15	include phone calls of a repetitive nature, abusive
16	conversations on the phone, things of that nature.
17	"Q. Do you agree with me that it would be:
18	(Pause playing of video deposition)
19	MR. THAGARD: Your Honor, we're going to lodge an
20	objection. He stopped it early.
21	(Resume playing of video deposition)
22	"Q an unfair debt collection practice to attempt
23	to collect on a debt that has already been paid?
24	(Pause playing of video deposition)
25	MR. THAGARD: Your Honor, we object, the question

```
308
                Barton - Direct / By Video Deposition
    calls for a legal conclusion.
1
 2
              THE COURT: Would you repeat the question again,
 3
    please.
 4
              MR. THAGARD: "Do you agree with me that it would be
    an unfair debt collection practice --"
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 7
              MR. THAGARD: We have an objection to this question
 8
    too, your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: What is it?
10
              MR. THAGARD: The question is: "You agree with me
11
    that it would be a misrepresentation in the collection of a
12
    debt to tell the customer that they still owe when, indeed, it
13
    has been paid in full?" Same objection, calls for a legal
14
    conclusion.
15
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
16
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: That's not a legal conclusion, you
17
    know, asking for a legal conclusion. He's asking if that's a
18
    misrepresentation. He testified --
19
              THE COURT: I don't think that that's a legal
20
    conclusion.
21
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Thank you.
22
              THE COURT: So overruled. Thank you.
23
         (Resume playing of video deposition)
24
              "WITNESS: If the debt had been paid in full and we
25
              knowingly told the customer that they still owed that
```

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 309
1	debt, then that would certainly be a violation of our
2	policies."
3	(End playing video deposition of David Barton by
4	Defendants)
5	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: That concludes our offer, your
6	Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	Do you have anything to offer on this?
9	MR. THAGARD: No, your Honor, we do not.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	Call your next witness.
12	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: We call Hugh Statum by deposition.
13	THE COURT: Go ahead.
14	DEFENDANTS' WITNESS
15	HUGH STATUM BY VIDEO DEPOSITION
16	(Witness sworn)
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. RUMLEY:
19	"Q. Sir, could you identify yourself for us?
20	"A. My name is Hugh Talmadge Statum III. I go by
21	Tab.
22	"Q. Do you understand what we're doing here today?
23	"A. Yes, sir.
24	"Q. And so the jury understands, you are vice
25	president of the retail end of this Clayton family of

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 310
1	companies, correct?
2	"A. Vice president of operations, yes, sir.
3	"Q. The company in which you are an officer is the
4	company responsible for the stores that are out there
5	selling homes to customers, correct?
6	"A. Yes, sir.
7	"Q. And I understand that you yourself may not
8	personally oversee these stores and leave that to
9	regional managers and that sort of thing, but
10	ultimately, as vice president of the retail company,
11	you're over those regional managers, correct?
12	"A. No, sir. They do not report to me.
13	"Q. Who do they report to?
14	"A. They report to the zone vice presidents.
15	"Q. Who do the zone vice presidents report to?
16	"A. Mr. Booth.
17	"Q. And so Mr. Booth would be the person that would
18	be ultimately over the operations of the retail
19	stores?
20	"A. Yes, sir.
21	"A. In between the two manufacturing
22	"Q. Okay. Tell me what what your
23	responsibilities are as vice president of operations.
24	"A. I'm responsible for the overall infrastructure
25	and and support systems and the people in the home

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 311
1	office that support the home centers out in the
2	field.
3	"Q. Do you have any responsibility over supervising
4	or managing the day to day operations of the retail
5	stores?
6	"A. No, sir.
7	"Q. Do you recall looking at any of the issues that
8	were going on with the employees in Store 214?
9	"A. No, sir.
10	"Q. Were you involved at all in the changes in
11	policies and procedures that arose out of the out
12	of what the employees were doing in in Store 214?
13	"A. I don't recall, I may have been.
14	"Q. What what changes were made to the policies
15	and procedures from the conduct of the employees of
16	Store 214?
17	"A. We would I believe that we came out with a
18	policy relating to notary guidelines and where the
19	transactions were actually to be closed. Those are
20	the only two that I can think of.
21	"Q. What were the changes with respect to notary
22	guidelines?
23	"A. We advised that anyone who was receiving a
24	financial benefit from the transaction was not
25	supposed to be the notary on the transaction.

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 312
1	"Q. My understanding that that policy, when it came
2	into effect, not only applied to Texas but it applied
3	to company-wide, all the states that you do business
4	in, correct?
5	"A. That's my understanding, yes, sir.
6	"Q. Do you understand why you've put in that that
7	policy that someone who has a financial interest
8	can't notarize a document?
9	"A. Makes sense.
10	"Q. Why does it make sense?
11	"A. It's just you wouldn't want the same person
12	notarizing the document that was doing the
13	transaction.
14	"Q. You signed a number of mechanic lien release
15	releases, correct?
16	"A. Yes, sir.
17	"Q. All right. Do you know whether or not the
18	documents that pertain to the file in which you filed
19	the release, whether or not those documents were
20	notarized by someone that had a financial interest in
21	the transaction?
22	"A. I don't know.
23	"Q. Was that one of the reasons why you released
24	or you signed all these mechanic lien releases?
25	"A. I don't know.

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 313
1	"Q. Was there anything done to notify any of your
2	customers about the notary problems that were
3	occurring out of Store 214?
4	"A. I don't know.
5	"Q. If you were aware that an installment contract
6	or a deed of trust or mechanic's lien contract has
7	been forged what would you do to notify the customer?
8	"A. If I was aware that a document had been signed
9	by someone other than the customer?
10	"Q. Yes, sir.
11	"A. How would we notify the customer? If I became
12	aware of that I would have brought it to the
13	attention of the zone vice president for that area
14	and let him investigate what might have occurred
15	there.
16	"Q. Would you notify the customer?
17	"A. I wouldn't, no, sir.
18	"Q. You wouldn't tell the customer?
19	"A. Not me personally, no, sir.
20	"Q. Should someone tell the customer?
21	"A. I don't know.
22	"Q. Would you want to be told if someone forged your
23	signature to a document and then went and filed it in
24	the country records?
25	"A. If someone forged my signature and filed with

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 314
1	the county records, yes, sir, I would want to be
2	told.
3	"Q. Do you believe that your customers should be
4	told if a company is filing documents in the country
5	court records that relate to their land?
6	"A. I believe that the customer should be told about
7	documents that are being filed in relation to their
8	land?
9	"Q. Yes, sir.
10	"A. Yes, sir.
11	"Q. If someone is going to file a document related
12	to their land in the country records, the customer
13	should be aware of it, right?
14	"A. Yes, sir.
15	"Q. If your company is going to file a release that
16	relates to their land or a release that relates to
17	the installment contract, that customer should be
18	told that you all are filing a release, correct?
19	"A. I believe that a customer should be aware of any
20	activity that's going on with their transaction.
21	"Q. If Clayton Homes wanted to communicate with
22	their customer they had the ability to do it,
23	correct?
24	"A. I believe so, yes, sir.
25	"Q. Again, we're looking at what's previously marked

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 315
1	as Discovery Exhibit 36. This is the release that
2	was filed by Mr. Statum in the Medina matter, and it
3	says 'C"
4	(Pause playing video deposition)
5	MR. THAGARD: Your Honor, I'm going to object for the
6	same reason I did earlier, it's another transaction, 401, 403,
7	and 404.
8	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: 214 store transaction document.
9	THE COURT: Overruled. Thank you.
10	(Resume playing video deposition)
11	"Q 'MH Homes Inc. does hereby release the lien
12	of said mechanic's lien contract and has been paid in
13	full,' do you see that?
14	"A. Yes, sir.
15	"Q. What's been paid in full?
16	"A. The mechanic's lien release is a release of the
17	obligation as it relates to the mechanic's lien
18	contract.
19	"Q. What was paid in full has been paid in full,
20	what was paid in full?
21	"A. The mechanic's lien contract is what that
22	release refers to.
23	"Q. So the contract was paid in full?
24	"A. The mechanic's lien contract was paid in full.
25	"Q. So the contract was paid in full?

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 316
1	"A. I don't know how else to say it. That's a
2	mechanic's lien release of the mechanic's lien
3	contract.
4	"Q. What is your understanding of what paid in full
5	means?
6	"A. What is my understanding of the word what does
7	paid in full mean?
8	"Q. Yes, sir.
9	"A. Pretty simple words, paid in full.
10	"Q. Means that's paid in full, right?
11	"A. Paid in full.
12	"Q. I think
13	"Q. If a loan is paid in full and a customer
14	continues to make payments then they're making
15	payments they no longer owe, correct?
16	"A. That sounds logical to me, yes, sir.
17	"Q. Do you know how many builder's or mechanic lien
18	releases were filed in South Texas with this exact
19	language saying has been paid in full?
20	"A. No, sir.
21	"Q. Has anyone told you the numbers?
22	"A. No, sir.
23	"Q. Would it surprise you if it's if it's
24	(Pause playing video deposition)
25	MR. THAGARD: Your Honor, we will object to this next

```
Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition
                                                                  317
 1
    question. Can we approach the bench, please?
 2
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
 3
         (Begin bench conference at 4:48 p.m.)
              THE COURT: The question is "Would it surprise you if
 4
 5
    it's -- if it's more than a thousand, 'talking about filing
    releases.
               There's --
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Sustained.
         (End bench conference at 4:49 p.m.)
 8
 9
              MR. THAGARD: Your Honor, that goes to the next
10
    question too.
11
              THE COURT: Same thing. Thank you.
         (End bench conference at 4:49:11)
12
13
         (Counsel confer)
14
         (Resume playing video deposition)
15
               "A. No, sir."
16
              "Q. -- I think we have three or four stacks of them
17
              here -- that all of them were filed within a very
18
              short time period and all of them related to
19
              Store 214, can you give the jury an explanation why
20
              it is that this company filed these mass releases
21
              during the fall of 2005?
22
                   I have -- I don't have any personal knowledge of
23
              exactly why the decision was made to file -- to
24
              release these.
25
               "O.
                   Who made the decision?
```

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 318
1	"A. I don't know.
2	"Q. What did you hear?
3	"A. I don't recall anything in particular.
4	"Q. What about in general?
5	"A. Other than I was aware of the vaguely aware
6	of some of the issues that were going on at Store 214
7	and then these releases were filed, that's about the
8	extent of my involvement.
9	"Q. What issues were you vaguely did you vaguely
10	know about?
11	"A. That some lawsuits had been brought related to
12	that location.
13	"Q. What else?
14	"A. That's it.
15	"Q. Why would why would a company file all these
16	releases simply because a couple of lawsuits were
17	filed?
18	"A. I don't know. I didn't make that decision.
19	"Q. Did CMH make a determination that fraud and
20	forgery was was occurring in Store 214?
21	"A. Not that I'm aware of.
22	"Q. Were you ever told about individuals who
23	testified under oath like you're doing here today,
24	that their signature was forged?
25	"A. I don't recall it, no, sir.

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 319
1	"Q. No one has ever told you that.
2	"A. I don't believe so, no, sir.
3	"Q. Would it concern you if there were a number of
4	individuals who testified under oath that their
5	signatures had been forged?
6	"A. Would it concern me if customers' signatures
7	if someone had signed a customer's name to a
8	document?
9	"Q. Yes, sir.
10	"A. Yes, sir.
11	"Q. Would it concern you if we have individuals
12	within your stores who were forging signatures?
13	"A. If they were signing customers' names, yes, sir,
14	that would concern me.
15	"Q. Should employees be signing other employees'
16	names?
17	"A. No, sir.
18	"Q. For example, did you know that after
19	Mr. Frazier, who was a sales associate in this store,
20	had left after he had been terminated that the store
21	continued to use his notary stamp and they just
22	forged his signature
23	"Q. Were you aware of that?
24	"A. I believe I was aware of that.
25	"Q. Does that concern you?

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 320
1	"A. Yes, sir.
2	"Q. If the installment contract is paid in full then
3	they no longer owe on the home.
4	"A. If a retail installment contract related to the
5	home is paid in full then I would think that they
6	would not owe on the home.
7	"Q. I have marked as Discovery Exhibit 92 the front
8	page of the deposition of Bruce Robin Moore dated
9	that took place February 24th, 2005. And can you
10	confirm for me that Tom Hodges was present?
11	"A. Yes, sir.
12	"Q. Did you know that Mr. Moore testified in that
13	deposition that he actually forged signatures?
14	"A. No, sir.
15	"Q. If you were aware of that would that concern
16	you?
17	"A. Yes, sir.
18	"Q. Certainly if the general counsel of this company
19	is at the deposition and you heard that, that should
20	concern him?
21	"A. Yes, sir.
22	"Q. Do you know what action he took to try to remedy
23	that situation, if anything?
24	"A. No, sir, I do not.
25	"Q. From a an operational standpoint, do you know

	Statum - Direct / By Video Deposition 321
1	whether or not any of the conduct that was discussed
2	in any of these depositions was communicated to you?
3	
	"A. I don't recall specifics of any of the
4	depositions being discussed with me, no, sir.
5	"Q. Individuals testifying that they forged
6	signatures, you don't recall that ever being told to
7	you in any form?
8	"A. No, sir.
9	"Q. Me asking you or telling you or telling you that
10	today is the first time you've heard of that?
11	"A. I do not recall being told that that anyone
12	had admitted or testified that they had forged a
13	document, no, sir.
14	"Q. How about that they had forged signatures to
15	notary blocks?
16	"Q. You never heard of that before today?
17	"A. I was aware that there were issues with the
18	notary, yes, sir.
19	"Q. Issues with the notary that they were forging
20	the signature of a notary
21	"Q. Are you aware of that?
22	"A. Yes, sir.
23	"Q. Is that appropriate?
24	"A. No, sir.
25	"Q. On those documents where the notary was forged

	Statum - Cross / By Video Deposition 322	
1	do you think you have an obligation to go tell the	
2	customer?	
3	"A. I don't know, sir.	
4	"Q. You admit that there were notary problems going	
5	on, right?	
6	"A. I believe that there were some notary issues	
7	going on, yes, sir."	
8	(End playing video deposition of Hugh Statum by	
9	Defendants)	
10	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: That concludes our offer of Hugh	
11	Statum.	
12	MR. THAGARD: Your Honor, we have a short proffer, a	
13	short proffer.	
14	THE COURT: Go ahead.	
15	FOR PLAINTIFFS	
16	HUGH STATUM BY VIDEO DEPOSITION	
17	"Q. Do you have any responsibility over supervising	
18	or managing the day to day operations of the retail	
19	stores?	
20	"A. No, sir.	
21	(Pause playing video deposition)	
22	MR. THAGARD: Can we turn that up, please,	
23	your Honor?	
24	THE COURT: Yes, sir. Sorry.	
25	(Resume playing video deposition)	

	Statum - Cross / By Video Deposition 323
1	"Q any responsibility over supervising or
2	managing the day to day operations of the retail
3	stores?
4	"A. No, sir.
5	"Q. And why wouldn't you pay on your house?
6	"A. Why wouldn't they pay for their home?
7	"Q. Yes, sir.
8	"A. I don't know. They they bought the home.
9	They should pay for it. They agreed to pay for it.
10	"Q. Unless it's paid for, right?
11	"A. These mechanic's lien releases relate to the
12	landowner, which is separate from the home and the
13	homeowner. They could or couldn't be the same person
14	who owns the home owns the land. I haven't each one
15	individually.
16	"Q. If the home is paid in full then they no longer
17	owe on the home, am I right?
18	"A. If a home is paid in full then they would no
19	longer owe on the home. Mechanic's lien release
20	doesn't deal with the home.
21	"Q. Well, what you are aware of is following the
22	filing of those suits new policies and procedures
23	were put into place at this company where you
24	actually have notary guidelines now and you close at
25	the title company, right?

	Statum - Cross / By Video Deposition 324
1	"A. That's correct.
2	"Q. And now there's actually a system that was put
3	into place by Berkshire Hathaway where you can call
4	the 1-800 number and report the fraud, correct?
5	"A. I believe that Berkshire Hathaway had a system
6	that they used with all of their other companies.
7	It's not necessarily for fraud. It's just report
8	anything that you might want to have discussed or
9	investigated. And whenever we were acquired by
10	Berkshire Hathaway they asked that we put that same
11	1-800 number system in place."
12	(End playing video deposition of Hugh Statum by
13	Plaintiffs)
14	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: May we approach?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	(Begin bench conference at 4:58 p.m.)
17	THE COURT: Are we done?
18	MR. RANGEL: Yes, we're done for now.
19	THE COURT: I didn't know if you were done.
20	MR. RANGEL: We wanted to make sure we wouldn't get
21	in trouble with the Court, so we're done for today.
22	MR. UNIDENTIFIED: For today.
23	THE COURT: How much do you have?
24	MR. B. GUTIERREZ: I have a few more witnesses and I
25	think we should

```
325
 1
              THE COURT:
                         By deposition?
 2
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: I have probably two more by
    deposition.
 3
 4
              THE COURT:
                          How long?
 5
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: One is like 45 minutes and then --
              THE COURT: We're not doing that one. Have you got a
 6
 7
    three-minute one?
 8
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: No, sir -- I mean, no, your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          I call you her and you call me ma'am.
10
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Sorry, your Honor. I apologize.
                              (Indiscernible) demand at work.
11
              MR. LOCHRIDGE:
12
              THE COURT:
                          Pardon?
13
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: A baseball game.
14
              THE COURT: You missed it.
15
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: Judge, you said 5:00 o'clock; it's
16
    5:00 o'clock now.
17
              THE COURT: (Indiscernible)
18
         (End bench conference at 4:59 p.m.)
19
              THE COURT: I think we're done for today and for your
20
    scheduling purposes I'm going to ask the lawyers if it's okay
    if I tell the jury it looks like that we may conclude with the
21
22
    evidence on Monday, or at the latest Tuesday morning.
23
              MR. RANGEL: We're working towards that, your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: And which means we'll try to have the
25
    case to you Tuesday afternoon.
                                     I'm going to give the lawyers
```

326 probably an hour each to do closing arguments and then I'll 1 2 read you the charge of the Court, but that's after the evidence is concluded. 3 We need to take some time to do what we call a 4 5 charging conference to try to work out what kind of a charge 6 we're going to give you on Tuesday, and so we may take some 7 time Tuesday morning to do that. In any event, we'll let you 8 know Monday how we are progressing. 9 I think the way I understand it there are a couple 10 more witnesses for this group. Mr. Rumley then has three 11 witnesses. And then the Clayton Homes/Vanderbilt people may 12 have another three or so. 13 MR. LOCHRIDGE: Yes, your Honor. 14 MR. RANGEL: Yes, your Honor. 15 **THE COURT:** So it looks like we're moving along a 16 little quicker than we anticipated, just for your scheduling 17 purposes. 18 So we'll see you 8:30 Monday morning. 19 Would you please stand for the jury? 20 (Jurors exit courtroom at 5:00 p.m.) 21 THE COURT: If you all could just wait a few moments 22 till the jury leaves. Do you have anything to take up in the 23 meantime? 24 MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Briefly, your Honor, the exhibits

that were displayed in the video depositions, I just wanted to

25

```
327
1
    formally get those offered (indiscernible). They should all be
 2
    admitted and I wanted to be sure --
              THE COURT: I didn't say they should all be admitted.
 3
    He's already testified to them. But if they don't object, it's
 4
 5
    fine with me.
 6
              MR. THAGARD: Well, I --
 7
              THE COURT: Does that call -- does that urge you to
 8
    object? I didn't mean to do that.
 9
              MR. RANGEL: We object.
              MR. SOLTERO: I think we did object to the million
10
11
    documents.
12
              MS. ESPINOZA RODRIGUEZ: We did.
13
              MR. SOLTERO: Right. The demonstratives were not
    allowed in.
14
15
              MR. UNIDENTIFIED: Right, yes.
16
         (Voices overlap)
17
              THE COURT: Pardon?
18
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: We know what the Court ruling was
19
    with respect to those demonstratives. Those are not coming in.
20
              MR. RUMLEY: It's just the Store 214.
21
              MR. B. GUTIERREZ: The Store 214 documents that
22
    Mr. Frazier (indiscernible).
23
              MR. RUMLEY: That were shown to the jury.
24
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: And the offer specifically
25
    (indiscernible).
```

```
THE COURT: I understand. Yeah, I let him testify to
 1
 2
    those. I guess there's no harm in them coming in, as long as
    the demonstrative ones don't come in.
 3
 4
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: As long as the demonstrative ones
 5
    don't come in, your Honor. And beyond that, I think we need
 6
    to --
 7
              THE COURT: Look at them and make sure --
                              -- go through the list with them.
 8
              MR. LOCHRIDGE:
 9
              THE COURT: Look through that, because I frankly
10
    didn't write down the numbers.
11
              MR. LOCHRIDGE: We've got the demonstratives here,
12
    but the others we need to reconvene on but I don't think
13
    (indiscernible)
14
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. J. GUTIERREZ: We'll confirm those.
15
16
              THE COURT: And Mr. Rumley can stay late tonight and
17
    take care of that.
18
                           Judge, I just want to reconfirm the
              MR. RANGEL:
19
    briefing schedule. Mr. Gutierrez has to file his brief by --
20
              THE COURT: Noon tomorrow.
21
              MR. RANGEL: I misunderstood.
22
              THE COURT: I told him by noon tonight. He looked a
23
    little peaked, so I thought -- peaked, so I thought I'd make it
24
    noon tomorrow, then everybody else by midnight Sunday night.
25
    Is that all right?
```

```
329
1
              MR. RANGEL: We'll be working this evening, your
 2
    Honor, and get it tended to. We'll be able to file --
 3
              MR. J. GUTIERREZ: So responses by Sunday night?
 4
              THE COURT: I really don't want to rush you all with
 5
    this. I don't know if you have other people in your office
    besides the people here that are doing that. No?
 6
 7
              MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Our team does not.
 8
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 9
              MR. J. GUTIERREZ: This is our team of lawyers.
10
              THE COURT: What about you all, do you want some --
         (Laughter)
11
12
              MR. RUMLEY: Could I borrow a lawyer?
13
         (Laughter)
14
              MR. J. GUTIERREZ: Oh, yeah, we'll be happy --
15
         (Voices overlap)
16
              THE COURT: Mr. Gutierrez was going to file a motion
17
    by noon -- huh?
18
              You're off, yes. And Mr. Rumley's gone.
19
              Good bye, Mr. Rumley.
20
              MR. RUMLEY: Thank you, your Honor.
21
         (This proceeding was adjourned at 5:03 p.m.)
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIF	ICATION
--------	----------------

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

on / Julion

February 8, 2011

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER